By Email and Certified Mail March 15, 2021

Senator Charles Grassley
111 7th Avenue SE, Box 13 -Suite 6800
Cedar Rapids, IA 52401

RE: Use of United States Courts to murder, human traffic, embezzle, loot and destroy lives

Dear Senator Grassley:

As you are aware, millions of Americans are being kidnapped as exposed by former governor Charlie Christ [1]Murdered, Human Trafficked and Pillaged of their assets in an extrajudicial racket disguised as "guardianship" by judicial government employees under color of law (**"the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket**").

Their family members including the undersigned are viciously retaliated and terrorized by the perpetrators of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket and their brethren in inextricably intertwined color of law U.S. courts who aid, abet, conspire with and cover up for the guardian racket.

The genocide/looting/human trafficking racket is known to you and all judicial and other government officials:

1. It is admitted and acknowledged by your corrupt, collusive Senator, Richard Blumenthal:

   On November 28, 2018, at 2:30 PM, in the Special Committee on Aging, Senator Richard Blumenthal **ADMITTED** [2] these Judges are Stealing Tons of Money from the American People and has done Nothing to Protect our Parents due to the Fraternity Among Lawyers, that become Judges, Congressmen and Senators. These Senators and Congressmen are Committing Treason against the United States Constitution. SENATOR RICHARD BLUMENTHAL STATED:

   "And these judges are essentially beyond any oversight and very often they have their own fiefdoms. Literally, their own private kingdoms. They make a ton of money," Sen. Blumenthal said. Blumenthal states, "Fiefdoms" where the Guardianship cases are run like Mafia Godfathers."

[1] https://www.newstalkflorida.com/featured/...
Aug 09, 2019 · The **Guardianship** Accountability Act implements ... "This legal form of **kidnapping** is happening in communities across the country, in many cases with little or …

[2] **The Face of the Elder Guardian Trap | RealClearInvestigations**
www.realclearinvestigations.com/articles/2019/02/...
Feb 22, 2019 · But more than a year later, high-level frustration with the guardianship problem is clearly evident. At a November 2018 congressional hearing on the guardianship system, Sen. Richard Blumenthal (D-Conn), a co-sponsor of the 2017 law, seethed.

2. As far back as 1987, Claude Pepper, a congressman from Florida, said of guardianships: 'The typical [person subject to guardianship] has fewer rights than the typical convicted felon… It is, in one short sentence, the most punitive civil penalty that can be levied against an American citizen, with the exception, of course, of the death penalty."

   Claude Pepper's statement was made at a joint hearing before the special committee on aging U.S. senate and the select committee on aging U.S. House of Representatives ninety-sixth congress second session Washington, D.C. June 11, 1980 following an extensive report by the United States Senate Special Committee on Aging.[3]
3. The National Council on Disability (NCD) – an independent federal advisory body – issued a report in March, 2018 that calls **guardianship a "civil death".**[4]
4. In August, 1997, attorney Mark D. Andrews wrote "The Elderly in Guardianship: A Crisis of Constitutional Proportions" [5] where he critiques the state of the current guardianship establishment and concludes both constitutional and policy reasons compel the need for change in this system. He states: "Guardianship threatens to remove from the elderly the ability to make basic life decisions and to live unfettered by the control …"
5. On Sept. 30, 2010 the Government Accountability Office (GAO), a federal agency, issued a report documenting horrific abuse and financial exploitation of the elderly.

The criminal acts of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket constitute Crimes Against Humanity [6]; domestic terrorism; [7] and Extrajudicial Terrorism[8] .

---

[3] **elder abuse - United States Senate Special Committee on Aging**
https://www.aging.senate.gov › imo › media › doc › publications
Jun 11, 1980 - Opening statement by Representative ***Claude Pepper***, copresiding -__-. 5 ... ***report*** what their experience was with respect to ***abuse*** of elderly people by ... To verify this, she had filed copies of ***conservatorship*** petitions in both ...

WOMAN ESCAPES GUARDIANSHIP TRAP - Orlando Sentinel
https://www.orlandosentinel.com › os-xpm-1988-03-31-0030080075-story
Mar 31, 1988 - ***Claude Pepper***, D-Miami, the American legal system may treat people like ... to a pattern of "grievous ***abuses***," said Pepper, who is drafting corrective legislation ... Require ***guardians*** to file regular financial ***reports*** about the ...

'I'm Petitioning … for the Return of My Life' - The New York Times
https://www.nytimes.com › court-appointed-guardianship-like-prison
Dec 7, 2018 - to isolate that person, you're setting them up for ***abuse*** and neglect and exploitation. ... ***Claude Pepper***, a congressman from Florida, once called ***guardianship*** "the most ...

[4] Mar 22, 2018 - Federal ***Report*** Examines "Civil Death" of the Rights of People with ... ***Claude Pepper*** famously said of ***guardianships***, 'The typical [person subject to ... people subject to ***guardianships*** or subject them to ***abuse*** or neglect. Sep 25, 1987 - ***Claude Pepper*** on the ***abuses*** in ***guardianship*** of the elderly and infirm, and ... Only half the States require that ***guardians*** file an annual ***report***.

[5] https://www.case-abuse.org/mark-d-andrews/

[6] **Crimes Against Humanity | Wex | US Law | LII / Legal Information Institute (cornell.edu)**

Over one trillion dollars in wealth of American families is stripped each year - their entire estates are looted and stolen: life savings; generation of assets; 401ks; social security; pensions; annuities; jewelry; art; cars; homes; heirlooms; possessions; investments, thereby this is identical to the war crime of pillaging.

All of these reports were distributed throughout the federal government, who did nothing, thus aiding enemies who are violating the Constitution and committing treason, rebellion and insurgence against the Constitution.[9]

---

[7] 18 U.S. Code § 2331 - Definitions
(5)the term "domestic terrorism" means activities that—
(A)involve acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States or of any State;
(B)appear to be intended—
(i)to intimidate or coerce a civilian population;
(ii)to influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or
(iii)to affect the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination, or kidnapping; and
(C)occur primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States.

[8]**RECOGNIZING AND DEALING WITH MODERN JUDICIAL TERRORISM**
https://newswithviews.com/JBWilliams/williams116.htm
When running down the laundry list of modern threats to freedom and liberty in America, atop that list is the corrupt and anti-constitution nature of today's **judicial** branch. Without a genuine respect for the rule of law and reverence

OPINION: One man's judicial terrorism Newsroom Panama
https://newsroompanama.com/opinion/opinion-one-mans-judicial-terrorism
The justice system has been distorted, to the point that it has become a terrorist instrument, seeking to intimidate not only judicial officials but journalists, the media, even citizens who oppose or criticize it.

Domestic Abuse Survivors and "Judicial Terrorism ...
https://lostmessiahdotcom.wordpress.com/2020/11/05/...
Nov 05, 2020 · **Judicial terrorism** is a term being coined for a situation when the courts are used by abusers against abuse survivors..

Judicial Terrorism Book .. illegal actions of our corrupt state and federal judiciaries.
America Wakes Up To Find Its Judicial Branch Infiltrated **...**
pennsylvaniacourtwatch.com/news-views/america...
Dec 25, 2017..the existence of a **justice system** based on profit has destroyed the integrity of the U.S. government. Only by efforts of private citizens is there any shred of hope order will be restored to America. Clearly, **the Judicial** …
Thousands of U.S. judges who broke laws or oaths ... - Reuters
https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct
In the first comprehensive accounting of **judicial** misconduct nationally, **Reuters** identified and reviewed 1,509 cases from the last dozen years – 2008 through 2019 – in which **judges** resigned ...
Reuters major investigation exposes hardwired judicial ...
https://www.veteranstoday.com/2020/06/30/reuters...
Reuters major investigation exposes hardwired judicial **corruption. Judges** have made racist statements, lied to state officials and forced defendants to languish …

[9] 18 U.S. Code § 2381. Treason
Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort within the United States or elsewhere, is guilty of treason and shall suffer death, or shall be imprisoned not less than five years and fined under this title but not less than $10,000; and shall be incapable of holding any office under the United States.

Instead of calling for the massive arrest of the "fiefdoms," Richard Blumenthal enacted a bill signed by Donald Trump (Public Law No: 115-70 (10/18/2017) that purports to safeguard the fundamental rights to life, liberty and property of America's seniors **but instead launders taxpayer money and funds the Murder for Profit U.S. Sanctioned Guardian Regime.** The Trump/Blumenthal Bill and Order not only covers up and abets the Murder for Profit U.S. Sanctioned Guardian Racket and fails to protect vulnerable adults and seniors, but it gives them a false, deceptive sense of security thus making them even more vulnerable to the Murder for Profit U.S. Sanctioned Guardian Racket, the most monstrous life-threatening danger they face.

AS YOU KNOW, THESE ATROCITIES CONSTITUTE A NATIONAL SECURITY EMERGENCY AND THREAT. [10]

The undersigned has provided you irrefutable proof of crimes of embezzlement and blackmail perpetrated against the undersigned by an inextricable intertwined racket where the home and assets of the undersigned have been embezzled; are being fenced and the undersigned is being blackmailed and extorted to silence her from reporting this crimes by the extrajudicial affiliates and accomplices of the Murder For Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket including:

1. Corrupt conspirators Joan Lenard and magistrate Jonathan Goodman (who runs his own independent crime racket by controlling the outcome of cruise ship litigation in criminal conflict of interest as he secretly owns and hides his significant interests in the cruise industry) Case No: 15-20150 in the Southern District Court of Florida;
2. Laurel Isicoff in the Southern District Court of Florida bankruptcy court – Case:19-16164.

The undersigned has notified you that these crimes against humanity are inextricable intertwined with Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket that MURDERED, HUMAN TRAFFICKED AND PILLAGED the asset of Helen Stone, the mother of the undersigned. Mrs. Stone was murdered by the depraved indifference to her life by MICHAEL A. GENDEN a misogynist posing as a judge and ROY R. LUSTIG, a felon, having been adjudicated guilty of felony crimes by the 3rd DCA in Leo's Gulf Liquor 802 So 2nd 337 and their endless list of criminal affiliates and accomplices (Miami Dade Case 12:4330).

These crimes against humanity are colluded, conspired and silenced in a U.S. genocide regime identical to that of Nuremberg law run by judicial terrorists and other false government officials.

---

18 U.S. Code § 2383. Rebellion or insurrection

Whoever incites, sets on foot, assists, or engages in any rebellion or insurrection against the authority of the United States or the laws thereof, or gives aid or comfort thereto, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and shall be incapable of holding any office under the United States.

[10] **Executive Order 10450--Security requirements for Government employment**

Attached are irrefutable, unfathomable Affidavits by family members embroiled in this and other inextricable intertwined rackets setting forth documented murder, human trafficking and pillaging by judges including those by the undersigned irrefutably attesting to atrocities and crimes against humanity against her in an inextricably intertwined embezzlement racket. These crime rackets are taking place by the very judges whom you, Senator Grassley and your aide, Michael Fragoso are mandated to seek impeachment and report their crimes to law enforcement.

This formal letter of irrefutable crimes by extrajudicial government employees seeks your response by Tuesday, March 16, 2021 with:

a. a criminal investigation number; and
b. contact information for the team handling this investigation.

These crimes by extrajudicial government employees have become a public relations nightmare by the viral reporting of kidnapping and looting of high profile Americans and celebrities including Britney Spears by the New York Times [11]and Bradford Lund, grandson of Walt Disney, by the attached Breaking News Report.

Lawsuits are being filed all over the country where U.S. government officials are exposed as barbaric perpetrators of HUMAN RIGHTS ATROCITIES and massive damages are sought.

I trust you will respond by March 16, 2021 at the contact information below.

Barbara Stone

Barbara Stone
barbara.stone.usa@gmail.com
333 S.E. 2nd Avenue #2066
Miami, FL 33131
786 696 7816 / 786 759 9893

Attachments:

a. Affidavits by victims of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket including the undersigned irrefutably attesting to murder, human trafficking, pillaging and other Crimes against Humanity
b. Affidavit by the undersigned irrefutably attesting to an embezzlement and blackmail racket by Joan Lenard, Jonathan Goodman and Laurel Isicoff
c. News breaking story of human trafficking and pillaging of assets of Brad Lund by the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket

Bcc: international media and human rights and judicial watch organizations

[11] **"The New York Times Presents" Framing Britney Spears (TV ...**
https://www.imdb.com/title/tt12673718

AFFIDAVIT OF BARBARA STONE
ATTESTING TO MURDER; HUMAN TRAFFICKING AND LOOTING OF ASSETS OF HER MOTHER, HELEN STONE AND THE LOOTING, EMBEZZLEMENT AND EXTORTION OF THE ASSETS OF BARBARA STONE IN RETALIATION BY EXTRAJUDICIAL AND OTHER PUBLIC SERVANT GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AND THEIR CONSPIRATORS

I, Barbara Stone ("Affiant") state as follows:

I. THIS AFFIDAVIT ON ITS FACE PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCES CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY AND RACKETEERING BY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

1. The statements in this Affidavit must be taken as true on their face.
2. Furthermore, none of the statements made herein have ever been disputed or denied.
3. This Affidavit sets forth crimes by extrajudicial and other government employees and their conspirators against Affiant and her mother, Helen Stone, that constitute Crimes against Humanity under the definition thereof as codified in Article 7 of the International Criminal Court statute 1 including and attesting to the murder; extermination; false imprisonment; human trafficking; torture; and forcible disappearance of Affiant's mother, Helen Stone and crimes of racketeering under 18 U.S.C. § 1961-1964.
4. This Affidavit and a contiguous Affidavit of Affiant describe an inextricably intertwined extortion, embezzlement, looting criminal enterprise against Affiant perpetrated in conspiracy with the Murder for Profit U. S. Sponsored Guardian Racket against her mother.

II. THE MURDER FOR PROFIT U.S. SPONSORED GUARDIAN RACKET

4. Affiant is the daughter of Helen Stone.
5. Helen Stone was seized in an illegal guardianship by predators using the American courthouse as a base of operations in the guise of a court proceeding **(the "Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket")** that they identify as case no 12-4330 in Dade County, Florida.
6. **Affiant's mother was murdered on September 17, 2019 by extrajudicial execution 2 by**

---

1 **Crime Against Humanity | Wex | US Law | LII / Legal Information Institute (cornell.edu)**

2**Extrajudicial killing - Wikipedia**
An **extrajudicial killing** (also known as **extrajudicial execution** or **extralegal killing**[1]) is the killing of a person by governmental authorities without the sanction of any judicial proceeding or legal process.

depraved indifference to her life and being illegally drugged with lethal doses of toxic psychotropic chemical restraints.

III. BACKGROUND OF CAPTURE BY AFFIANT AND HER MOTHER INTO THE GOVERNMENT SPONSORED CRIMINAL GUARDIAN RACKET

7. Affiant herself filed for the guardianship of her mother because she was being physically abused and financially extorted by her son, Alan Stone who is Affiant's sibling.
8. Affiant was forced to file guardianship to attempt to protect her mother as Affiant obtained no relief from criminal complaints filed with all state and federal law enforcement agencies documenting abuse and financial exploitation of Mrs. Stone in criminal violation of state and federal laws.
9. These federal and state law enforcement agencies:
    a. criminally ignored their duty to protect Helen Stone under 42 U.S.C. §1986;
    b. threatened and retaliated against Affiant when she filed complaints,
    c. illegally treated the matter as if the atrocities being perpetrated against her mother as "civil matters;"
    d. criminally violated a string of laws including RICO conspiracy, aiding and abetting, obstruction of justice; honest services fraud and Hobbs Act.
10. The crimes and human rights atrocities perpetrated in the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket can only occur as America's law enforcement fails to comply with their duties, criminally aids, abets, conspires with and acts as a protection arm for the racket.
11. **The remedy of course was not to venally punish Helen Stone for the crimes of her son by stripping her of her rights and subjecting her to horrors of "guardianship" but to criminally indict and arrest Alan Stone for abuse and exploitation.**
12. Instead of being protected, Helen Stone was criminalized, her rights stripped, and subjected to unthinkable atrocities including deprivation of food and medical attention and ruthless drugging with toxic illegal psychotropic drugs to incite litigation to embezzle her life savings and then MURDERED after being stripped all of her possessions and life savings.
13. **Because the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket is lawless and a criminal enterprise, its sole agenda is to target and seize persons with assets, remove them from their family members by forcible disappearance** 3 **which constitutes a**

---

3 In international human rights law, a forced disappearance (or enforced disappearance) occurs when a person is secretly abducted or imprisoned by a state or political organization or third party with the authorization, support, or acquiescence of a state or political organization, followed by a refusal to acknowledge the person's fate and

crime against humanity both against their victim and their family members in order to loot their assets in secret and then cause their death in order to hide their crimes.

14. Helen Stone was competent when she was seized under the guise of guardianship.
15. There were no tests done on Helen Affiant to ascertain her "capacity". There was no brain scan, no CAT scan, no diagnostic testing and no physical examination.
16. Fl. Stat. 744.331 provides a physical examination is a prerequisite to establish a "guardianship."
17. Thus the "guardianship" is monstrous lawless farce and illegal and void.
18. All perpetrators are civilly and criminally liable.

IV. THE "GUARDIANSHIP" AND SUBSEQUENT FABRICATED RICO SLAPP SUIT ARE VOID ILLEGAL FRAUDULENT AND LAWLESS AS ARE THE FICTIONAL DECREES IN THE GUISE OF "ORDERS"

19. **Thus the "guardianship" of Helen Stone was void, illegal, lawless and fraudulent at the onset and all decrees in the guise of "orders" are void, illegal, lawless and fraudulent.**
20. **Thus all "court events" thereafter including the fabricated, fraudulent RICO SLAPP lawsuit against Affiant are the product of criminal racketeering, Crimes against Humanity and Human Rights Atrocities and are void, illegal, lawless and fraudulent and all decrees in the guise of "orders" are void, illegal, lawless and fraudulent.**
21. **All perpetrators are civilly and criminally liable.**

---

whereabouts, with the intent of placing the victim outside the protection of the law. According to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC), when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed at any civilian population, a "forced disappearance" qualifies as a crime against humanity. Often, forced disappearance implies murder. The victim in such a case is abducted, illegally detained and often tortured during interrogation, and killed, with the body hidden.

Disappearances work on two levels: they silence opponents and critics who have disappeared and create uncertainty and fear in the wider community, silencing others who would oppose and criticise. Disappearances entail the violation of many fundamental human rights. For the disappeared person, these include the right to liberty, personal security and humane treatment (including freedom from torture), to a fair trial, to legal counsel and to equal protection under the law, and the right of presumption of innocence among others. Their families, who often spend the rest of their lives searching for information on the disappeared, are also victims.

V. PARTIES INVOLVED AND ILLEGAL GAG ORDER PROHIBITING THE IDENTIFICATION OF ALL PARTIES

22. Physical and forcible possession of Helen Stone against her will was taken in March, 2013 by persons professing to be guardians Jacqueline Hertz and Blaire Lapides under color of law abuse without Helen Stone's knowledge or consent.
23. At the onset, Blaire Lapides was disqualified from ever acting in any capacity in the affairs of a vulnerable adult as she had a criminal record.
24. They illegal hired an attorney in violation of a (albeit) fraudulent "settlement agreement" described herein.
25. The attorney has a criminal record, having been adjudicated guilty by a court4 of guilty of fraud on the court, repeatedly lying under oath, perjury and subverting the court to achieve his own illegal financial gain is thereby disqualified to act in any capacity involving a vulnerable adult.
26. Affiant has been subjected to illegal gag orders to silence her from disclosing and identifying all members of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket.
27. This illegal gag order constitutes a Predicate Act in a racketeering enterprise and violates a string of federal and state criminal laws set forth herein.
28. All government employees to which this Affidavit is filed are required to protect Affiant under 42 U.S.C. § 1986.
29. In addition, all government agencies are required to obtain the submission under seal of the identity of the members of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket involved herein and hold them criminally liable.

VI. SUMMARY OF CRIMES, RACKETEERING; AND CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY PERPETRATED IN THE MURDER FOR PROFIT U. S. SPONSORED GUARDIAN RACKET

A. EXTORTION, THREATS AND ILLEGAL HUMAN TRAFFICKING AGREEMENT

30. Affiant was intimidated, extorted, threatened and forced to sign an illegal "settlement agreement" by the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket.

---

4 ***Leo's Gulf Liquor v Lakhani et al***, 802 So. 2nd 337 (2001).

31. The "settlement agreement" was unlawful and illegal at the onset as the parties had no "authority" to negotiate, limit or steal the rights of Mrs. Stone.
32. Moreover, the illegal "settlement agreement" was the product of fraud in the inducement as none of the parties performed any of the actions they represented and acknowledged they would perform.
33. The illegal "settlement agreement" was ordered by the corrupt color of law "judge" by threats against Affiant.
34. The illegal "settlement agreement" was an extortive tactic used to bind and extort Affiant.
35. Helen Stone was not a party to the agreement nor did she have knowledge of the agreement.
36. The agreement and all subsequent illegal void orders **stripped** Helen Stone of all of her constitutional and civil rights in violation of the Constitution of the United States and universal laws including the U.N. Declaration of Human Rights.
37. This criminally violates the entire foundation of American law, i.e. the Constitution and Declaration of Independence which provide that no one's rights can be taken, sold, transferred or encumbered except in the event of crimes.
38. The illegal "settlement agreement" thus placed Helen Stone into servitude and human trafficking in violation of Amendment XIII of the Constitution.
39. Moreover, as the "guardianship" was illegal at the onset as Mrs. Stone was not incapacitated and there was no physical examination as required by Florida law, the "settlement agreement" was illegal and void.

B. FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT

40. The illegal void "settlement agreement" was a product of fraud in the inducement.
41. The predators who orchestrated the illegal agreement never intended to be bound by it – its sole purpose was to illegally bind Mrs. Stone and Affiant to a human trafficking "agreement."
42. A sampling of fabricated terms in the illegal "settlement agreement" an material inducement thereto was to reunite the family and return the assets that were stolen by Alan Stone to Mrs. Stone.
43. This was never intended to be complied with nor was there compliance.
44. To the contrary, immediately after the illegal "settlement agreement" was signed, Affiant was falsely accused of "misdeeds" by the perpetrators of the Murder for Profit U.S.

Sponsored Guardian Racket who perjured outrageous, fabricated lies in order to forcibly disappear Mrs. Stone from Affiant, her daughter.

45. At a color of law hearing that constitutes a Predicate RICO Act in the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket, Affiant was slandered and defamed by perjured accusations against her in order for corrupt color of law judicial government employee, to sign an illegal void "isolation decree" against Affiant, thereby perpetrating the crime of "forcible disappearance" against Affiant and her mother.

46. Thereafter, the color of law judicial government employee engaged in ongoing criminal RICO predicate acts using his illegal "isolation decree" to extort Affiant and her mother to pay the perpetrators of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket extortion fees in order for these family members to see each other.

C. FORCIBLE DISAPPEARANCE

47. Immediately after being stripped of her rights and forced into guardianship using a falsified "opinion" of incapacity, Affiant's mother was forcibly disappeared from the world and her daughter, Affiant.

48. The act of "isolation" under the auspices of the government constitutes forcible disappearance, 5 a crime against humanity.

49. "Forcible disappearance" is also crime against humanity perpetrated against Affiant.

D. PHYSICAL ATROCITIES AGAINST HELEN STONE AND HER MURDER BY EXTRAJUDICIAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AND THEIR CONSPIRATORS

50. Helen Stone was deprived food and medical attention and relentless drugged to render her incoherent.

---

5 In international human rights law, a forced disappearance (or enforced disappearance) occurs when a person is secretly abducted or imprisoned by a state or political organization or third party with the authorization, support, or acquiescence of a state or political organization, followed by a refusal to acknowledge the person's fate and whereabouts, with the intent of placing the victim outside the protection of the law. According to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC), when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed at any civilian population, a "forced disappearance" qualifies as a crime against humanity. Often, forced disappearance implies murder. The victim in such a case is abducted, illegally detained and often tortured during interrogation, and killed, with the body hidden.

Disappearances work on two levels: they silence opponents and critics who have disappeared and create uncertainty and fear in the wider community, silencing others who would oppose and criticise. Disappearances entail the violation of many fundamental human rights. For the disappeared person, these include the right to liberty, personal security and humane treatment (including freedom from torture), to a fair trial, to legal counsel and to equal protection under the law, and the right of presumption of innocence among others. Their families, who often spend the rest of their lives searching for information on the disappeared, are also victims.

51. Affidavits from her religious leader, social worker, medical and hospital records that are available and are of record irrefutably attest to these atrocities.
52. Helen Stone became emaciated and suffered life threatening conditions.
53. Helen Stone was admitted by emergency to the hospital with malnutrition, dehydration, pneumonia, fractures, infection and other life endangering illnesses and almost died.
54. **Two days** prior to her emergency admission to the hospital with life endangering conditions, Helen Stone, at the request of Affiant was visited by her spiritual leader, Rabbi Ed Farber who found her incoherent, drugged, in a stupor and without food in her home.
55. He immediately notified the perpetrators of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket including the corrupt judicial government employee, all of whom who did NOTHING, thereby committing additional Predicate RICO acts of elder abuse.
56. Rabbi Farber and others including Harriet Collier, a highly credentialed social worker who observed Helen Stone also attest in Affidavits to her pleas to see Affiant, her daughter.
57. On December 7, 2013, 2 days prior to Helen Stone's emergency admission to the hospital where she almost died as a result of aggravated abuse by persons wrongfully placed in charge of her care by a corrupt probate court judge, Affiant submitted an emergency petition to appoint an attorney, an independent doctor and a court monitor for her mother.
58. The corrupt color of law judicial government employee who was responsible for the safety and welfare of Helen Stone refused to comply with his mandated duty to investigate and report crimes against a vulnerable adult, thereby criminally liable.
59. Because she was denied food, as a further consequence of her abuse by the guardians, Helen Stone was forcibly subjected to having her stomach cut open, a criminal act of battery, to implant an unnecessary feeding tube although was capable of eating but not fed.
60. Affiant's mother was emergency hospitalized over 15 times with horrific conditions of abuse including malnutrition, dehydration, fractures, fungus and horrific infections.
61. The medical reports evidence likely sexual abuse.
62. Affiant is terrified that her mother was used in a sexual trafficking enterprise as the predator is a known pervert and her mother was secreted away on a regular basis from the nursing facility where she was held against her will by identified persons and taken to unknown and unstated locations. See IX. B.

E. PERJURY AND POISONING WITH ILLEGAL TOXIC LETHAL DRUGS

63. A fraudulent "guardian report" perjures statements by the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket about Mrs. Stone's condition falsely stating her condition was fine.

64. **Mrs. Stone however was in the hospital at the time having been emergency admitted twice the same week for life threatening conditions.**

65. The fraudulent "guardian report" documents Mrs. Stone was chemically restrained with toxic illegal psychotropic drugs that contain black box warnings against their use by older adults as they cause sudden cardiac arrest and stroke.

66. Mrs. Stone was **MURDERED** on September 17, 2019 by depraved indifference to her care and by forcibly being administered toxic lethal illegal drugs.

F. LOOTING, THEFT AND EMBEZZLEMENT OF MRS. STONE ASSETS

67. Jacqueline Hertz and Blaire Lapides and their attorney seized and took control of all of Helen Stone's assets, financial accounts, IRA accounts and property under the auspices of illegal, sham, fraudulent court protection and cover up without her knowledge and consent.

68. This constitutes financial exploitation,6 theft,7 embezzlement 8and money laundering, 9

---

6 **Florida Statutes 825.103 – Exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult; penalties**

(1) "Exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult" means:

(a) Knowingly obtaining or using, or endeavoring to obtain or use, an elderly person's or disabled adult's funds, assets, or property with the intent to temporarily or permanently deprive the elderly person or disabled adult of the use, benefit, or possession of the funds, assets, or property, or to benefit someone other than the elderly person or disabled adult, by a person who:

1. Stands in a position of trust and confidence with the elderly person or disabled adult;

7 **18 U.S. Code § 645 - Court officers generally**

Whoever, being a U.S. marshal, clerk, receiver, referee, trustee, or other officer of a U.S. court, or any deputy, assistant, or employee of any such officer, retains or converts to his own use or to the use of another or after demand by the party entitled thereto, unlawfully retains any money coming into his hands by virtue of his official relation, position or employment, is guilty of embezzlement and shall, where the offense is not otherwise punishable by enactment of Congress, be fined under this title or not more than double the value of the money so embezzled, whichever is greater, or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; but if the amount embezzled does not exceed $1,000, he shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

8 **18 U.S. Code § 646 - Court officers depositing registry moneys**

Whoever, being a clerk or other officer of a court of the United States, fails to deposit promptly any money belonging in the registry of the court, or paid into court or received by the officers thereof, with the Treasurer or a designated depositary of the United States, in the name and to the credit of such court, or retains or converts to his own use or to the use of another any such money, is guilty of embezzlement and shall be fined under this title or not more than the amount embezzled, whichever is greater, or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; but if the amount embezzled does not exceed $1,000, he shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

9 **18 U.S. Code § 1956 - Laundering of monetary instruments**

(a) (1) Whoever, knowing that the property involved in a financial transaction represents the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, conducts or attempts to conduct such a financial transaction which in fact involves the proceeds of specified unlawful activity—

State and Federal felony crimes.

69. Mrs. Stone was forcibly removed from her home and it was sold from under her.

70. The proceeds were stolen by the Murder for Profit U.S. Guardian Racket.

71. The Murder for Profit U.S. Guardian Racket seized and stolen all of Mrs. Stone's personal possessions and family heirlooms.

72. The Murder for Profit U.S. Guardian Racket fight Affiant's exposure of their crimes by stealing the assets of Helen Stone to pay their fabricated, fraudulent, self created "fees."

73. The Murder for Profit U.S. Guardian Racket submit fraudulent "confidential invoices" "confidential" reports, and other ex parte filings that violate due process notice provisions of Federal and Florida Statutes.

74. The Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket routinely sues Affiant in fake trumped up lawsuits, including the fraudulent, fabricated SLAPP suit hereafter described to steal the assets of Affiant in perjured, fabricated retaliatory, SLAPP lawsuits.

75. These fraudulent lawsuits are also used as a means to steal the assets of Mrs. Stone to pay themselves "fees" for suing her daughter, Affiant. See Concurrent Affidavit by Affiant exposing this inextricably intertwined racket.

76. The Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket orchestrated Affiant's fabricated arrest to steal the assets of Mrs. Stone for their fabricated "invoices" in the fake criminal proceedings against her daughter, Affiant.

77. Documentation of these crimes of looting and theft of Mrs. Stone's assets to perpetrate crimes against her daughter Affiant are available, are of record, and have been submitted to judicial government employees and law enforcement.

G. DENIAL OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS; CRIMES OF HARASSMENT; EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AND SENSORY DEPRIVATION

(A)
(i) with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity; or
(ii)with intent to engage in conduct constituting a violation of section 7201 or 7206 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or
(B)knowing that the transaction is designed in whole or in part—
(i)to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity; or
(ii)to avoid a transaction reporting requirement under State or Federal law,
shall be sentenced to a fine of not more than $500,000 or twice the value of the property involved in the transaction, whichever is greater, or imprisonment for not more than twenty years, or both.

78. Mrs. Stone was denied practicing her religion and denied seeing her spiritual leader in her Congregation where she was member for over 15 years.
79. Helen Stone was denied her Constitutional right of association with her daughter, Affiant.
80. Helen Stone was denied her Constitutional right to counsel.
81. Helen Stone was denied her Constitutional right of access to the courts.
82. Helen Stone was forcibly removed from the outside world and placed in isolation in a vile nursing home against her will.
83. This is done in order that crimes, abuse and financial extortion by the Guardian Predators can be done in secret.
84. The nursing home violates building code as if does not have secondary elevator access and a back-up generator.
85. When Affiant reported this to the corrupt color of law judicial public servant, he failed to report this unsafe condition and protect Mrs. Stone by removing her therefrom, thereby criminally violating elder abuse laws.
86. Moreover, he violated the (albeit) illegal void Florida Guardian statutes that mandate a court order is mandated in order to place anyone seized in guardianship into a facility.
87. ***The MURDER FOR PROFIT U.S. GUARDIAN RACKET took an 86 year old woman taken into "custody".***
88. Helen Stone did not even know why her daughter does not visit
89. Helen Stone, a person protected under AADA had not been in possession or control of her assets or personal property nor consulted or allowed any input whatsoever concerning the use or disposition of her assets. Instead, her assets were looted by people who were controlling her against her will and endangering her.
90. Helen Stone was forcibly removed from her home and forcible ***kept in a locked down facility under house arrest.***
91. Helen Stone was not provided rehabilitation activities.
92. To the contrary, she is drugged up with toxic illegal drugs, her speech is slurred, and her obvious overmedication is for the benefit of the guardians and their aides to render Helen Stone incoherent so they can ignore her and warehouse her for death.
93. Helen Stone was given fake glasses after her glasses were inexplicably broken and she is incurring constant headaches because she is unable to see.

VII. OTHER CRIMES AND RICO PREDICATE ACTS; CRIMINAL LAWS VIOLATED

94. The corrupt color of law judicial government employees are typically plucked from the criminal court division and are thereby engaged in judicial malpractice as they have not an iota of the laws that protect vulnerable adults.

95. Instead, they use the tactics they have amassed and employ in criminal courts against those accused of crimes to criminalize Helen Stone and Affiant.

96. The corrupt color of law judicial public servants routinely engaged in ex parte communication and signed ex parte orders depriving Helen Stone and Affiant of their rights and their property.

97. The corrupt color of law judicial public servants illegally "seal" their lawless, void decrees and records to deny Affiant access to her own mother's health, financial and court records in violation of Florida and Federal Laws requiring open access to court proceedings.

98. The corrupt color of law judicial public servants prohibit Affiant from filing documents and extorted her by their illegal orders to bar her from reporting their crimes.

99. The corrupt color of law judicial public servants routinely held Affiant in contempt of their sham, imposter courts, acting as accuser, arbiter and trier of fact for false charges they orchestrate against her to cover up their crimes and to silence Affiant from reporting them.

100. The corrupt color of law judicial public servants employ "tactics of Ore Tenus", "Ex Parte", "Secrecy" "Confidential Invoices" "Repressed Medical Evidence" in order to issue "Fraudulent Illegal VOID Orders."

101. Affiant is unable to obtain unbiased legal representation as the influence of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket is pervasive in the legal community.

102. Moreover, all attorneys regardless of who "retains" them are working in collusion and conspiracy with the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket.

103. The Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket uses the American courts as their base of operations for a criminal enterprise; to perpetrate their own personal vendetta; and wage war against Affiant for exposing their criminal enterprise..

104. There is nothing resembling the "best interests" of Helen Stone.

105. The crimes being perpetrated include but not limited to:

a. Use of interstate commerce facilities in the commission of murder-for-hire; 10

b. Violent crimes in aid of racketeering activity; 11

c. Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful acts 12

d. Witness tampering laws; 13

e. obstruction of justice; 14

f. criminal conspiracy; 15

g. deprivation of rights under color of law;16

h. retaliation;17

i. threats and extortion;18

j. racketeering; 19

k. slander, defamation;20

l. slurs and hate crimes; 21

m. Hobbs Act violations, 22

n. Honest services fraud.23

106. Affiant fired the MURDER FOR PROFIT U.S. SPONSORED GUARDIAN RACKET AND CORRUPT COLOR OF LAW JUDICIAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES as she would do with regard to a garbageman who is derelict in his duties or violates the law.

107. Instead of complying with Affiant's firing directive, the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket perpetrated an ongoing criminal enterprise 24 and engaged in further

---

1018 U.S. Code § 1958
11 18 U.S. Code § 1959
12 18 U.S. Code § 1957
13 18 U.S.C. § 1512
14 18 USC Ch. 73
15 18 U.S.C. § 371
16 18 U.S.C. § 241 and 242
17 42 U.S.C. § 12203
18 18 USC Ch. 41
19 18 U.S.C. § 1961-1964
20 28 U.S. Code § 4101
21 **18 U.S.C.** § 249
22 18 U.S.C. § 1951
23 18 U.S.C. § 1346
24 U.S. Code § 848 - Continuing criminal enterprise
(a)PENALTIES; FORFEITURES

crimes of retaliation against Affiant in Article VII and the Concurrently Filed Affidavit.

VIII. THE MURDER FOR PROFIT U.S. SPONSORED GUARDIAN RACKET EMPLOYS THE SAME CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY AS THOSE PERPETRATED IN NAZI GERMANY

108. The Crimes against Humanity perpetrated by the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket are identical to those perpetrated in Nazi Genocide Regime.

109. These crimes are listed and compared in the chart below:

Crimes Against Humanity Perpetrated	Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket	Nazi German Regime
A class of persons are targeted and victimized	Vulnerable adults and older adults with financial assets	Jews
The victim is removed from their family and the eyes of the public;		
The victim is criminalized by being slander, defamed and assaulted with slurs on the order of hate crimes		
The home, life savings, jewelry, art, heirlooms and all personal property of their victim is looted and stolen.		
Their victim is physical abused and deprived of food and medical care.		
Their victim is placed in deplorable state facilities	Filthy lock-down nursing homes	Concentration camps
Their victim is murdered by chemical restraints	chemical restraints used: forced toxic lethal dosing with illegal psychotropic drugs	chemical restraint used: gas chambers

---

Any person who engages in a continuing criminal enterprise shall be sentenced to a term of imprisonment which may not be less than 20 years and which may be up to life imprisonment, to a fine not to exceed the greater of that authorized in accordance with the provisions of title 18 or $2,000,000 if the defendant is an individual or $5,000,000 if the defendant is other than an individual, and to the forfeiture prescribed in section 853 of this title; except that if any person engages in such activity after one or more prior convictions of him under this section have become final, he shall be sentenced to a term of imprisonment which may not be less than 30 years and which may be up to life imprisonment, to a fine not to exceed the greater of twice the amount authorized in accordance with the provisions of title 18 or $4,000,000

(b)LIFE IMPRISONMENT FOR ENGAGING IN CONTINUING CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE Any person who engages in a continuing criminal enterprise shall be imprisoned for life and fined in accordance with subsection (a), if—

(1)such person is the principal administrator, organizer, or leader of the enterprise or is one of several such principal administrators, organizers, or leaders; …

105. A similar comparison was done by attorney, Diane Zabowski 25 documenting the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket as identical to the Nazi genocide regime:



106. Justice Richard D. Fybel, Chair of the California Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Code of Judicial Ethics and a co-author of the Fourth Edition of the *California Judicial Conduct Handbook* (2017) was a member of the Holocaust Program Planning Committee for "How the Courts Failed Germany." Justice Fybel is also the author of ***Assassins In Judicial Robes*** published in Gavel to Gavel, the L.A. Superior Court Judicial Magazine (Spring 2013), an apt description of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket.

107. These crimes fall within the definition of domestic terrorism under 18 U.S.C. § 2331. 26 They are forms of war crimes under 18 U.S. Code § 2441;27 and Crimes against Humanity

---

25 **Attorney Diane Zabowski - Nazi Parallel - YouTube**

26 **The term "domestic terrorism" is defined in 18 U.S. Code § 2331 as follows:**
(5) the term "domestic terrorism" means activities that— (A)involve acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States or of any State; (B)appear to be intended— (i)to intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii)to influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or (iii)to affect the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination, or kidnapping; and (C) occur primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States

27 **The relevant provisions of 18 U.S. Code § 2441-War crimes**
(a)OFFENSE.—Whoever, whether inside or outside the United States, commits a war crime, in any of the circumstances described in subsection (b), shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for life or any term of years, or both, and if death results to the victim, shall also be subject to the penalty of death.
(d)COMMON ARTICLE 3 VIOLATIONS.—

under the Hague Convention. 28

108. These atrocities violate the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights.29 The acts of these extrajudicial public officials are the same as Nuremberg law.30

109. In the Nuremberg Trial of Nazi Judge Oswald Rothhaug the Court found in its sentencing judgment that:

"By his manner and methods he made his court an instrumentality of terror and won the fear and hatred of the population. From the evidence of his closest associates as

---

(1)PROHIBITED CONDUCT.—In subsection (c)(3), the term "grave breach of common Article 3" means any conduct (such conduct constituting a grave breach of common Article 3 of the international conventions done at Geneva August 12, 1949), as follows:

(A) Torture.—The act of a person who commits, or conspires or attempts to commit, an act specifically intended to inflict severe physical or mental pain or suffering (other than pain or suffering incidental to lawful sanctions) upon another person within his custody or physical control for the purpose of obtaining information or a confession, punishment, intimidation, coercion, or any reason based on discrimination of any kind.

(B) Cruel or inhuman treatment.—

The act of a person who commits, or conspires or attempts to commit, an act intended to inflict severe or serious physical or mental pain or suffering (other than pain or suffering incidental to lawful sanctions), including serious physical abuse, upon another within his custody or control.

(C) Performing biological experiments.—The act of a person who subjects, or conspires or attempts to subject, one or more persons within his custody or physical control to biological experiments without a legitimate medical or dental purpose and in so doing endangers the body or health of such person or persons.

(D) Murder.—The act of a person who intentionally kills, or conspires or attempts to kill, or kills whether intentionally or unintentionally in the course of committing any other offense under this subsection, one or more persons taking no active part in the hostilities,

(E) Mutilation or maiming.—The act of a person who intentionally injures, or conspires or attempts to injure, or injures whether intentionally or unintentionally in the course of committing any other offense under this subsection, one or more persons taking no active part in the hostilities, including those placed out of combat by sickness, wounds, detention, or any other cause, by disfiguring the person or persons by any mutilation thereof or by permanently disabling any member, limb, or organ of his body

(F) Intentionally causing serious bodily injury.—The act of a person who intentionally causes, or conspires or attempts to cause, serious bodily injury to one or more persons, including lawful combatants, in violation of the law of war.

(G) Rape.—The act of a person who forcibly or with coercion or threat of force wrongfully invades, or conspires or attempts to invade, the body of a person by penetrating, however slightly, the anal or genital opening of the victim with any part of the body of the accused, or with any foreign object.

(H) Sexual assault or abuse.—The act of a person who forcibly or with coercion or threat of force engages, or conspires or attempts to engage, in sexual contact with one or more persons, or causes, or conspires or attempts to cause, one or more persons to engage in sexual contact.

(I) Taking hostages.—The act of a person who, having knowingly seized or detained one or more persons, threatens to kill, injure, or continue to detain such person or persons with the intent of compelling any nation, person other than the hostage, or group of persons to act or refrain from acting as an explicit or implicit condition for the safety or release of such person or persons.

28 https://www.icc-cpi.int/resourcelibrary/official-journal/rome-statute.aspx

29 https://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/WarCrimes.aspx

30 Nuremberg laws are used to strip citizens of their rights, criminalize human rights in order for public official to illegal seize their assets and steal their liberty.

well as his victims, we find that Oswald Rothaug represented in Germany the personification of the secret Nazi intrigue and cruelty. He was and is a sadistic and evil man. Under any civilized judicial system he could have been impeached and removed from office or convicted of malfeasance in office on account of the scheming malevolence with which he administered injustice." **31**

110. The conduct of the Lawless Extrajudicial Government Employees perpetrating the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket is identical to those of Nazi criminals.

IX. CRIMES OF RETALIATION AGAINST AFFIANT

A. RETALIATION BY THE MURDER FOR PROFIT U.S. SPONSORED GUARDIAN RACKET

111. Immediately after entrusting her mother to the court for protection, Affiant was threatened, terrorized and illegally gag ordered to prohibit reporting her mother's abuse.

112. The illegal gag orders constitute blackmail and extortion as Affiant is a mandated reporter and required to report abuse of her mother.

113. Affiant was repeatedly falsely arrested for reporting the crimes of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket and seeking protection from government agencies for her mother and herself to retaliate against Affiant and silence her.

114. Affiant was lynched in a medieval Florida court in a Salem witch-hunt while a gang of corrupt judges and attorneys perjured "testimony" spewing their venom against her for reporting her mother's abuse and their corruption before their crony accomplice judge.

115. Affiant's story has been reported world-wide. 32

---

31 https://phdn.org/archives/www.mazal.org/NMT-HOME.htm

32 **Florida's Guardians Often Exploit the Vulnerable Residents ...**
www.miaminewtimes.com/news/floridas-guardians...
But even as the rest of South Florida gets serious about guardianship abuse, Miami-Dade seems stuck in the past. ... Barbara Stone is far from the only family member driven to desperation by Miami ...

Activist Alert: Barbara Stone in jail, to go to prison for ...
marygsykes.com/2018/01/12/activist-alert-barbara...
Activist Alert: Barbara Stone in jail, to go to prison for feeding her mother in an abusive gship in Miami Dade Fla–please write and call and demand her immediate release

From EB: an update on Barbara Stone and her mother held in an ...
marygsykes.com/2017/10/18/from-eb-an-update-on...
Texas Attorney Schwager produces Lawyer (Debra Rochlin, an American Hero) to Federal Court who claims she was threatened by FL Judge (Michael Genden) to stop representing Helen Stone in a predatory guardianship with a feeding tube shoved down her throat while the lawyers guardians etc rip off her Estate)

Getting Away with Egregious Guardianship Fraud - by Michael ...
www.hospicepatients.org/professional...

Professional Guardianship Abuse is accomplished under "Color ...

116. Affiant's shattered mother was never returned to her.

117. Affiant's **MOTHER WAS MURDERED** by the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket by depraved indifference to her life and relentless deadly chemical drugging to retaliate against Affiant when she filed a lawsuit against them.

118. Affiant was stripped of her law license in vicious retaliation.

119. Affiant was a **retired** attorney at the time, having no client complaints and having received a letter of appreciation from the bar association for her many years of service.

120. These acts constitute RICO Predicate Acts.

121. Affiant is now legally blind, having been forced to spend upwards of 20 hours a day on the computer to file pleadings in the multiple rackets in which is embroiled and her inability to obtain medical services because her assets have been embezzled.

122. Affiant has lost her livelihood and ability to support herself financially.

B. RETALIATION BY INEXTRICABLY INTERTWINED COLOR OF LAW COURTS TO PERPETRATE AN EMBEZZLEMENT /THEFT RACKET

123. Affiant was sued in a fraudulent, fabricated RICO SLAPP suit by a member of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket in another racketeering court in a scheme to defraud 33 by looting and embezzling Affiant's home and life savings (the

---

corruptwashington.com/2018/02/10/professional...

The Case of Attorney Barbara Stone and her Mother Helen Barbara Stone traveled to Florida from her home in New York to find her mother emaciated in a nursing home where she was under guardianship. (Barbara mistakenly thought placing her mother in guardianship would be a way to protect her from harm).

Exposing guardianship as human ownership. Depriving ...

guardianshipexposed.wordpress.com/2014/08/26/...

We cannot countenance their exploitation, abuse, fraudulent and malicious desecration and the violation of their declared wishes by predatory guardians and lawyers" says member Barbara Stone, who has been wrongfully arrested twice just for trying to see and protect her mother who has been unlawfully isolated from her..

Court to Hear Extradition of Woman Accused of Feeding her ...

newsmaven.io/pinacnews/eye-on-government/court...

Barbara Stone is charged with "failing to comply with the plea agreement…..(stating that Stone) shall not file any documents in the pending guardianship case, or in any other court of competent jurisdiction, concerning her mother or any other parties/participants unless said documents are filed by and through an attorney licensed to ...

Florida's most lucrative cottage industry: The Trafficking of ...

ppjg.me/.../floridas-most-lucrative-cottage-industry

Florida's most lucrative cottage industry: The Trafficking of Humans May 2, 2014 ppjg financial exploitation, Guardianship Abuse Barbara Stone, corrupt courts, elder abuse, financial exploitation, Florida, Guardianship Abuse, human trafficking, looting estates, predatory guardians 8 Comments

33 **18 U.S. Code § 1346 - Definition of "scheme or artifice to ...**

https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1346

For the purposes of this chapter, the term " scheme or artifice to defraud " includes **a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.** (Added Pub. L. 100–690, title VII, § 7603 (a), Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4508.)

"Embezzlement Racket") to retaliate against Affiant for exposing the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket.

124. The perpetrator stole the assets of Affiant's mother to fund this criminal scheme to defraud Affiant, her daughter.

125. This theft is documented in the fabricated, fraudulent "invoice petitions" of the perpetrator.

126. The perpetrator falsely alleged a fabricated "injury" using a letter from his RICO Affiliate falsely stating that he was a member of a company that did not hire the perpetrator because of unflattering purported email materials about the perpetrator that he falsely stated were seen by another member of his company that was not identified.

127. The company referenced DOES NOT EXIST as documented by official records of the Secretary of State that are on the record.

128. The "member" of the non-existent company is non-existent as documented in the official records of the Secretary of State that is of record.

129. Any similar company names were long dissolved many years before the fabricated lawsuit as documented in the official records of the Secretary of State that is of record.

130. There is no identification of the falsified unidentified other member as shown on record.

131. The purported unflattering email materials were direct obscene emails circulated by and between the perpetrator and his daughter just prior to and in order to perpetrate the false, fabricated RICO lawsuit.

132. **The obscene emails include the desire of the perpetrator to be raped by male prisoners.**

133. **Examples of these obscene emails include:**

a. "DADDY with the money I have stolen i develop you to an actress. I tricked helpless people under guardianship and stole money from charities." The email goes on to state:

"Erica, that ok when Daddy is in prison you can be a waitress abd (sic) give him money. Actually Daddy will like taking shower parties in prison so maybe you should start buying soap now and baby powder for his swollen a... when he f... around there!"

b. "Daddy doesn't care about human beings.
He gives a fu**ck about gay Greg. He is happy now!
Who will be next? Maybe you.....
Did you tell DADDY about your drinking problem?
Happy hanukkah you poor thing. Sent from hell.

c. Hi you ugly cu**nt! By the way, when is your big "drunk" fat greek wedding?

134. **Because of these depraved sexual proclivities, Affiant is terrified her mother was sexually molested and/or placed in a sex trafficking ring. Affiant's mother was taken regularly by unidentified persons from the facility to secretive, unidentified locations.**

135. These fraudulent filings and circulation of obscene materials violate a string of Federal criminal laws34 including criminal laws relating to the distribution of obscene materials.35

136. The Fraudulent Docket violates 18 U.S.C. 100136 and other Federal criminal laws.

137. An illegal void fraudulent judgment was issued against Affiant although she was never provided notice of court proceedings and never appeared in court as she was civilly and criminally deprived of notice, due process and the right to appear in court.

138. When Affiant filed irrefutable, prima facie proof of the fabricated lawsuit, an illegal decree in the guise of a "gag order" was issued by Joan Lenard that illegally prohibited

---

34 8 U.S. Code § 1324c; 18 U.S. Code § 1038.False information and hoaxes;
18 U.S. Code § 1001.Statements or entries generally; 18 U.S. Code § 1623. False declarations before grand 18 USC 848 ongoing criminal enterprise.

35 18 U.S. Code § 1465.Production and transportation of obscene matters for sale or distribution
Whoever knowingly produces with the intent to transport, distribute, or transmit in interstate or foreign commerce, or whoever knowingly transports or travels in, or uses a facility or means of, interstate or foreign commerce or an interactive computer service (as defined in section 230(e)(2) [1] of the Communications Act of 1934) in or affecting such commerce, for the purpose of sale or distribution of any obscene, lewd, lascivious, or filthy book, pamphlet, picture, film, paper, letter, writing, print, silhouette, drawing, figure, image, cast, phonograph recording, electrical transcription or other article capable of producing sound or any other matter of indecent or immoral character, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.
18 U.S. Code § 1461.Mailing obscene or crime-inciting matter
Every obscene, lewd, lascivious, indecent, filthy or vile article, matter, thing, device, or substance; and—
Whoever knowingly uses the mails for the mailing, carriage in the mails, or delivery of anything declared by this section or section 3001(e) of title 39 to be non-mailable, or knowingly causes to be delivered by mail according to the direction thereon, or at the place at which it is directed to be delivered by the person to whom it is addressed, or knowingly takes any such thing from the mails for the purpose of circulating or disposing thereof, or of aiding in the circulation or disposition thereof, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both, for the first such offense, and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both, for each such offense thereafter.

36 18 U.S. Code § 1001.Statements or entries generally
(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully—
(1)falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact;
(2)makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or
(3)makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry;
shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or, if the offense involves international or domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331), imprisoned not more than 8 years, or both. If the matter relates to an offense under chapter 109A, 109B, 110, or 117, or section 1591, then the term of imprisonment imposed under this section shall be not more than 8 years.

Affiant from reporting these crimes, from referring to the filer and his unnamed affiliates and illegally prohibited from access to the courts.

139. The illegal gag order constitutes conspiracy in a scheme to defraud, tampering with a witness and a string of other Federal Crimes.37

140. Affiant was forced into an involuntary bankruptcy where the identical crimes are being perpetrated in order to steal and embezzle Affiant's home and life savings deliberately and criminally by the color of law extrajudicial bankruptcy government employee knowing the claim is a product of the crimes and fraud of the filer.

141. A string of illegal void decrees in the guise of "orders" have been entered in order to fence the stolen property of Affiant and cover up the criminal activities.

142. A contiguous Affidavit of Affiant attests to the racketeering, crimes and human rights atrocities being perpetrated in the Embezzlement Racket.

143. It is unfathomable, unimaginable, a depravity against humanity that these barbaric acts of terror, torture, murder and pillaging occur under the auspices of the government of the United States of America.

Under penalties of perjury, I affirm the above statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

STATE OF FLORIDA)

COUNTY OF DADE)

Barbara Stone

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 26th day of February, 2021 by Barbara Stone personally known to me or presented the following identification Florida Driver License

NOTARY PUBLIC

Maria Nolasco

(Print or type name of notary public and stamp)

My commission expires: 10/06/2023

MARIA NOLASCO
Notary Public, State of Florida
Commission# GG 919743
My comm. expires Oct. 06, 2023

37 18 U.S.C. § 1503; 37 42 U.S.C. § 1985 37 and 18 U.S.C.§1512

AFFIDAVIT OF BARBARA STONE
ATTESTING TO LOOTING AND EMBEZZLEMENT
OF HER HOME, LIFE SAVINGS AND PROPERTY
AND BLACKMAIL AND EXTORTION
BY EXTRAJUDICIAL AND OTHER GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES;
CORRUPT ATTORNEYS AND OTHERS
IN A FRAUDULENT, FABRICATED RICO LAWSUIT
IN THE GUISE OF A COURT PROCEEDING
AND TO RETALIATE AGAINST BARBARA STONE FOR EXPOSING THE MURDER FOR PROFIT U.S. SPONSORED GUARDIAN RACKET

I, Barbara Stone ("Affiant") state as follows:

I. THIS AFFIDAVIT ON ITS FACE PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCES CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY AND RACKETEERING BY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

1. The statements in this Affidavit must be taken as true on their face.
2. Furthermore, none of the statements made herein have ever been disputed or denied.
3. This Affidavit sets forth crimes by extrajudicial and other government employees and their conspirators that constitute Crimes against Humanity under the definition thereof as codified in Article 7 of the International Criminal Court statute; [1] criminal racketeering[2] and an ongoing criminal enterprise.[3]
4. This Affidavit describes and attests to:
   a. an extortion, embezzlement, theft, looting criminal enterprise against Affiant (the Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft Racket");
   b. The Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft Racket is an inextricably intertwined crime racket perpetrated in conspiracy with a genocide/looting/human trafficking criminal enterprise (the "Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket") where Affiant's mother, Helen Stone was murdered, falsely imprisoned, forcibly disappeared and tortured and her home, life savings and all possessions were looted and pillaged.
5. The extrajudicial government employees involved include but are not limited to:
   a. Joan Lenard, a color of law judge in the Southern District Court of Florida;
   b. Jonathan Goodman, a color of law magistrate in the Southern District Court of Florida;

---

[1] **Crimes Against Humanity | Wex | US Law | LII / Legal Information Institute (cornell.edu)**

[2] 18 U.S.C. § 1961-1964

[3] 21 U.S.C. § 848

c. Laurel Isicoff, a color of law non-article III magistrate in the Southern District Bankruptcy Court of Florida;
d. The extrajudicial public servants who are masterminds of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket;
e. Collusive RICO extrajudicial affiliates acting in a cover up/protection racket;

6. The criminal acts of these extrajudicial public servants fall within the definition of "Domestic Terrorism," and are virally exposed as "Judicial Terrorists", [4] "Crimes Against Humanity" and "Racketeering."
7. The crimes herein are prima facie documented on their face.
8. These atrocities take place in the pretense of a "court proceeding" where Judicial Terrorists employ commonly used tactics to carry out self-serving financial schemes including but not limited to:
   a. Falsifying facts and law to orchestrate outcome their illegal void fraudulent orders;
   b. Obstructing justice by not addressing the merits of a matter;
   c. Deprivation of rights under color of law;
   d. Illegal use of American courts to perpetrate schemes to defraud;
   e. Criminal conflict of interest by misuse of their power as a weapon to malign the character of a litigant; falsely labeling them "vexatious" or "frivolous" to divert from their own corrupt acts;
   f. Thereafter, blackmailing a litigant with threats to silence them from reporting.
9. Affiant is terrified of all of these Judicial Terrorists and demands whistleblower/witness protection to protect her from their crimes and to expose and identify their affiliates.
10. These prima facie atrocities epitomize the rampant decay and lawless American judicial/legal system where judges hold themselves above the law [5]and have no

[4] **Domestic Abuse Survivors and "Judicial Terrorism ...**
https://lostmessiahdotcom.wordpress.com/2020/11/05/...
Nov 05, 2020 · **Judicial terrorism** is a term being coined for a situation when the courts are used by abusers against abuse survivors. The article below relates specifically to that use of the term. Abuse victims and assault victims, who find the courage to seek legal …
victimssafeharbor.org
Judicial Terrorist®: an abuser using coercive control to manipulate a judicial proceeding. Judicial Terrorism®: the unique dynamic created by an abuser between a victim of domestic violence and the court because of the abuser's use of the U.S. judicial system for continued domestic violence long after separation and divorce
Judicial Terrorism Book | Justice4NY
https://justice4ny.com/judicial-terrorism-book
Judicial Terrorism Book This book is dedicated to all those who have suffered because of the illegal actions of our corrupt state and federal judiciaries. Make it a reality that no one is above the law, that our

[5] **Thousands of U.S. judges who broke laws or oaths ... - Reuters**
https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct
In the first comprehensive accounting of **judicial** misconduct nationally, **Reuters** identified and reviewed 1,509 cases from the last dozen years – 2008 through 2019 – in which **judges** resigned ...

accountability for their illegal and criminal acts by all cross-corrupted, immoral, lawless branches of American government.

11. The crimes against humanity by the American government are even more perverse and dangerous as it offers false security to the world public by pretending to be a "protector" of Human Rights when nothing could be further from the truth as the U.S. has not signed even one of the many treaties that protect the most fundamental of human rights. [6]
12. All government employees who are provided this Affidavit are MANDATED TO PROVIDE RELIEF under 42 U.S.C. § 1986 and to report these atrocities.
13. There is no immunity.

II. THE MURDER FOR PROFIT U.S. SPONSORED GUARDIAN RACKET

14. The crimes and human rights atrocities attested to herein are the product of and inextricably intertwined with the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket described in a contiguous Affidavit of Affiant.
15. The genocide, human trafficking, pillaging racket is virally exposed in the media and countless exposes are including in the Murder/Human Trafficking/Pillaging Affidavit.
16. A recent expose below reports the recusal of the ENTIRE extrajudicial public servants in the state of Missouri who conspired in a human sex trafficking enterprise run through the courts where the "indenture" of a child with her sex pervert father was ordered by a **monster** wearing a black robe, pretending to be a judge [7] after it was exposed.

Reuters major investigation exposes hardwired judicial ...
https://www.veteranstoday.com/2020/06/30/reuters...
Reuters major investigation exposes hardwired judicial **corruption. Judges** have made racist statements, lied to state officials and forced defendants to languish …

[6] **https://www.jstor.org/stable/29766443?read-now=1&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents** In a Journal Article entitled "The Hypocrisy and Racism Behind the Formulation of U.S. Human Rights Foreign Policy: In Honor of Clyde Ferguson" Francis A. Boyle a law professor at University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, states:
"It might come as a surprise to learn the U.S. government has absolutely one of the very worst records among all of the so called Western liberal democracies when it comes to the ratification of the major multilateral human rights instruments. The U.S. government has failed to ratify the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966); the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966); the International Convention of the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid (1973); the International Convention of the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination (1965); the Convention of the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (1979); the Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness (1961); as this article went to press, the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crimes of Genocide (1948); and the American Convention on Human Rights (1965), among others. The refusal of the U.S. government to ratify these major international human rights treatises simply demonstrates the rank hypocrisy that historically has determined the formulation of U.S. human rights foreign policy: What right does American have to preach human rights to other states, governments, and peoples when it has adamantly refused to ratify these major multi-lateral international human rights treaties?"

[7] **https://pjmedia.com/news-and-politics/megan-fox/2021/03/02/caught-colluding-leaked-video-reveals-family-court-guardians-conspiring-to-dox-journalist-for-exposing-them-n1429556**

NOTICE OF MASSIVE RECUSAL OF "GUARDIAN JUDGES" REPORTED ON MARCH 3, 2021[8]

III. BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE EMBEZZLEMENT /EXTORTION/THEFT RACKET

17. Set forth herein is a prima facie summary of this sick, twisted, Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft Racket.
18. A complete, graphic prima facie description is set forth in Article _.

A. CRIMES BY THE FILER WHO MASTERMINDED THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

19. The Embezzlement/Extortion Racket originated by the filing of a fabricated, fraudulent lawsuit by a Mastermind of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket (the "Filer") who is a felon, having been found guilty of felony crimes by the 3rd DCA, including perjury, fraud, repeatedly lying under oath and subverting the courts to achieve his own illegal financial gain in the case of Leo's Gulf Liquor, 802 So 2d 337.
20. The 3rd DCA violated their own order stating they would transfer the matter to the State Attorney and the Florida Bar and failed to do so, thereby by criminal negligence, placed Barbara and the public in grave danger.
21. Had they complied with reporting laws and their own order, the Filer would have been have been disbarred and incarcerated.
22. Instead, the Filer was left unleashed on the public and masterminded the murder, human trafficking of Affiant's mother, pillaged and looted her assets and embezzlement /extortion of Affiant's home and life savings.
23. Affiant was sued in a fraudulent, fabricated RICO SLAPP suit by the Filer, a mastermind of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket in an inextricably intertwined

[8] https://pjmedia.com/news-and-politics/megan-fox/2021/03/02/31-missouri-judges-recuse-themselves-from-lawsuit-alleging-family-court-guardian-and-psychologists-orchestrated-money-making-scheme-n1428930

https://pjmedia.com/news-and-politics/megan-fox/2021/03/02/caught-colluding-leaked-video-reveals-family-court-guardians-conspiring-to-dox-journalist-for-exposing-them-n1429556

In St. Louis County, Mo., Evita Tolu has filed a lawsuit against family court guardian ad litem (GAL) Elaine Pudlowski, psychologist James Reid, and clinical social worker Jennifer Webbe VanLuven, alleging that the trio conspired to use her custody dispute as an opportunity to get rich **while sentencing her children to life with an abuser**. The lawsuit alleges a scheme perpetrated by a group of professionals to drain parents involved in custody battles. At the end of the court process, parents are broke and kids are traumatized while GALs, court-appointed psychologists, and therapists are enriched. Tolu says the scheme kept her in court for three years, drained her bank account, and alienated her children from her. The suit alleges that this pattern is a regular family court occurrence when Pudlowski is involved.

racketeering court using a scheme to defraud [9] in order to loot and embezzle Affiant's home and life savings and to retaliate against Affiant for exposing the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket.

24. The perpetrator stole the assets of Affiant's mother to fund the Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft Racket to defraud Affiant, her daughter as prima facie documented in fabricated, fraudulent "invoice petitions" of the perpetrator.
25. The perpetrator masterminded the Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft Racket by:
    a. Falsely alleging a fabricated "injury" using a letter from his RICO Affiliate falsely stating that he was a "member" of a company, IIG, that did not hire the perpetrator because of unflattering purported "forwarded" email materials about the perpetrator he falsely stated were seen by his "unidentified partner;"
    b. Creating obscene emails and circulating them to family members that were affiliates in the Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft Racket and then purportedly "forwarding" them to unidentified email addresses.
26. The company referenced, IIG, DOES NOT EXIST as prima facie documented by the certified, official records of the Secretary of State. (Exhibit A).
27. Any similarly named companies were dissolved many years prior to the filing of the fabricated RICO lawsuit.
28. There is no such "member" of the non-existent company as documented in the Articles of Incorporation of the dissolved similarly names companies as prima facie documented the certified, official records of the Secretary of State. (Exhibit A).
29. There is no identification of the falsified unidentified other member.
30. The purported unflattering email materials were direct obscene emails circulated by and between the perpetrator and his daughter just prior to and in order to perpetrate the false, fabricated RICO lawsuit. (Exhibit B).
31. **The obscene emails include the desire of the perpetrator to be raped/sodomized by male prisoners.**
32. **Examples of these obscene emails include:**

[9] **18 U.S. Code § 1346 - Definition of "scheme or artifice to ... https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1346**

For the purposes of this chapter, the term " scheme or artifice to defraud " includes **a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.** (Added Pub. L. 100–690, title VII, § 7603 (a), Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4508.)

a. "DADDY with the money I have stolen i develop you to an actress. I tricked helpless people under guardianship and stole money from charities." The email goes on to state:

"Erica, that ok when Daddy is in prison you can be a waitress abd (sic) give him money. Actually Daddy will like taking shower parties in prison so maybe you should start buying soap now and baby powder for his swollen a… when he f… around there!"

b. "Daddy doesn't care about human beings.
He gives a fu**ck about gay Greg. He is happy now!
Who will be next? Maybe you……
Did you tell DADDY about your drinking problem?
Happy hanukkah you poor thing. Sent from hell.

c. Hi you ugly cu**nt! By the way, when is your big "drunk" fat greek wedding?

33. **Because of the terrifying perversions of these obscene emails by the mastermind of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket and Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft Racket, Affiant is terrified her mother was sexually molested and/or placed in a sex trafficking ring. Affiant's mother was taken regularly by unidentified persons from the facility to secretive, unidentified locations.**
34. The circulation of obscene materials violate a string of Federal criminal laws.[10]
35. The filing of such documents also violate a string of Federal criminal laws.[11]
36. Perpetrating and conspiracy in a scheme to defraud violates a string of Federal criminal laws. [12]

---

[10] 18 U.S. Code § 1465.Production and transportation of obscene matters for sale or distribution
Whoever knowingly produces with the intent to transport, distribute, or transmit in interstate or foreign commerce, or whoever knowingly transports or travels in, or uses a facility or means of, interstate or foreign commerce or an interactive computer service (as defined in section 230(e)(2) [1] of the Communications Act of 1934) in or affecting such commerce, for the purpose of sale or distribution of any obscene, lewd, lascivious, or filthy book, pamphlet, picture, film, paper, letter, writing, print, silhouette, drawing, figure, image, cast, phonograph recording, electrical transcription or other article capable of producing sound or any other matter of indecent or immoral character, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.
18 U.S. Code § 1461.Mailing obscene or crime-inciting matter
Every obscene, lewd, lascivious, indecent, filthy or vile article, matter, thing, device, or substance; and—
Whoever knowingly uses the mails for the mailing, carriage in the mails, or delivery of anything declared by this section or section 3001(e) of title 39 to be non-mailable, or knowingly causes to be delivered by mail according to the direction thereon, or at the place at which it is directed to be delivered by the person to whom it is addressed, or knowingly takes any such thing from the mails for the purpose of circulating or disposing thereof, or of aiding in the circulation or disposition thereof, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both, for the first such offense, and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both, for each such offense thereafter.

[11] 8 U.S. Code § 1324c; 18 U.S. Code § 1038.False information and hoaxes;
18 **U.S. Code § 1001.Statements or entries generally; 18 U.S. Code § 1623. False declarations before grand 18 USC 848 ongoing criminal enterprise.**

[12] **18 U.S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles | U.S. Code | US ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1341

37. The Fraudulent Docket violates 18 U.S.C. 1001[13] and other Federal criminal laws.
38. Acting in collusion with the inextricably intertwined Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket, Joan Lenard and Jonathan Goodman fabricated and falsified judicial process and perpetrated human rights atrocities to effectuate the Embezzlement/Extortion Racket:
    a. Joan Lenard prohibited Barbara from receiving court documents in order to conspire with the Fabricated, Fraudulent Lawsuit Filer and carry out an ex parte farcical Kafkaesque court proceeding.
    b. Joan Lenard then illegally "defaulted" Barbara to prevent her from ever appearing in court to protect her property, knowing she was not in default as she was precluded from being provided court documents.
    c. Jurisdiction-less Jonathan Goodman, carried out an ex parte, farcical, Kafkaesque kangaroo event in the guise of a hearing where he found no damages against Barbara
    d. Jonathan Goodman told the Fabricated, Fraudulent Lawsuit Filer to create his own decree to award himself damages.
    e. Thereafter, using the decree of the Filer, Joan Lenard issued an ex parte, void illegal fraudulent judgment in the WHOPPING sum of ONE MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS.
39. When Affiant filed Declaratory Statements exposing the Embezzlement/Extortion Racket, Joan Lenard issued an Illegal, Void Rape of Rights Blackmail Decree to retaliate against and blackmail Affiant to silence her in order to perpetrate the racket in secret in collusion with the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket.
40. Affiant was forced into an involuntary bankruptcy wherein she is being subjected to conspiracy and collusion in the Embezzlement/Extortion Racket; an ongoing bankruptcy

---

Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any **scheme** or artifice to **defraud,** or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation, security, or other article, …

18 U.S. Code § 1346. Definition of "scheme or artifice to defraud". For the purposes of this chapter, the term " scheme or artifice to **defraud** " includes **a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible**

[13] **18 U.S. Code § 1001.Statements or entries generally**

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully—

(1)falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact;

(2)makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or

(3)makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry;

shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or, if the offense involves international or domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331), imprisoned not more than 8 years, or both. If the matter relates to an offense under chapter 109A, 109B, 110, or 117, or section 1591, then the term of imprisonment imposed under this section shall be not more than 8 years.

racket by Laurel Isicoff who is operating a cover up and protection racket; blackmail, extortion, threats and retaliation.

B. SUMMARY OF CRIME BY CONPIRATORS JOAN LENARD AND JONATHAN GOODMAN

41. Affiant was criminally stripped of her rights under color of law [14] by conspirators Joan Lenard and Jonathan Goodman who perpetrated a series of RICO Predicate Acts and an ongoing criminal enterprise in a scheme to defraud in the guise of a court proceeding where they orchestrated an illegal void ex parte fraudulent judgment against Affiant in the sum of **ONE MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS**.
42. Affiant was deliberately not provided notice of court proceedings and never appeared in court as she was civilly and criminally deprived of notice, due process and the right to appear in court.
43. The illegal void ex parte fraudulent judgment was the product of a string of federal felony crimes including but not limited to:
    a. Affiant's court mail was illegally ordered not to be sent to her.
    b. instead the perpetrator was ordered to confiscate Affiant's mail and act in the capacity of the U.S. Post office to deliver court mail.
    c. This violates a string of Federal Laws as set forth in Article IV.
    d. Joan Lenard entered an illegal default against Affiant although she was not in default as she filed an Affidavit that she was not receiving court documents on the very same day response was due and the illegal default was entered.
    e. The entry of an intentional illegal default constitutes a Predicate RICO Act.
    f. Affiant filed a counter-claim again the perpetrator exposing the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket. The counterclaim was illegally dismissed in retaliation.
44. When Affiant submitted irrefutable, prima facie proof of the fabricated lawsuit, a "blackmail decree" [15] in the guise of a "gag order" was issued by Joan Lenard to illegally prohibit Affiant from reporting these crimes, from referring to the filer and his unnamed affiliates and illegally prohibited from access to the courts.

---

[14] **18 U.S. Code § 241 and 242.**

[15] **18 U.S. Code § 873 - Blackmail**

Whoever, under a threat of informing, or as a consideration for not informing, against any violation of any law of the United States, demands or receives any money or other valuable thing, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both

45. The blackmail decree also constitutes conspiracy in a scheme to defraud, tampering with a witness and a string of other Federal Crimes.[16]

C. SUMMARY OF CRIMES BY CONPIRATORS LAUREL ISICOFF AND THE ISICOFF INSIDER TEAM

46. Affiant was forced into an involuntary bankruptcy where the identical crimes are being perpetrated in order to steal and embezzle Affiant's home and life savings by Laurel Isicoff and the Isicoff Insider Team described in Article _in collusion with a fraudulent claim that is the product of crimes and fraud of the filer.
47. A string of illegal void fraudulent decrees and in the guise of "orders" have been entered in order to fence the stolen property of Affiant and cover up the criminal activities.
48. Identical blackmail decrees are entered to threaten Affiant from reporting these crimes. **Former attorney general John Ascroft has made public the vicious corruption in the bankruptcy court in a speech fittingly before the International Criminal Court in the Hague set forth hereafter.**

IV. HUMAN RIGHTS ATROCITIES AND CRIMES BY JOAN LENARD

A. FRAUDULENT, VOID AND ILLEGAL DEFAULT ENTERED AGAINST AFFIANT KNOWINGLY AFFIANT WAS NOT IN DEFAULT

49. Joan Lenard knowing issued an unsigned illegal default against Affiant (the "Illegal Default") knowing that Affiant was not in default.
50. On the same day response was due, Affiant filed an Affidavit attesting to the fact that she was not receiving court mail.

05/07/2015	38	AFFIDAVIT signed by: Barbara Affiant by Barbara Affiant. (ar2) (Entered: 05/08/2015)
05/07/2015	36	Clerk's Entry of Default as to Barbara Affiant per [DE 35] Order Directing Clerk to Enter Default. Signed by DEPUTY CLERK on 5/7/2015. (ar2) (Entered: 05/07/2015)

51. The failure of Affiant to be provided her mail was verified as the docket reflects that Affiant's mail was returned to the court (D.E. 63).
52. Moreover, the Illegal Default was illegal on other grounds:

[16] 18 U.S.C. § 1503; [16] 42 U.S.C. § 1985 [16] and 18 U.S.C.§1512

a. Joan Lenard stated in the Illegal Void Show Cause Document (See Paragraph B) if there were no response, she would dismiss the matter, but she violated her own order and did not dismiss the illegal SLAPP suit, instead she issued the Illegal Default.
b. The Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Obstruction of Justice Document is illegal. Thus the Illegal Default is illegal.
c. Joan Lenard was mandated by law and TO SET ASIDE the Illegal Default:
    - It is fundamental law that cases are preferred to be decided on their merits not by default.
    - Rule 55 provides "The court may set aside an entry of default for good cause." There is no better "good cause and good grounds" than that of Affiant who notified Lenard under oath that she was not receiving filings and Lenard's knowing and deliberate issuance of a void illegal fraudulent default when Affiant was not in default.
d. Joan Lenard illegally refused to set aside the Illegal Default.

53. The illegal void default was deliberately and diabolically "decreed" by Joan Lenard to INSURE AFFIANT **NEVER** HAD ACCESS TO COURT OR OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AGAINST AND EXPOSE THE EMBEZZLEMENT/EXTORTION AND THEFT RACKET in criminal and civil violation of Federal laws including 18 U.S.C. 241; 18 U.S.C 242 and 42 U.S.C. 1983; and deprived Affiant of access to the court..

B. FRAUDULENT, VOID AND ILLEGAL "SHOW CAUSE ORDER"

54. The Illegal Default was issued as a product of an Illegal Void Show Cause Document to illegally coerce and intimidate Affiant to force her to consent to a magistrate.
55. The Illegal Show Cause Document[17] ordered Affiant response as to whether she consented to a magistrate judge.
56. The Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Document is also void and illegal as it violates a myriad of laws and Joan Lenard's own orders:
    a. The Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Document violates 28 USC § 636 as the lack of consent to a magistrate judge is self authenticating and no document filing is required.
    b. 28 USC § 636 grants RIGHTS to a party to be exercised in their discretion.
    c. In accordance with her rights under 28 USC § 636, Affiant did not consent to the appointment of Goodman.
    d. Under 28 USC § 636 no response is needed to indicate the consent or lack of consent

[17] The Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Obstruction of Justice Document is void and illegal as it is not signed by Joan Lenard as required by 15 U.S.C. 7003.

to a magistrate.

e. The lack of providing consent is notification of lack of consent.

f. the Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Document is an illegal communication and violates local rule 3 (b) (2) that provides:

"No magistrate judge, District Judge, or other Court official may attempt to persuade or induce any party to consent to the reference of any matter to a Magistrate Judge".

57. The Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Document therefore was used as a form of intimidation as Affiant had already indicated her lack of consent.
58. The Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Document is also an insidious threat, an attempt to coerce Affiant to agree to a magistrate in violation of Federal laws and local rules.
59. The Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Document constitutes coercion[18] and extortion.[19]
60. The Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Document violates its own terms set forth by Joan Lenard as the remedy threatened in the Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Obstruction of Justice Document was DISMISSAL of the action, not default (Docket Entry 28).
61. However, Lenard didn't dismiss the action at all in violation of her own Illegal Void Show Cause Obstruction of Justice Document.
62. Instead, Joan Lenard deceived Affiant as to the consequences of Affiant's action, a criminal deprivation of due process and grave violation of ethics.
63. Lenard's violation of her own Order constitutes altering a court record, a federal crime. [20]

---

[18] **25 CFR § 11.406 - Criminal coercion.**

(a) A person is guilty of criminal coercion if, with purpose to unlawfully restrict another's freedom of action to his or her detriment, he or she threatens to:

(1) Commit any criminal offense; or

(2) Accuse anyone of a criminal offense; or

(3) Take or withhold action as an official, or cause an official to take or withhold action.

(b) Criminal coercion is classified as a misdemeanor.

[19] **18 U.S. Code § 872.Extortion by officers or employees of the United States**

Whoever, being an officer, or employee of the United States or any department or agency thereof, or representing himself to be or assuming to act as such, under color or pretense of office or employment commits or attempts an act of extortion, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; but if the amount so extorted or demanded does not exceed $1,000, he shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

[20] **18 U.S. Code § 1001.Statements or entries generally**

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully—

(1)falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact;

(2)makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or

(3)makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry;

shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or, if the offense involves international or domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331), imprisoned not more than 8 years, or both. If the matter relates to an offense under chapter 109A, 109B, 110, or 117, or section 1591, then the term of imprisonment imposed under this section shall be not more than 8 years.

64. Joan Lenard committed a second crime of record tampering by issuing a later Order contradicting the Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Document, confirming Affiant had not consented to a magistrate and Jonathan Goodman did not have jurisdiction to conduct a hearing (D.E.44).

C. ILLEGAL VOID THEFT OF AND TAMPERING WITH DELIVERY OF AFFIANT'S MAIL BY JOAN LENARD AND JONATHAN GOODMAN

65. Joan Lenard was responsible to hold a hearing to investigate why Affiant was precluded from receiving her mail and insuring that Affiant received her mail.
66. Instead Lenard issued the unsigned, Illegal Default.
67. Thereafter Jonathan Goodman became a conspirator in this racket.
68. He and Joan Lenard then double teamed Affiant, illegally obstructing Affiant's access to the court and withholding Affiant's mail, issuing an illegal void "theft of mail decree" ordering that Affiant was not to be sent court documents.
69. Instead her mail was ordered to be intercepted by the Filer, the very person perpetrating the RICO who would act as an imposter postal-person[21] for the delivery of Affiant's mail.

05/08/2015	39	ENDORSED ORDER re 38 Affidavit by Barbara Stone. The Undersigned has reviewed Defendant's affidavit and the reason she proffers for missing the preliminary status conference -- one of several factors identified in the District Court's order as grounds for a default. However, because the District Court has, in the intervening period, already entered a default on several grounds, including the failure to appear at the preliminary status conference, the Undersigned will not be rescheduling the preliminary status conference which Ms. Stone did not attend. Instead, pursuant to the District Court's 37 referral of the case for a determination of damages, the Undersigned will soon be entering an Order scheduling an evidentiary hearing on damages. The Undersigned notes that Ms. Stone says, in her affidavit, that she will be retaining counsel to represent her in this case. Until defense counsel for Ms. Stone files a notice of appearance (assuming she follows through and obtains an attorney), Ms. Stone is *pro se* in this case. Given the allegations in Ms. Stone's affidavit about not timely receiving papers, Plaintiff (an attorney representing himself) shall forthwith mail a copy of this endorsed order to Ms. Stone and shall also mail to Ms. Stone copies of all submissions he makes in this case (and all hearing and trial notices) until such time as an attorney files a notice of appearance on Ms. Stone 's behalf. By imposing this requirement, the Undersigned is not making any findings on whether Ms. Stone did or did not receive earlier filings. Signed by Magistrate Judge Jonathan Goodman on 5/8/2015. (tr00)

[21] **18 U.S.C. 912**

		(Entered: 05/08/2015)

70. Joan Lenard and Jonathan Goodman thereby committed multiple felony crimes, stealing Affiant's mail and tampering with the delivery of Affiant's mail. [22]

D. ILLEGAL REFERRAL TO MAGISTRATE BY JOAN LENARD

71. Joan Lenard illegally issued an illegal void order on 5/7/15, the very same day when the Illegal Default was entered enlisting Jonathan Goodman as an Affiliate in this racketeering enterprise to act as an unauthorized magistrate acting without jurisdiction..

05/07/2015	37	ORDER REFERRING CASE to Magistrate Judge Jonathan Goodman for a determination of damages. This entry constitutes the ENDORSED ORDER in its entirety. Signed by Judge Joan A. Lenard on 5/7/2015. (gie) (Entered: 05/07/2015)

E. TAMPERING WITH WITNESSES AND ILLEGAL DEPRIVATION OF AFFIANT'S RIGHT TO DISCOVERY BY JOAN LENARD

74. Joan Lenard blocked Affiant and others from testifying, thereby tampering with witnesses in criminal violation of federal law. [23]
75. Joan Lenard obstructed Affiant's fundamental, inalienable rights to defend her property from illegal seizure; [24] by illegally deprived Affiant of her right to discovery to determine the origin and authenticity of the Obscene Documents Purporting to be Emails; to expose

---

[22] **§1708. Theft or receipt of stolen mail matter generally**
Whoever steals, takes, or abstracts, or by fraud or deception obtains, or attempts so to obtain, from or out of any mail, post office, or station thereof, letter box, mail receptacle, or any mail route or other authorized depository for mail matter, or from a letter or mail carrier, any letter, postal card, package, bag, or mail, or abstracts or removes from any such letter, package, bag, or mail, any article or thing contained therein, or secretes, embezzles, or destroys any such letter, postal card, package, bag, or mail, or any article or thing contained therein; or
Whoever steals, takes, or abstracts, or by fraud or deception obtains any letter, postal card, package, bag, or mail, or any article or thing contained therein which has been left for collection upon or adjacent to a collection box or other authorized depository of mail matter; or
Whoever buys, receives, or conceals, or unlawfully has in his possession, any letter, postal card, package, bag, or mail, or any article or thing contained therein, which has been so stolen, taken, embezzled, or abstracted, as herein described, knowing the same to have been stolen, taken, embezzled, or abstracted-
Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

[23] **18 U.S. Code § 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1512

[24] **Standard 6-2.2. Duty to witnesses provides:**
The trial judge should permit full and proper examination and cross-examination of witnesses, but should require the interrogation to be conducted fairly and objectively and with due regard for the dignity and legitimate privacy of the witnesses.

the Filer's perjury and that of his daughter/RICO Affiliate Predicate Act regarding his daughter's AOL email address; to expose the suborned and perjured testimony of the Affiliates of the Filer and other criminal activities.

76. These acts also constitute obstruction and conspiracy in a scheme to defraud.

F. JOAN LENARD'S BIZARRE AND CONTRADICTORY ORDERS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID; MISSTATE THE LAW AND "DEHOR THE RECORD

75. Joan Lenard routinely violates her own Orders.

76. Lenard had ordered that in the event of the failure to comply with the Illegal Show Cause, the action would be dismissed.

77. However, Joan Lenard did not dismiss the Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Obstruction of Justice Document, instead she knowingly and illegally issued an Illegal Default .

78. This constitutes criminal obstruction of justice.

79. Joan Lenard then issued a later Order contradicting the Unsigned Illegal Void Show Cause Obstruction of Justice Document confirming that Affiant had not consented to a magistrate judge and that RICO Accomplice Jonathan Goodman did not have jurisdiction to "preside" at a "trial" (D.E. 44) stating:

> "Here, Defendant has not impliedly consented to the exercise of jurisdiction by a magistrate judge. Thus, to the extent that Plaintiff seeks clarification over this Action in the event of a trial, he does not because Defendant did not expressly or impliedly consent to the jurisdiction of a U. S. Magistrate Judge."

80. However, in violation of her own order and 28 USC § 636 wherein a magistrate is barred from conducting a trial, and in perpetuation of the madness and insanity in this blasphemous action and in which Lenard's sanity is at issue, Lenard illegally colluded in the illegal trial held by Goodman and ordered an illegal judgment against Affiant in the sum of $1,700,000.

81. It appears that Joan Lenard does not know/understand the law, cannot read the law; misstates the law; does not follow the law and dehors the record wherever Joan Lenard sees an opportunity to deprive Affiant of her fundamental Constitutional rights to access the courts.

82. On the very same day that Joan Lenard issued the Illegal Default against Affiant, she also criminally and civilly raped and stripped Affiant's due process by misstating case law to dismiss Affiant's counterclaim.

83. In addition to her duplicity and illegal acts, Lenard's bizarre, contradictory and irrational acts shown herein could only lead a reasonable person to conclude that Lenard has a mental disorder; is a danger to the public and is certainly not acting in "good behavior."

H. LENARD FALSIFIES, TAMPERS WITH, ALTERS AND DEHORS [25] THE RECORD, THE LAW AND THE FACTS

84. Joan Lenard misrepresents the outcome of the case law that she cites.
85. Joan Lenard illegally "struck" Affiant's counterclaim as set forth in the Fraudulent Docket (D.E 49) citing cases that have no application as follows:

    "On May 7, 2015 The Court finds that Defendant has failed to defend this Action and the entry of default is appropriate pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55. The Court further finds that Defendant's repeated failures to comply with the Court's rules and Orders warrants dismissal of her Counterclaim and Declaratory Judgment, filed May 1, 2015, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) and this court's inherent authority to manage its docket. See Betty K Agencies, Ltd. v. M/V MONADA, 432 F.3d 1333, 1337 (11th Cir. 2005) (stating that a court's sua sponte dismissal for failure to comply with the rules of court may be based on Rule 41(b) or courts "inherent power to manage its docket"); Hildebrand v. Honeywell, Inc., 622 F.2d 179, 181 (5th Cir. 1980) (stating that a court may sua sponte dismiss a case under Rule 41(b))."
86. In Betty K Agencies the appellate court held that there must be a finding of a willful and contumacious disregard for the rules of the court and there has to be a determination that a lesser sanction would be inadequate.
87. This was the same holding in the Hildebrand case.
88. There was no such finding in Affiant's matter.
89. Yet Joan Lenard in criminal disregard for the law and by fabricating and falsifying the record illegally dismissed Affiant's counterclaim, thereby illegally and intentionally depriving her of her Constitutional rights and obstructing her justice.
90. These are not judicial acts. These are the acts of an imposter judge.[26]

I. THE ILLEGAL VOID RAPE OF RIGHTS DECREE BY JOAN LENARD TO BLACKMAIL AFFIANT FROM REPORTING CRIMES

91. To silence and blackmail Affiant from reporting and seeking remedy from the Embezzlement Extortion Racket and the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket, Extrajudicial Public Servant Joan Lenard in collusion with non Article III magistrate Jonathan Goodman has raped and stripped Affiant of her human rights in an

[25] Dehors Law and Legal Definition | USLegal, Inc.
*https://***definitions.uslegal.com***/d/***dehors**
Dehors Law and Legal Definition. **Dehors** means outside of; without. In law it refers to something outside the scope of or not included in the agreement or **records** involved. The **records** may be a trial **record**, contract, will, or other matter.

[26] **18 U.S.C. 912.**

illegal void decree that violates a string of criminal laws (the "Rape of Rights Blackmail Decree").

92. The blackmail, extortion and threatening language in Lenard's Rape of Rights Decree includes the following:

> "This Injunction extends to the filing of any new action, complaint, claim for relief, suit, controversy, cause of action, grievance, writ, petition, accusation, charge or any similar instrument against Lustig, his family, his clients, his attorneys, or anyone else associated with him in any court, forum, tribunal, self-regulatory organization or agency (including law enforcement) whether judicial, quasi-judicial, federal, state or local including Bar disciplinary and/or grievance committees without first obtaining leave of this court."

93. The staggering crimes perpetrated by Rape of Rights Blackmail Decree include but are not limited to:

a. Blackmail; [27]
b. Intimidation and interference with federally protected activities; [28]
c. Threats and extortion;
d. witness tampering; [29]
e. obstruction of justice; [30]

---

[27] **18 U.S. Code § 873 - Blackmail**

Whoever, under a threat of informing, or as a consideration for not informing, against any violation of any law of the United States, demands or receives any money or other valuable thing, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both

[28] **18 U.S. C. § 245.Federally protected activities**

(b) Whoever, whether or not acting under color of law, by force or threat of force willfully injures, intimidates or interferes with, or attempts to injure, intimidate or interfere with—

(1)any person because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons from—

(B) participating in or enjoying any benefit, service, privilege, program, facility, or activity provided or administered by the United States;

(E) participating in or enjoying the benefits of any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance;

(4)any person because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons from—

(A) participating, without discrimination on account of race, color, religion or national origin, in any of the benefits or activities described in subparagraphs (1)(A) through (1)(E) or subparagraphs (2)(A) through (2)(F); or

(B) affording another person or class of persons opportunity or protection to so participate;

(5) any citizen because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such citizen or any other citizen from lawfully aiding or encouraging other persons to participate, without discrimination on account of race, color, religion or national origin, in any of the benefits or activities described in subparagraphs (1)(A) through (1)(E) or subparagraphs (2)(A) through (2)(F), or participating lawfully in speech or peaceful assembly opposing any denial of the opportunity to so participate—

shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than one year, or both

[29] **18 U.S. Code § 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1512

f. conspiracy against rights; [31]

g. deprivation of rights under color of law;[32]

h. racketeering [33] and conspiracy in racketeering;[34]

i. honest services fraud; [35]

j. scheme to defraud; [36]

k. conspiracy and accomplice to a scheme to defraud; [37]

l. ongoing criminal enterprise [38]

---

[30] **18 U.S. Code Chapter 73 - OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE | U.S ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-73

[31] **18 U.S. Code § 241 - Conspiracy against rights | U.S. Code ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/241
Based on **title 18**, **U.S.C**., 1940 ed., § 51 (Mar. 4, 1909, ch. 321, § 19, 35 Stat. 1092). Clause making conspirator ineligible to hold office was omitted as incongruous because it attaches ineligibility to hold office to a person who may be a private citizen and who was convicted of conspiracy to violate a specific statute.

[32] **8 U.S.C. 241 and 242**
[33] **18 U.S.C. 1961-64**

[34] **18 U.S. Code § 371 - Conspiracy to commit offense or to ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/371
18 U.S. Code **§ 371** - Conspiracy **to commit offense or to defraud United States.** If two or more persons conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof in any manner or for any purpose, and one or more of such persons do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each shall be fined under this title or …

[35] **18 U.S. Code § 1346 - Definition of "scheme or artifice to ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1346
18 U.S. Code § 1346. Definition of "scheme or artifice to defraud". For the purposes of this chapter, the term " scheme or artifice to **defraud** " includes **a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.** (Added Pub. L. 100–690, title VII, § 7603 (a), Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4508 .)

[36] **18 U.S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles | U.S. Code | US ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1341
18 U.S. Code § 1341 - **Frauds** and swindles. Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation, security, or other article, …

[37] **18 U.S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles | U.S. Code | US ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1341
18 U.S. Code § 1341 - **Frauds** and swindles. Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation, security, or other article, …

[38] **21 U.S. Code § 848 - Continuing criminal enterprise**

(a) **PENALTIES; FORFEITURES**
Any person who engages in a continuing criminal enterprise shall be sentenced to a term of imprisonment which may not be less than 20 years and which may be up to life imprisonment, to a fine not to exceed the greater of that authorized in accordance with the provisions of title 18 or $2,000,000 if the defendant is an individual or $5,000,000 if the defendant is other than an individual, and to the forfeiture prescribed in section 853 of this title; except that if any person engages in such activity after one or more prior convictions of him under this section have become final, he shall be sentenced to a term of imprisonment which may not be less than 30 years and which may be up to life imprisonment, to a fine not to exceed the

m. Hobbs Act violations;[39]

n. falsifying court records; [40]

o. retaliation; [41] and

p. Hate crimes.[42]

V. HUMAN RIGHTS ATROCITIES AND CRIMES BY JONATHAN GOODMAN

A. NO JURISDICTION

94. Affiant has prima facie documented that Lenard ordered that Goodman could not hold hearings as Affiant did not consent to a magistrate judge.

95. Affiant has documented that Goodman is a non-judge acting without jurisdiction or authority in violation of 28 U.S.C. 636 as Affiant did not agree to a magistrate.

---

greater of twice the amount authorized in accordance with the provisions of title 18 or $4,000,000 if the defendant is an individual or $10,000,000 if the defendant is other than an individual, and to the forfeiture prescribed in section 853 of this title.

(b) LIFE IMPRISONMENT FOR ENGAGING IN CONTINUING CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE Any person who engages in a continuing criminal enterprise shall be imprisoned for life and fined in accordance with subsection (a), if—

(1) such person is the principal administrator, organizer, or leader of the enterprise or is one of several such principal administrators, organizers, or leaders; and

(2)

(A) the violation referred to in subsection (c)(1) involved at least 300 times the quantity of a substance described in subsection 841(b)(1)(B) of this title, or

(B) the enterprise, or any other enterprise in which the defendant was the principal or one of several principal administrators, organizers, or leaders, received $10 million dollars in gross receipts during any twelve-month period of its existence for the manufacture, importation, or distribution of a substance described in section 841(b)(1)(B)

(c) "CONTINUING CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE" DEFINED For purposes of subsection (a), a person is engaged in a continuing criminal enterprise if—

(1) he violates any provision of this subchapter or subchapter II the punishment for which is a felony, and

(2) such violation is a part of a continuing series of violations of this subchapter or subchapter II—

(A) which are undertaken by such person in concert with five or more other persons with respect to whom such person occupies a position of organizer, a supervisory position, or any other position of management, and

(B) from which such person obtains substantial income or resources.

[39] **18 U.S. Code § 1951**

[40] **18 U.S.C. § 1519**

Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any record, document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation or proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States or any case filed under title 11, or in relation to or contemplation of any such matter or case, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

[41] **42 U.S. Code § 12203 - Prohibition against retaliation and ...**
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/12203

Prohibition against retaliation and coercion. No person shall discriminate against any individual because such individual has opposed any act or practice made unlawful by this chapter or because such individual made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding…

[42] **18 U.S.C. § 249 Hate Crimes Act**

96. Affiant has documented that Lenard violated her own order and 28 U.S.C. 636 and issued the Lenard Extortion Judgment on the basis of jurisdiction-less conduct and illegal acts of Goodman in conducting an ex parte kangaroo "hearing" and using the illegal report and recommendation prepared by the lawsuit filer.
97. Affiant has documented that Goodman violated Lenard's own ruling and 28 USC 636 stating that a magistrate could not hold a "trial" without consent and held an illegal ex parte RICO "trial" without jurisdiction.

B. ILLEGAL EX PARTE KANGAROO PROCEEDINGS

98. Jonathan Goodman conducted a kangaroo court ex parte sham event (the "Goodman Kangaroo Event") [43]in the guise of a hearing.
99. The events, antics[44] and activities taking place in the sham meaningless ex parte event of Jonathan Goodman acting without authority or jurisdiction fall within the definition of a Kangaroo Court.

C. THE GOODMAN KANGAROO EVENT IN THE GUISE OF A HEARING

100. The Goodman Kangaroo Event was held ex parte knowing that Affiant was not provided notice of court hearings.

---

[43] Black's law dictionary defines a "Kangaroo Court" as follows:
Court proceedings that lack the due process protections people associate with courts of law have earned the name "kangaroo court." The term has been in use since at least the 19th century, but it is difficult to pinpoint an exact source for it or to determine why its name includes a reference to an animal native to Australia.
As a general rule, a kangaroo court is any proceeding that attempts to imitate a fair trial or hearing without the usual due process safeguards including the right to call witnesses, the right to confront your accuser and a hearing before a fair and impartial judge. Kangaroo court proceedings are usually a sham carried out without legal authority in which the outcome has been predetermined without regard to the evidence or to the guilt or innocence of the accused. Here are three features of a kangaroo court that set it apart from normally accepted principles of fairness and justice.
Absence of the most basic constitutional rights: The right against self-incrimination, the right to cross examine witnesses and the presumption of innocence are lacking in a typical kangaroo court. Constitutional safeguards would stand in the way of a kangaroo court reaching its predetermined result. In some instances, limited cross examination of witnesses and other fundamental due process rights might be allowed to the defendant to conceal the true nature of the kangaroo court.
Lack of impartial judges: Because the outcome is predetermined before any evidence is presented, kangaroo court proceedings are presided over by a judge or panel of judges that is partial toward the prosecution. Judges during a trial in a kangaroo court usually limit or obstruct efforts by the accused to present evidence or witnesses favorable to the defense while placing almost no restrictions on the evidence prosecutors are allowed to present. The fact that the judge in a kangaroo court is part of the sham process, the punishment inflicted upon the defendant generally exceeds what might normally be justified based upon the conduct of which the defendant was accused and convicted. Harsh and severe sentences are common in a kangaroo court.
Applying laws retroactively: Since the outcome of a kangaroo court is a foregone conclusion, one method of ensuring that a person will be found guilty is to create laws and apply them to past behavior. Ex post facto laws criminalize past conduct that was not illegal when it was performed. The benefit of ex post facto laws to those conducting a kangaroo court is that a conviction is assured. Ex post facto laws are a violation of the U.S. Constitution. They take away a person's right to know in advance the type of conduct that, if performed, will violate a state or federal criminal law. Removal of this most basic due process right is a characteristic of a kangaroo court.

[44] **Antics** (ˈæntɪks) ***pl n:*** absurd or grotesque acts or postures ***Collins English Dictionary***

101. This was because Affiant was purposely and deliberately excluded from notice and attendance at the Kangaroo Event Joan Lenard and Jonathan Goodman had issued an illegal void order that ordered the theft of Affiant's mail and prohibited Affiant from being be provided court documents.

102. At the meaningless Kafkaesque [45] Machiavellian, [46] kangaroo event (the "Goodman Kangaroo Event"), the following farcical, fraudulent activities took place.

a. There were no forensic reports that forwarded emails even exist as they were simply copies of a document that anyone could create and print and were without an iota of proof that tied Affiant to the emails.
b. There was no production of the IP addresses where the emails originated.
c. There was no expert testimony.
d. There was no authentication the purported forwarded emails existed.
e. There was no evidence or testimony that Affiant created the purported emails.
f. There was no testimony that the purported emails were created by Affiant.
g. Affiant has attested that Affiant does not have and never had an AOL account, nor heard of those who purported "forwarded" the Obscene Documents at the time this was done.
h. As Affiant has never had an AOL email account and has never registered with AOL, it is impossible that the AOL emails were sent from Affiant.
i. Non-judge Jonathan Goodman made no "findings".
j. There was no "findings" because it was impossible to make "findings" as there was no discovery; no evidence; no cross- examination; no authentication of the obscene documents; no production of electronic records as required by Rule 11 and 15 U.S.C; no expert testimony; and no production of "evidence..
k. Instead Goodman designated the lawsuit filer to act as an imposter judge[47] and to make his own "findings" and to submit a "report and recommendation" indicating the amount of money he wished to extort and embezzle from Affiant.

---

[45] https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/KafkaesqueDefinition of *Kafkaesque*
of, relating to, or suggestive of Franz Kafka or his writings *especially* **:** having a nightmarishly complex, bizarre, or illogical quality *Kafkaesque* bureaucratic delays
Franz Kafka's surreal fiction vividly expressed the anxiety, alienation, and powerlessness of the individual in the 20th century. Kafka's work is characterized by nightmarish settings in which characters are crushed by nonsensical, blind authority. Thus, the word *Kafkaesque* is often applied to bizarre and impersonal administrative situations the individual feels powerless to understand or control.
https://www.thefreedictionary.com/Kafkaesk
2. Marked by surreal distortion and often a sense of impending danger:
American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fifth Edition
Kaf•ka•esque (ˌkɑf kəˈɛsk) *adj.*
2. marked by a senseless, disorienting, often menacing complexity: *Kafkaesque bureaucracies.*

[46] Machiavellian [ˌmäkēəˈvelēən, ˌmakēəˈvelēən] ADJECTIVE
cunning, scheming, and unscrupulous, especially in politics.
synonyms: devious · cunning · crafty · artful · wily · sly · scheming · designing ·

D. KANGAROO EVENTS SUBSEQUENT TO THE GOODMAN KANGAROO EVENT IN THE GUISE OF A HEARING

103. After the meaningless sham Goodman Kangaroo Event, the following events took place.
104. This Filer was told by Goodman to make up a "damage" amount and prepare a "Filer Report and Recommendation."
105. The filer report and recommendation was kept from Affiant and used by Goodman and Lenard to issue the Lenard Extortion Judgment.
106. Goodman relentless SLANDERED AND DEFAMED AFFIANT in a Report and Recommendation using that of the Filer by falsely purporting that Affiant made obscene references to the anatomy of the filer's family members as follows:
    a. On page 9-10, Goodman cites the Filer Report and Recommendation and states: "posing as (the Filer, the Filer's daughter, and others), Affiant Stone sent a variety of emails to (the Filer's daughter), many of which were also sent to (the Filer), stating that (the Filer's daughter: has an ugly nose, face, and "c_nt" and should "start playing in a circus"; is a little "c_nt" and an "ugly c_nt", "eats cat food and takes drugs."
    b. On page 8, Goodman cites the Filer Report and Recommendation and states: Stone also used a fake email account to pose as (the Filer) and direct threats at (the Filer) and his business partner about investigations against their company along with a homophobic insult at (the Filer's) daughter′s boyfriend.
    c. On page 10, Goodman cites the Filer Report and Recommendation and states: Stone sent another email to (the Filer's) wife and daughter, with an attached photograph of The Filer′s daughter, stating that (the Filer′s) daughter is stupid and ″so ugly like MAMA!″
107. These defamatory, slanderous statements against Affiant violate Federal laws including but not limited to 28 U.S.C. § 4101.
108. These recitations violate Federal laws prohibiting false statements and submissions[48].

E. ILLEGAL VOID EXTORTION EMBEZZLEMENT DECREE

109. Once the Filer RICO Report and Recommendation was provided to Jonathan Goodman, he conspired with that RICO Predicate Act, using it as the basis for and incorporating it into an illegal Goodman Report and Recommendation.
110. Thereafter, Joan Lenard perpetrated a string of felony crimes and Predicate RICO Acts by using the Filer RICO Report and Recommendation to issue the void, illegal

[47] 18 U.S.C. 912
[48] 8 U.S. Code § 1324c; 18 U.S. Code § 1038.False information and hoaxes;
18 U.S. Code § 1001.Statements or entries generally; 18 U.S. Code § 1623. False declarations before grand jury or court.

fraudulent Extortion Judgment against Affiant in the sum of **ONE MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS.**

111. This monumental, extraordinary, colossal, epic, mind-boggling farce wherein at an illegal ex parte kangaroo event by a non judge acting without authority and jurisdiction using pieces of copy paper that contains obscenities and is formatted to appear as forwarded emails and fabricated "testimony" on behalf of a non-existent company and a non-existing partner wherein no "findings" were made; and wherein the Filer himself was designed to act as a judge to write up his own findings to be used issue the Lenard Extortion Judgment does not on this planet earth constitute a "judicial proceeding" and constitutes Human Rights Violations, Fundamental Constitutional Due Process Violations and a criminal racket of epic proportion.

F. INDEPENDENT RACKETEERING ENTERPRISE BY JONATHAN GOODMAN

112. Jonathan Goodman runs his own independent racket by using the federal court for his own illegal gain in a "niche business" he has created using secretive control of lawsuits in the cruise ship industry as he secretly owned stocks in the cruise ship industry that is hidden by his ownership in funds whose top holdings are cruise stocks.

113. Jonathan Goodman uses the United States courts to perpetrate a secretive, hidden scheme of "pay-backs" and illegal financial gain within the cruise industry as follows:

a. In criminal violation of 18 USC § 208 [49] Jonathan Goodman owns huge interests in the cruise industry that he buries in a multitude of financial funds whose top investments are cruise industry stocks. These mutual funds include the stocks of the cruise company sued by Martins.
b. These funds include Vanguard Group; T. Rowe Price; and Blackrock.
c. Jonathan Goodman thus controls the outcome of litigation against cruise industry companies wherein he has major financial holdings for his own personal financial gain.

[49] **18 U.S. Code § 208.** Acts affecting a personal financial interest (a) Except as permitted by subsection (b) hereof, whoever, being an officer or employee of the executive branch of the United States Government, or of any independent agency of the United States, a Federal Reserve bank director, officer, or employee, or an officer or employee of the District of Columbia, including a special Government employee, participates personally and substantially as a Government officer or employee, through decision, approval, disapproval, recommendation, the rendering of advice, investigation, or otherwise, in a judicial or other proceeding, application, request for a ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest, or other particular matter in which, to his knowledge, he, his spouse, minor child, general partner, organization in which he is serving as officer, director, trustee, general partner or employee, or any person or organization with whom he is negotiating or has any arrangement concerning prospective employment, has a financial interest—

d. Jonathan Goodman also holds illegal financial investments in investment funds owned by his prior law firm employer wherein he financially benefits as follows:
   i. Jonathan Goodman promotes the prior firm, thus also its stock by participating in fund raising events, conferences, and other public events;
   ii. Jonathan Goodman's prior law firm employer is also a huge investor in the cruise industry, thus Jonathan Goodman also financially profits from his holding in that fund by his rulings in cruise industry cases which he perfunctory dismisses, forces settlement or otherwise illegally controls.

114. Goodman charged fees to a litigant whose daughter was killed on a cruise and sued a cruise line that he protects in his racketeering enterprise. [50]

115. Goodman protects his criminal racket by not only illegally dismissing cases wherein he has a financial interest but by charging "fees" against litigants. Goodman diabolically charged fees against a litigant whose daughter was killed on a cruise. [51]

116. See Exhibit C – Statement of Marla Martin, a victim of the Goodman Cruise Industry Racket.

117. Goodman is perpetrating crimes and is unfit to hold office in any matter including Affiant's matter that is the subject of this lawsuit.

VI. HUMAN RIGHTS ATROCITIES AND CRIMES BY JOAN LENARD AND JONATHAN GOODMAN ACTING IN CONSPIRACY AND COLLUSION

A. COVER UP; TAMPERING WITH A WITNESS AND FAILURE TO DISCLOSE EVIDENCE OF CRIMES

[50] Martins v. Royal Caribbean - Judge Jonathan Goodman
www.courtapprovedmurderontheseas.com
The multibillion-dollar Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd aka (NYSE: RCL) committed the August 28, 2013 murder-on-the-sea of Affiant's daughter BRIANA,17, and turned a fully prepaid for dream come true family vacation of six into a still unpaid nightmare come true family destitution on the EXPLORER OF THE SEAS.

Woman Who Called Cruise Ship Company 'Killer' After Her ...
www.newsweek.com/cruise-ship-death-lawsuit-royal...
Briana Martins died on Royal Caribbean's Explorer of the Seas after eating food on the ship, her mother alleged in a legal complaint. Marla Martins claimed her daughter developed Salmonellosis, but...

[51] Martins v. Royal Caribbean - Judge Jonathan Goodman
www.courtapprovedmurderontheseas.com
The multibillion-dollar Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd aka (NYSE: RCL) committed the August 28, 2013 murder-on-the-sea of Affiant's daughter BRIANA,17, and turned a fully prepaid for dream come true family vacation of six into a still unpaid nightmare come true family destitution on the EXPLORER OF THE SEAS.

Woman Who Called Cruise Ship Company 'Killer' After Her ...
www.newsweek.com/cruise-ship-death-lawsuit-royal...
Briana Martins died on Royal Caribbean's Explorer of the Seas after eating food on the ship, her mother alleged in a legal complaint. Marla Martins claimed her daughter developed Salmonellosis, but...

118. After the farcical ex parte RICO event in the guise of a "hearing" and issuance of the illegal "Goodman Report and Recommendation," Goodman received direct information in a phone call from a party who stated that hearsay, perjured testimony was made in the RICO Hearing.

119. That phone-call was an ex parte communication setting forth material statements by that party regarding fraud, crimes, racketeering and perjury by the Filer that Jonathan Goodman failed to disclose to Affiant in violation of judicial canons.

120. Therefore Goodman knew he made his "findings" on the basis on ex parte statements that were reported to be perjured.

121. Goodman and Lenard were put on direct notice of Filer's illegal activities by a disturbing phone call from a third party on Sept. 1, 2015 shown on docket entry 60 which states:

a. "ENDORSED ORDER re ex parte communication received by the Undersigned's chambers. On September 1, 2015, at 11:07 AM, the Undersigned chambers received a phone call from an individual claiming to be associated with this case and claiming to have information relevant to the Report and Recommendations entered by the Undersigned on August 18, 2015. The call lasted, in total, approximately 12 minutes, and the individual spoke to both of the Undersigned's full-time law clerks.

b. The caller identified herself as "Kristina Filipone" (allegedly the business partner of Plaintiff who is referenced in the Court's Report) and at first asked the law clerk who answered the phone whether Plaintiff would find out about this phone call. The law clerk responded by trying to gather case information from the caller, who did not have a case number. Eventually, the caller identified the parties in the case, at which point she was put on hold and the law clerk who handles the even-numbered cases was notified.

c. The other law clerk then took the phone call and began by stating that he is not authorized to provide any legal advice, nor could the Court make decisions or rulings based upon ex parte phone calls to chambers.

d. Nonetheless, the caller proceeded to attempt to discuss substantive issues she had with the Court's Report and Recommendations [EDF No. 58], claiming that she was referred to in the Report anonymously (referred to as just Plaintiff's business partner) and that the facts the Court cited to about her were false.

e. The Undersigned's clerk responded by informing the caller that the Court issued the Report based upon an evidentiary hearing and that the Court cannot now revise or reconsider a recommendation based upon an ex parte phone call from someone who's identity cannot be confirmed.

f. She was then informed that if there are issues with the Court's Report, then those issues could only be rectified by official filings on the record for the Court to decide upon. Further, she was informed that, at this stage, the Court has issued the Report and Recommendations based upon the evidentiary record and that Defendant has the ability to object, which she did on 8/31/2015.

g. Thus, procedurally, the matter is presently before the District Judge Lenard to rule upon those objections.

h. Ultimately the caller was advised that this phone call was not the proper means of addressing official grievances, and that she should seek the assistance of counsel or reach out to the parties in order to address any issues she may have, so that those issues can be publicly addressed on the record."

i. "Signed by Magistrate Judge Jonathan Goodman on 9/1/2015. (tr00) Entered: 09/01/2015)

122. The call by "Kristina Filipone" raises red flags alerts about crimes and corruption in a case infested with criminal activity that was ignored by Goodman and Lenard evidences their collusion, deprivation of due process, cover up, and unethical conduct.

123. Jonathan Goodman and Joan Lenard ignored a direct call relating to perjured testimony and other criminal activity in this matter, keeping hidden and deliberately failing to notify Affiant, thus obstructing her justice and intentionally failing to hold a hearing or refer the matter to law enforcement for investigation.

124. Joan Lenard and Jonathan Goodman knew they made a "finding" on the basis of ex parte statements that were reported to be perjured.

125. Affiant later learned the RICO Affiliate of the Filer routinely perjures testimony on his behalf.

B. SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND ABUSE AND STALKING

126. As the Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft RICO is built on obscene documents the criminally violate Federal obscenity laws and distributing and benefiting from distribution of obscene materials Joan Lenard is subjecting Affiant to sex crimes, sexual harassment and abuse. [52]

---

[52] **29 CFR § 1604.11 - Sexual harassment. | CFR | US Law | LII ...**
www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/29/1604.11
(a) Harassment on the basis of sex is a violation of section 703 of title VII. 1 Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature constitute sexual harassment when (1) submission to such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of an individual's employment, (2 ...

18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)
(1) "racketeering activity"
section 1341 (relating to mail fraud),

127. Lenard and Goodman were mandated to report these federal felony obscenity crimes to the F.B.I. and the Department of Justice.
128. Instead Lenard and Goodman conspired in these crimes.
129. Moreover, Joan Lenard and Jonathan Goodman have willfully and criminally not only failed to investigate their origin and report them but deliberately subjected Affiant to being victimized by obscenity and sex abuse in their color of law court.
130. A legitimate Federal judge demanded the resignation of an attorney who engaged in such acts. "You Just Trashed Your Profession," U.S. District Judge Otis Wright II told attorney Christopher Hook before asking him to resign. At issue were emails Hook wrote to opposing counsel telling them to "eat a bowl of d.…." and "pay up f…face." [53]

C. COLLUSION IN THE THEFT OF ASSETS OF AFFIANT'S MOTHER

131. It is prima facie documented that the Filer stole the assets of Affiant's mother to fund the Embezzlement /Extortion/Theft Racket.
132. Affiant filed the petitions of the Filer filed in the Murder for Profit U.S. Sanctioned Guardian Racket that document the Filer charged Affiant's mother and obtained illegal payment for his "time" in filing document and his other criminal acts taking place in the Lenard and Goodman color of law courts.
133. Lenard and Goodman WERE SPECIFICALLY NOTIFIED OF THE THEFT by the Filer of the assets of Affiants' mother by the Filer's pleadings in the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket where he petitioned for and obtained payment for the "time" he spent engaged in activities in the Lenard/Goodman color of law court to perpetrate the Embezzlement/Extortion/Theft Racket.
134. Lenard and Goodman, failed to report this crime , thereby acting in conspiracy with the theft of assets of Affiant's mother, a vulnerable adult, thereby violating multiple federal felony laws including:

a. exploitation and financial exploitation under 42 U.S. Code § 3002;

---

sections 1461–1465 (relating to obscene matter),
15 U.S. Code § 1692d - Harassment or abuse | U.S. Code | US ...
www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/15/1692d
The use or threat of use of violence or other criminal means to harm the physical person, reputation, or property of any person. (2) The use of obscene or profane language or language the natural consequence of which is to abuse the hearer or reader.
Federal Sex Offense Laws | Sexual Violence and Stalking Laws ...
fris.org/Laws/FedallLaws.html
Federal Stalking and Harassment Laws - Criminal Law. Crimes ...
www.criminaldefenselawyer.com/resources/federal...
When harassing or stalking behavior involves the Internet, U.S. mail, or activities that cross state lines, the crime may be charged as a federal offense. Stalking another person by using the telephone, Internet, or U.S. mail is a felony crime under the criminal law of the United States.

[53] **https://www.law.com/therecorder/2019/12/16/judge-demands-resignation-of-lawyer-who-wrote-profanity-laced-emails/**

b. larceny under 10 U.S. Code § 921, [54]

c. extortion under 18 U.S.C. § 3559(c)(2)(C), [55]

d. false claims under 31 U.S. Code § 3729 [56]and

e. exploitation of a vulnerable adult in violation of state of Florida exploitation laws, Florida Statutes 825.103.[57]

---

[54] 10 U.S. Code § 921.Art. 121. Larceny and wrongful appropriation
(a) Any person subject to this chapter who wrongfully takes, obtains, or withholds, by any means, from the possession of the owner or of any other person any money, personal property, or article of value of any kind—
(1)with intent permanently to deprive or defraud another person of the use and benefit of property or to appropriate it to his own use or the use of any person other than the owner, steals that property and is guilty of larceny; or
(2)with intent temporarily to deprive or defraud another person of the use and benefit of property or to appropriate it to his own use or the use of any person other than the owner, is guilty of wrongful appropriation.
(b) Any person found guilty of larceny or wrongful appropriation shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.

[55] **18 U.S.C. § 3559(c)(2)(C):** "the extraction of anything of value from another person by threatening or placing that person in fear of injury to any person or kidnapping of any person."

[56] **31 U.S. Code § 3729.False claims**
(a)LIABILITY FOR CERTAIN ACTS.—
(1)IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), any person who—
(A)knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval;
(B)knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim;
(C)conspires to commit a violation of subparagraph (A), (B), (D), (E), (F), or (G);
(D)has possession, custody, or control of property or money used, or to be used, by the Government and knowingly delivers, or causes to be delivered, less than all of that money or property;
(E)is authorized to make or deliver a document certifying receipt of property used, or to be used, by the Government and, intending to defraud the Government, makes or delivers the receipt without completely knowing that the information on the receipt is true;
(F)knowingly buys, or receives as a pledge of an obligation or debt, public property from an officer or employee of the Government, or a member of the Armed Forces, who lawfully may not sell or pledge property; or
(G)knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, a false record or statement material to an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the Government, or knowingly conceals or knowingly and improperly avoids or decreases an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the Government,
is liable to the United States Government for a civil penalty of not less than $5,000 and not more than $10,000, as adjusted by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 (28 U.S.C. 2461 note; Public Law 104–410 [1]), plus 3 times the amount of damages which the Government sustains because of the act of that person.
(3)COSTS OF CIVIL ACTIONS.—
A person violating this subsection shall also be liable to the United States Government for the costs of a civil action brought to recover any such penalty or damages.

[57] Florida Statutes 825.103 – Exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult; penalties
(1) "Exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult" means:
(a) Knowingly obtaining or using, or endeavoring to obtain or use, an elderly person's or disabled adult's funds, assets, or property with the intent to temporarily or permanently deprive the elderly person or disabled adult of the use, benefit, or possession of the funds, assets, or property, or to benefit someone other than the elderly person or disabled adult, by a person who:
1. Stands in a position of trust and confidence with the elderly person or disabled adult;
(3)(a) If the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of the elderly person or disabled adult is valued at $50,000 or more, the offender commits a felony of the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

D. THE LENARD/GOODMAN RAPE OF RIGHTS BLACKMAIL/EXTORTION DECREE

135. To silence and blackmail Affiant from reporting and seeking remedy from the Embezzlement Extortion Racket and the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket, Joan Lenard in collusion with magistrate Jonathan Goodman raped and stripped Affiant of her human rights in an illegal void decree that violates a string of criminal laws (the "Rape of Rights Blackmail Decree").

136. The blackmail, extortion and threatening language in Lenard/Goodman's Rape of Rights Decree includes the following:

> "This Injunction extends to the filing of any new action, complaint, claim for relief, suit, controversy, cause of action, grievance, writ, petition, accusation, charge or any similar instrument against Lustig, his family, his clients, his attorneys, or anyone else associated with him in any court, forum, tribunal, self-regulatory organization or agency (including law enforcement) whether judicial, quasi-judicial, federal, state or local including Bar disciplinary and/or grievance committees without first obtaining leave of this court."

137. The Lenard/Goodman Rape of Rights Blackmail/Extortion Decree **STRIPPED Affiant of her rights, retaliated against her,** denied her access to the courts and prohibited her from reporting crimes..

138. The staggering extent of crimes perpetrated by Lenard/Goodman Rape of Rights Blackmail/Extortion Decree includes but is not limited to:

a. Blackmail [58]

b. extortion in violation of 18 U.S.C. 41 .[59]

(b) If the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of the elderly person or disabled adult is valued at $10,000 or more, but less than $50,000, the offender commits a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

(c) If the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult is valued at less than $10,000, the offender commits a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

(4) If a person is charged with financial exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult that involves the taking of or loss of property valued at more than $5,000 and property belonging to a victim is seized from the defendant pursuant to a search warrant, the court shall hold an evidentiary hearing and determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether the defendant unlawfully obtained the victim's property. If the court finds that the property was unlawfully obtained, the court may order it returned to the victim for restitution purposes before trial on the charge. This determination is inadmissible in evidence at trial on the charge and does not give rise to any inference that the defendant has committed an offense under this section.

[58] 18 U.S. Code § 873 - Blackmail

Whoever, under a threat of informing, or as a consideration for not informing, against any violation of any law of the United States, demands or receives any money or other valuable thing, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both

[59] 18 U.S. Code § 872.Extortion by officers or employees of the United States

c. threats in violation of 18 U.S.C 41. [60]

d. Intimidation in violation of 18 U.S. Code § 245.[61]

e. Intimidation and interference with federally protected activities; [62]

Whoever, being an officer, or employee of the United States or any department or agency thereof, or representing himself to be or assuming to act as such, under color or pretense of office or employment commits or attempts an act of extortion, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; but if the amount so extorted or demanded does not exceed $1,000, he shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

[60] 18 U.S.C § 876.Mailing threatening communications

(b) Whoever, with intent to extort from any person any money or other thing of value, so deposits, or causes to be delivered, as aforesaid, any communication containing any threat to kidnap any person or any threat to injure the person of the addressee or of another, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both

[61] 18 U.S. C. § 245.Federally protected activities

(b) Whoever, whether or not acting under color of law, by force or threat of force willfully injures, intimidates or interferes with, or attempts to injure, intimidate or interfere with—

(1)any person because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons from—

(B) participating in or enjoying any benefit, service, privilege, program, facility, or activity provided or administered by the United States;

(E) participating in or enjoying the benefits of any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance;

(4)any person because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons from—

(A) participating, without discrimination on account of race, color, religion or national origin, in any of the benefits or activities described in subparagraphs (1)(A) through (1)(E) or subparagraphs (2)(A) through (2)(F); or

(B) affording another person or class of persons opportunity or protection to so participate;

(5) any citizen because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such citizen or any other citizen from lawfully aiding or encouraging other persons to participate, without discrimination on account of race, color, religion or national origin, in any of the benefits or activities described in subparagraphs (1)(A) through (1)(E) or subparagraphs (2)(A) through (2)(F), or participating lawfully in speech or peaceful assembly opposing any denial of the opportunity to so participate—

shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than one year, or both

[62] **18 U.S. C. § 245.Federally protected activities**

(b) Whoever, whether or not acting under color of law, by force or threat of force willfully injures, intimidates or interferes with, or attempts to injure, intimidate or interfere with—

(1)any person because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons from—

(B) participating in or enjoying any benefit, service, privilege, program, facility, or activity provided or administered by the United States;

(E) participating in or enjoying the benefits of any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance;

(4)any person because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons from—

(A) participating, without discrimination on account of race, color, religion or national origin, in any of the benefits or activities described in subparagraphs (1)(A) through (1)(E) or subparagraphs (2)(A) through (2)(F); or

(B) affording another person or class of persons opportunity or protection to so participate;

(5) any citizen because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such citizen or any other citizen from lawfully aiding or encouraging other persons to participate, without discrimination on account of race, color, religion or national origin, in any of the benefits or activities described in subparagraphs (1)(A) through (1)(E) or subparagraphs (2)(A) through (2)(F), or participating lawfully in speech or peaceful assembly opposing any denial of the opportunity to so participate—

shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than one year, or both

f. Threats and extortion;

g. witness tampering; [63]

h. obstruction of justice; [64]

i. conspiracy against rights; [65]

j. deprivation of rights under color of law;[66]

k. racketeering [67] and conspiracy in racketeering;[68]

l. honest services fraud; [69]

m. scheme to defraud; [70]

n. conspiracy and accomplice to a scheme to defraud; [71]

o. ongoing criminal enterprise [72]

---

[63] 18 U.S. Code § 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1512

[64] 18 U.S. Code Chapter 73 - OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE | U.S ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-73

[65] 18 U.S. Code § 241 - Conspiracy against rights | U.S. Code ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/241

Based on **title 18**, **U.S.C**., 1940 ed., § 51 (Mar. 4, 1909, ch. 321, § 19, 35 Stat. 1092). Clause making conspirator ineligible to hold office was omitted as incongruous because it attaches ineligibility to hold office to a person who may be a private citizen and who was convicted of conspiracy to violate a specific statute.

[66] **8 U.S.C. 241 and 242**

[67] **18 U.S.C. 1961-64**

[68] 18 U.S. Code § 371 - Conspiracy to commit offense or to ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/371

18 U.S. Code **§ 371** - Conspiracy **to commit offense or to defraud United States.** If two or more persons conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof in any manner or for any purpose, and one or more of such persons do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each shall be fined under this title or …

[69] 18 U.S. Code § 1346 - Definition of "scheme or artifice to ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1346

18 U.S. Code § 1346. Definition of "scheme or artifice to defraud". For the purposes of this chapter, the term " scheme or artifice to **defraud** " includes **a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.** (Added Pub. L. 100–690, title VII, § 7603 (a), Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4508 .)

[70] 18 U.S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles | U.S. Code | US ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1341

18 U.S. Code § 1341 - **Frauds** and swindles. Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation, security, or other article, …

[71] 18 U.S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles | U.S. Code | US ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1341

18 U.S. Code § 1341 - **Frauds** and swindles. Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation, security, or other article, …

p. Hobbs Act violations;[73]

q. falsifying court records; [74]

r. retaliation; [75] and

s. Hate crimes.[76]

t. illegally ordering Affiant to violate 18 USC 4 mandating that Affiant reports crimes; thereby attempting to make her an accomplice.

---

[72] **21 U.S. Code § 848 - Continuing criminal enterprise**

(a)PENALTIES; FORFEITURES

Any person who engages in a continuing criminal enterprise shall be sentenced to a term of imprisonment which may not be less than 20 years and which may be up to life imprisonment, to a fine not to exceed the greater of that authorized in accordance with the provisions of title 18 or $2,000,000 if the defendant is an individual or $5,000,000 if the defendant is other than an individual, and to the forfeiture prescribed in section 853 of this title; except that if any person engages in such activity after one or more prior convictions of him under this section have become final, he shall be sentenced to a term of imprisonment which may not be less than 30 years and which may be up to life imprisonment, to a fine not to exceed the greater of twice the amount authorized in accordance with the provisions of title 18 or $4,000,000 if the defendant is an individual or $10,000,000 if the defendant is other than an individual, and to the forfeiture prescribed in section 853 of this title.

(b)LIFE IMPRISONMENT FOR ENGAGING IN CONTINUING CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE Any person who engages in a continuing criminal enterprise shall be imprisoned for life and fined in accordance with subsection (a), if—

(1)such person is the principal administrator, organizer, or leader of the enterprise or is one of several such principal administrators, organizers, or leaders; and

(2)

(A)the violation referred to in subsection (c)(1) involved at least 300 times the quantity of a substance described in subsection 841(b)(1)(B) of this title, or

(B)the enterprise, or any other enterprise in which the defendant was the principal or one of several principal administrators, organizers, or leaders, received $10 million dollars in gross receipts during any twelve-month period of its existence for the manufacture, importation, or distribution of a substance described in section 841(b)(1)(B)

(c)"CONTINUING CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE" DEFINED For purposes of subsection (a), a person is engaged in a continuing criminal enterprise if—

(1)he violates any provision of this subchapter or subchapter II the punishment for which is a felony, and

(2)such violation is a part of a continuing series of violations of this subchapter or subchapter II—

(A)which are undertaken by such person in concert with five or more other persons with respect to whom such person occupies a position of organizer, a supervisory position, or any other position of management, and

(B)from which such person obtains substantial income or resources.

[73] **18 U.S. Code § 1951**

[74] **18 U.S.C. § 1519**

Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any record, document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation or proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States or any case filed under title 11, or in relation to or contemplation of any such matter or case, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

[75] 42 U.S. Code § 12203 - Prohibition against retaliation and ...

https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/12203

Prohibition against retaliation and coercion. No person shall discriminate against any individual because such individual has opposed any act or practice made unlawful by this chapter or because such individual made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding…

[76] **18 U.S.C. § 249 Hate Crimes Act**

d. Violation of the Constitution, judicial ethics and Bar Rules by interfering with Affiant's right to Counsel.

139. The illegal void Lenard and Goodman decrees in the guise of "orders" including the Lenard Extortion Judgment, Lenard Rights Extortion Decree and Isicoff Extortion Orders are Human Rights Atrocities, Predicate Acts in a RICO and constitute "Extrinsic Fraud" which also constitutes a RICO "Predicate Act." [77]

140. The illegal use of the U.S. courts by Jonathan Goodman and Joan Lenard, public servants acting in moral turpitude[78] also constitutes:

a. Theft of services.[79]

b. Breach of public service. [80]

---

[77] https://www.ussc.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/training/primers/2018_Primer_RICO.pdf

[78] Moral turpitude is a term that is applied to an offense or a crime that is illegal but also shows a person's baseness and depravity. Black's law dictionary

[79] **18 U.S. Code § 1346.Definition of "scheme or artifice to defraud"**
For the purposes of this chapter, the term "scheme or artifice to defraud" includes a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.

[80] **5 CFR § 2635.101 - Basic obligation of public service.**
(a) ***Public service is a public trust.*** Each employee has a responsibility to the United States Government and its citizens to place loyalty to the Constitution, laws and ethical principles above private gain. To ensure that every citizen can have complete confidence in the integrity of the Federal Government, each employee shall respect and adhere to the principles of ethical conduct set forth in this section, as well as the implementing standards contained in this part and in supplemental agency regulations.
(b) ***General principles.*** The following general principles apply to every employee and may form the basis for the standards contained in this part. Where a situation is not covered by the standards set forth in this part, employees shall apply the principles set forth in this section in determining whether their conduct is proper.
(1) Public service is a public trust, requiring employees to place loyalty to the Constitution, the laws and ethical principles above private gain.
(2) Employees shall not hold financial interests that conflict with the conscientious performance of duty.
(3) Employees shall not engage in financial transactions using nonpublic Government information or allow the improper use of such information to further any private interest.
(4) An employee shall not, except as permitted by subpart B of this part, solicit or accept any gift or other item of monetary value from any person or entity seeking official action from, doing business with, or conducting activities regulated by the employee's agency, or whose interests may be substantially affected by the performance or nonperformance of the employee's duties.
(5) Employees shall put forth honest effort in the performance of their duties.
(6) Employees shall not knowingly make unauthorized commitments or promises of any kind purporting to bind the Government.
(7) Employees shall not use public office for private gain.
(8) Employees shall act impartially and not give preferential treatment to any private organization or individual.
(9) Employees shall protect and conserve Federal property and shall not use it for other than authorized activities.
(10) Employees shall not engage in outside employment or activities, including seeking or negotiating for employment, that conflict with official Government duties and responsibilities.
(11) Employees shall disclose waste, fraud, abuse, and corruption to appropriate authorities.
(12) Employees shall satisfy in good faith their obligations as citizens, including all just financial obligations, especially those - such as Federal, State, or local taxes - that are imposed by law.
(13) Employees shall adhere to all laws and regulations that provide equal opportunity for all Americans regardless of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or handicap.

c. Breach of duty to the public. [81]

d. Violation of Hobbs Act.[82]

VII. SUMMARY OF HUMAN RIGHTS ATROCITIES AND CRIMES BY LAUREL ISICOFF AND THE ISICOFF INSIDER TEAM

141. Isicoff is a conspirator in the Extortion/Embezzlement Racket, issuing parallel illegal void orders in collusion and conspiracy with the Lenard Extortion Judgment and Lenard Rights Extortion Decree (the "Isicoff Extortion Orders"). and violating the criminal laws above. Laurel Isicoff issues

142. Isicoff is also operating her own separate criminal bankruptcy racket in collusion with the Isicoff Insider Team, described herein, including conspiring in a fraudulent bankruptcy claim; [83] stealing and embezzling property [84]and selling, fencing and dealing in stolen property. [85] See Article C.

143. See criminal complaint also filed against Isicoff by another victim. (Exhibit D).

---

(14) Employees shall endeavor to avoid any actions creating the appearance that they are violating the law or the ethical standards set forth in this part. Whether particular circumstances create an appearance that the law or these standards have been violated shall be determined from the perspective of a reasonable person with knowledge of the relevant facts.

(c) ***Related statutes.*** In addition to the standards of ethical conduct set forth in this part, there are conflict of interest statutes that prohibit certain conduct. Criminal conflict of interest statutes of general applicability to all employees, 18 U.S.C. 201, 203, 205, 208, and 209, are summarized in the appropriate subparts of this part and must be taken into consideration in determining whether conduct is proper. Citations to other generally applicable statutes relating to employee conduct are set forth in subpart I and employees are further cautioned that there may be additional statutory and regulatory restrictions applicable to them generally or as employees of their specific agencies. Because an employee is considered to be on notice of the requirements of any statute, an employee should not rely upon any description or synopsis of a statutory restriction, but should refer to the statute itself and obtain the advice of an agency ethics official as needed.

81

https://www.oge.gov/Web/oge.nsf/0/076ABBBFC3B026A785257F14006929A2/$FILE/SOC%20as%20of%2081%20FR%2081641%20FINAL.pdf

82 18 U.S.C. 1591

83 18 U.S. Code § 152

Subsection (4) of Section 152 sets out the offense of filing a false bankruptcy claim. A "claim" is a document filed in a bankruptcy proceeding by a creditor of the debtor. It is sometimes also called a "proof of claim." For the purposes of this section the nature of the claim is immaterial-- i.e., the claim can be secured or unsecured, liquidated or unliquidated, disputed or undisputed. A "false" claim is one that is known by the creditor to be factually untrue at the time the claim is filed. Subsection (4) provides: A person who...knowingly and fraudulently presents any false claim for proof against the estate of a debtor, or uses any such claim in any case under title 11, in a personal capacity or as or through an agent, proxy, or attorney;...shall be fined..., imprisoned..., or both.

18 U.S. Code § 157

84 18 U.S. Code CHAPTER 31—EMBEZZLEMENT AND THEFT

85 18 U.S. Code Chapter 113 - STOLEN PROPERTY | U.S. Code ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-113
18 U.S. Code § 2315 - Sale or receipt of stolen goods ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/2315

144. See retaliation by Isicoff against a prominent out of state attorney. Article C-11.

A. EXTORTION, RACKETEERING, BLACKMAIL, LOOTING, FENCING AND SELLING STOLEN PROPERTY, HUMAN RIGHTS ATROCITIES, AND RETALIATION AGAINST AFFIANT BY LAUREL ISICOFF AND THE ISICOFF INSIDER TEAM

145. Isicoff has stolen Affiant's assets, home and property [86] in conspiracy and collusion with the Isicoff Insider Team.

146. Isicoff is selling, dealing in and fencing [87] Affiant's stolen property [88] by illegal void "theft decrees" purporting to be orders in conspiracy with a fabricated, perjured bankruptcy claim by the Filer that Isicoff **knows is fraudulent** in violation of 18 U.S. Code § §152 and 157.

147. The Isicoff Insider Team has stolen Affiant's identity to sell and fence her stolen property.[89]

---

[86] The stolen property includes a bank account with Barbara's life savings of over $175,000, her home located at 8021 N. Casas Way, Tucson, AZ 85742 legally described as Casas Del Oro Norte Townhouses Unit No 3, Per CCR 3376-369, AKA Ptn Casas Del Oro Norte Lot 1, Map/Plat: 19/39, Parcel 225-27-1570; and investment properties located 8641 N. Arnold Palmer Drive, Tucson, Arizona 85742, legally described at Tucson National Townhomes West 60-74, Platt Book 34/77; and 8664 North Bobby Jones Drive, Tucson, Arizona 85742 legally described as Lot 69, of Fairway Heights, At Tucson National, according to the plat of record in the Office of the County Recorder of Pima County, Arizona, in Book 40 of Maps, Page 92 and by Declaration of Scrivener's Error recorded in Docket 8036 at Page 3074 and in Docket 8101 at Page 609 wherein she is beneficial owner and title owner.

[87] 9-61.400 - Fencing—Prosecution Policy
Unless there exists a special need, priority should be given to the prosecution of fences as opposed to the prosecution of thieves. For purposes of this subchapter, "fences" are defined as those who are alleged to have assisted in finding or dealing with more than one buyer for stolen property. Highest priority should be given to the prosecution of fences who operate legitimate businesses and sell stolen property to the public.

[88] 18 U.S. Code § 2315 - Sale or receipt of stolen goods, securities, moneys, or fraudulent State tax stamps
Whoever receives, possesses, conceals, stores, barters, sells, or disposes of any goods, wares, or merchandise, securities, or money of the value of $5,000 or more, or pledges or accepts as security for a loan any goods, wares, or merchandise, or securities, of the value of $500 or more, which have crossed a State or United States boundary after being stolen, unlawfully converted, or taken, knowing the same to have been stolen, unlawfully converted, or taken;
Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

[89] 18 U.S. Code § 1028A - Aggravated identity theft

(a) OFFENSES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—
Whoever, during and in relation to any felony violation enumerated in subsection (c), knowingly transfers, possesses, or uses, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person shall, in addition to the punishment provided for such felony, be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 2 years.

(2) TERRORISM OFFENSE.—
Whoever, during and in relation to any felony violation enumerated in section 2332b(g)(5)(B), knowingly transfers, possesses, or uses, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person or a false identification document shall, in addition to the punishment provided for such felony, be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 5 years.

148. All parties are on notice that the property is stolen by affidavits filed by Affiant in the public records.
149. Affiant has filed Affidavits of Fraud, liens and attorney fee liens that are on public record attesting to these crimes.
150. Isicoff is a conspirator in a false, fabricated, perjured bankruptcy claim [90] and Embezzlement/Extortion Racket. See Paragraph C.
151. Just as perpetrated by Lenard by the Rape of Rights Blackmail Decree, Isicoff is blackmailing, intimidating, terrifying and threatening Affiant to silence Affiant from reporting these crimes to law enforcement and other officials.
152. Isicoff issued an illegal decree on February 18, 2021 to blackmail [91] and extort Affiant to appear March 11, 2021 (the "Isicoff Blackmail Decree") in her jurisdiction-less, color of law court at a sham, rigged, meaningless "event" in the guise of a hearing so Isicoff can threaten her with illegal sanctions to prevent her from reporting crimes and suing Isicoff.
153. In the Isicoff Blackmail Decree she threatening Affiant that she must file pleading in a non-court, with the Isicoff Insider Team, thereby designating imposter government court employees and imposter poster workers in violation of 18 U.S.C. 912.
154. Isicoff seeks to extort Affiant's silence to her racketeering enterprise by threatening Affiant to prevent Affiant from suing her and seeking remedy.
155. **Affiant is reporting felony crimes herein.**
156. Isicoff's acts to prohibit Affiant from reporting crimes constitute black letter BLACKMAIL, [92] extortion and threats [93] retaliation, [94] witness tampering [95] and obstruction of justice.[96]

---

[90] **18 U.S. Code § 152**

[91] 18 U.S. Code § 873 - Blackmail
Whoever, under a threat of informing, or as a consideration for not informing, against any violation of any law of the United States, demands or receives any money or other valuable thing, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

[92] 18 U.S. Code § 873 - Blackmail
Whoever, under a threat of informing, or as a consideration for not informing, against any violation of any law of the United States, demands or receives any money or other valuable thing, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

[93] 18 U.S. Code Chapter 41 - EXTORTION AND THREATS | U.S ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-41

[94] 18 U.S. Code § 1513.Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant
(b) Whoever knowingly engages in any conduct and thereby causes bodily injury to another person or damages the tangible property of another person, or threatens to do so, with intent to retaliate against any person for—
(1)the attendance of a witness or party at an official proceeding, or any testimony given or any record, document, or other object produced by a witness in an official proceeding;
or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.
(e) Whoever knowingly, with the intent to retaliate, takes any action harmful to any person, including interference with the lawful employment or livelihood of any person, for providing to a law enforcement

B. THE ISICOFF INSIDER TEAM

157. Isicoff has assembled a secretive, core group of lowlife, fraudster attorneys and trustees (the "Isicoff Insider Team") she sources and has assembled from her illegal extrajudicial activities in violation of judicial canon 4 [97]and ABA rules. [98]

158. The illegal extrajudicial RICO affiliations and associations constitute a string of criminal and civil conflicts of interest.

159. The Isicoff Insider Team has incestuous, undisclosed, secretive ties to Laurel Isicoff.

160. The Isicoff Insider Team appeared mysteriously in Barbara's matter at illegal ex parte proceedings of which Affiant had no notice.

161. The Isicoff Insider Team was assembled by Isicoff's extrajudicial activities and civil and criminal conflicts of interest including but not limited to:

    a. Unlawfully, in violation of judicial ethics, Isicoff acted as an officer of the Bankruptcy Bar Association.

---

officer any truthful information relating to the commission or possible commission of any Federal offense, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both.

[95] 18 U.S. Code § 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1512

A victim or witness who, as a direct result of a crime or of cooperation with law enforcement agencies or attorneys for the Government, is subjected to serious financial strain, should be assisted by such agencies and attorneys …

1729. Protection Of Government Processes -- Tampering With ...
https://www.justice.gov/archives/jm/criminal-resource-manual-1729-protection...

Section 1512 of Title 18 constitutes a **broad prohibition** against tampering with a witness, victim or informant. It proscribes conduct intended to illegitimately affect the presentation of evidence in Federal proceedings or the communication of information to Federal law enforcement officers.

[96] [USC02] 18 USC Ch. 73: OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE
uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?path=/prelim@title18/...

Whoever, with the intent of interfering with, obstructing, or impeding the administration of justice, or with the intent of influencing any judge, juror, witness, or court officer, in the discharge of his duty, pickets or parades in or near a building housing a court of the United States, or in or near a building or residence occupied or used ...

[97] **Judicial Canon 4:**

(B) *Civic and Charitable Activities*. A judge may participate in and serve as an officer, director, trustee, or nonlegal advisor of a nonprofit civic, charitable, educational, religious, or social organization, subject to the following limitations:

(1) **A judge should not serve if it is likely that the organization will either be engaged in proceedings that would ordinarily come before the judge or be regularly engaged in adversary proceedings in any court.**

[98] ABA Rule 3.1: Extrajudicial Activities in General

A judge may engage in extrajudicial activities, except as prohibited by law* or this Code. However, when engaging in extrajudicial activities, a judge shall not:

(A) participate in activities that will interfere with the proper performance of the judge's judicial duties;

(B) participate in activities that will lead to frequent disqualification of the judge;

(C) participate in activities that would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge's independence,* integrity,* or impartiality;*

(D) engage in conduct that would appear to a reasonable person to be coercive; or

b. The officers include the law firm of Stearns Weaver whose attorneys are standing members of Isicoff's Insider Team. (See B-3)
c. Stearns Weaver is on the Isicoff Extortion Team in Affiant's matter, as attorney for the trustee, Joel Tabas. (See B-2).
d. Unlawfully, in violation of judicial ethics Isicoff has been a member of the Pro Bono Association of the Florida Bar.
e. The members include the law firm Bast Amron whose attorneys are core insider members of the Isicoff Extortion Team. (See B-1)
f. The illegal extrajudicial RICO associations are engaged in bribes; fabricated, fraudulent bankruptcy fees and the use of the court for other illegal financial benefits and gain.

162. The Isicoff Insider Team is an entrenched and impenetrable arm of the racket.
163. The Isicoff Insider Team are criminally violating mail tampering, fraud and theft laws in conspiracy with Isicoff (See Paragraph C).
164. The Isicoff Insider Team orchestrates pre-planned ex parte farcical decrees that they ex parte file with Isicoff without the knowledge of Affiant;
165. Then Isicoff and the Isicoff Insider Team hold scam, meaningless, rigged, no evidence, kangaroo events in the guise of a hearing to pretend a court proceeding is taking place when the sole purpose of these events is to sign the ex parte illegal void decrees presented to her by the Isicoff Insider Team.
166. Laurel Isicoff and the Isicoff Insider Team conspire in parallel illegal void orders in the Isicoff Extortion Orders issued in collusion and conspiracy with the Lenard Extortion Judgment and Lenard Rights Extortion Decree.
167. ABA and Florida Bar Standards for Lawyer Sanctions § 5.11(b) (1986) provide disbarment is appropriate when lawyer engages in "intentional conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation that seriously adversely reflects on the lawyer's fitness to practice."
168. Isicoff is required to and has failed to report these Bar violations
169. Isicoff routinely in criminal conflict of interest, ex parte and illegally approves petitions of her incestuous team member's "petition" to APPOINT HIS OWN LAW FIRM AS ATTORNEY FOR HIMSELF AS TRUSTEE.
170. See Paragraph C for further illegal activities of the Isicoff Insider Team.

B-1 DANA R. QUICK

171. Dana Quick, an attorney at Bast Amron was also a prior law clerk for Isicoff.
172. Quick is the attorney for the fraudulent filer of the fabricated, perjured lawsuit against Affiant who is a member of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket.

173. Quick is a member of the Isicoff Insider Team.

174. In addition to her illegal acts as a member of the Isicoff Insider Team, Quick is violating bar rules [99]mandating her disbarment as she has discovered her client's acts are criminal and fraudulent and is mandated to and has failed to withdraw from representation.

B-2 JOEL TABAS

175. Joel Tabas, the illegally designated "trustee" who mysteriously appeared in Barbara's matter.

176. Tabas and Isicoff are joined at the hip, working together as a tight impenetrable team.

177. Reference should be made to their vast collusive enterprise.[100]

---

[99] **Rule 4-1.16**
Rule 4-1.2(d), a lawyer is prohibited from counseling or assisting a client in conduct that the lawyer knows is criminal or fraudulent.

[100] University Of Miami Will Return $83K In Former Booster ... - NPR
https://www.npr.org › sections › thetwo-way › 2011/12/30
Dec 30, 2011 - ... Freedman, which represents bankruptcy trustee ***Joel Tabas***.The settlement must be approved by a bankruptcy court judge, ***Laurel Isicoff***, Feb.

UM players won't have to testify in Nevin Shapiro case - South ...
https://www.bizjournals.com › southflorida › news › 2011/12/30 › um-pla...
Dec 30, 2011 - The settlement has to be approved by Judge ***Laurel Isicoff***, but the ... the law firm of bankruptcy case trustee ***Joel Tabas*** was contemplating filing ...

Florida Southern Bankruptcy Court Case 1:18-bk-17608 ...
https://www.inforuptcy.com › filings › flsbke_733603-1-18-bk-17608-wind...
Jun 26, 2018 - Assigned to: ***Laurel*** M ***Isicoff*** Chapter 11. Voluntary Asset ... c/o ***Joel Tabas***, trustee (Brenda Nestor) 25 SE 2nd Avenue Suite 248. Miami, FL ...

BofA pays to settle in Shapiro Ponzi scheme | Meland Russin ...
https://melandrussin.com › 2012/08/17 › bofa-pays-to-settle-in-shapiro-po...

Aug 17, 2012 - U.S. Bankruptcy Judge ***Laurel*** M. ***Isicoff*** in Miami approved the ... was arranged by Miami attorney ***Joel Tabas*** of Tabas, Freedman, Soloff, Miller ...

Florida Southern Bankruptcy Court Case 1:18-bk-13717 - Idea ...

https://app.courtdrive.com › filings › flsbke_729572-1-18-bk-13717-idea-...
Mar 29, 2018 - Assigned to: ***Laurel*** M ***Isicoff*** Chapter 7. Voluntary Asset ... Trustee ***Joel*** L ***Tabas***

Tabas v. Peebles, 1:18-cv-20134 – CourtListener.com
https://www.courtlistener.com › docket › tabas-v-peebles
Jan 11, 2018 - Bankruptcy Transmittal of 1 Bankruptcy Appeal filed by ***Joel*** L ***Tabas*** ... ***Laurel*** M. ***Isicoff***, 1-49 pages, Court Reporter: Ouellette and Mauldin.

ACBJ- 3450_Freedman_FINAL.indd - Tabas Soloff
www.tabassoloff.com › uploads › files › ACBJ_3450_Freedman_FINAL
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge ***Laurel*** M. ***Isicoff*** Ranch Hotel & Spa Miami Beach, nev securities fraud and one count of money ... ney ***Joel Tabas*** of Tabas, settlement.

$5 million recouped for Nevin Shapiro's victims - Tabas Soloff
www.tabassoloff.com › uploads › files
Sep 10, 2013 - U.S. Bankruptcy Judge ***Laurel*** M. ***Isicoff*** is expected to approve ... Since Miami attorney ***Joel Tabas*** became the trustee for Shapiro's bankrupt ...

178. Isicoff and Tapas criminally violate the payment provisions of the bankruptcy code.

179. It was reported Isicoff signed off on a bankruptcy settlement WHERE TABAS RECEIVED $13.5 MILLION FROM THE SETTLEMENT.[101]

180. **This flagrantly violates 11 USC § 326[102] that limits the compensation paid to a trustee.**

181. Tabas' obscene, extortive fees has been exposed by the media[103] including the Miami Daily Business who reported [104]

> "When U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Laurel Isicoff in Miami signed off on the settlement Oct. 21, the total recovery reached about $41 million, of which the Tabas Freedman firm gets to keep about $13.5 million."

182. **These illegal payments are all the more suspect as Laurel Isicoff has failed to provide legible financial disclosure statements.**

183. Tabas is an obsessive litigator who is regularly engaged in adversary proceedings and has been sued by or sued others in bankruptcy matters in a **WHOPPING FIFTY SEVEN (57) CASES** filed against and by Joel Tabas, in Federal court alone of which Affiant is aware and the likelihood of additional cases of which Affiant is not aware.

Tabas v. Peebles (1:18-cv-20134), Florida Southern District ...
https://www.pacermonitor.com › public › case › Tabas_v_Peebles
Jan 11, 2018 - STIPULATION of Dismissal With Prejudice (Joint) by ***Joel*** L ***Tabas*** ... ***Laurel*** M. ***Isicoff***, 1-49 pages, Court Reporter: Ouellette and Mauldin.

Tabas v. Lehman (In re Capitol Invs., Inc.) - Casetext
https://casetext.com › ... › June › Tabas v. Lehman (In re Capitol Invs., Inc.)
LAUREL M. ***ISICOFF***, Bankruptcy Judge. This matter came before me on April 5, 2012 upon the Motion to Dismiss All Claims Asserted by ***Joel*** L. ***Tabas***, Trustee, ...

[101] http://tabassoloff.com/uploads/files/tabas_dailybizreview.pdf

[102] U.S. Code § 326.Limitation on compensation of trustee:

(a) In a case under chapter 7 or 11, other than a case under subchapter V of chapter 11, the court may allow reasonable compensation under section 330 of this title of the trustee for the trustee's services, payable after the trustee renders such services, not to exceed 25 percent on the first $5,000 or less, 10 percent on any amount in excess of $5,000 but not in excess of $50,000, 5 percent on any amount in excess of $50,000 but not in excess of $1,000,000, and reasonable compensation not to exceed 3 percent of such moneys in excess of $1,000,000, upon all moneys disbursed or turned over in the case by the trustee to parties in interest, excluding the debtor, but including holders of secured claims.

(c) If more than one person serves as trustee in the case, the aggregate compensation of such persons for such service may not exceed the maximum compensation prescribed for a single trustee by subsection (a) or (b) of this section, as the case may be.

(d) The court may deny allowance of compensation for services or reimbursement of expenses of the trustee if the trustee failed to make diligent inquiry into facts that would permit denial of allowance under section 328(c) of this title or, with knowledge of such facts, employed a professional person under section 327 of this title.

[103] **South Florida Lawyers Are Raking In Millions Working in ...**
finance.yahoo.com/news/south-florida-lawyers...
Joel L. Tabas of Tabas Soloff in Miami billed the second highest fees, clocking $7.8 million. Tabas disputes the numbers, arguing that although the court's fee report attributes certain figures to ...

[104] https://documents.akerman.com/MostEffectiveLawyers2013.pdf

184. Thus, Isicoff's association with him through her extrajudicial association violates Judicial Canon 4 which prohibits Isicoff from participating in organizations wherein their members regularly appear as adversaries in court.

185. Tabas' litigation racket also violates the ethical mandates of the Trustee Program, a corrupt arm of the D.O.J. as reported by the prior attorney general, Defendant John Ascroft as he is acting in conflict of interest in the duty of a trustee to act independently and comply with ethical rules of U.S. Trustee Program.

186. In addition, Tabas is engaged in criminal conflict of interest by being a party to mortgages relating to properties in bankruptcy.

187. Tabas is financially derelict and unfit to act as a trustee as he has an IRS lien against him. [105]

188. Tabas is required to report creditor fraud under 18 USC 152. Instead of reporting the creditor fraud by the filer, Tabas is acting in collusion holding secret back door meeting with the filer, and orchestrated the embezzlement of Stone's assets by fake secret settlement proposals.

B-3 DREW DILLWORTH

189. Tabas and Drew Dillworth, his attorney are a "packaged team" in Isicoff's color of law court and act in conspiracy and collusion.

C. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF RACKETEERING; HUMAN RIGHTS ATROCITIES; AND ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES OF LAUREL ISICOFF

C-1: THE COLOR OF LAW ISICOFF BANKRUPTCY COURT IS CONTROLLED AND OPERATED IN COLLUSION THE ISICOFF INSIDER TEAM

190. The Isicoff Blackmail Decree was ex parte filed by the Isicoff Insider Team.

191. Isicoff's illegal void decrees are all secretly and ex parte prepared and filed by Insider Isicoff Team without Barbara's knowledge.

192. Then these illegal void decrees are all signed by Isicoff exactly as written by the Isicoff Insider Team.

193. The Isicoff Blackmail Decree was ex parte prepared by and filed by the Isicoff Insider Team.

194. Isicoff's illegal void decrees are all secretly and ex parte prepared and filed by Insider Isicoff Team without Barbara's knowledge.

195. Then these illegal void decrees are all signed by Isicoff exactly as written by the Isicoff Insider Team.

[105] **2007R0298259**

196. The Isicoff Insider Team is a tight impenetrable core of RICO Affiliates assembled by Laurel Isicoff by illegal extrajudicial activities in violation of Judicial Canon 4 [106] that illegally **controls her bankruptcy proceedings**. [107]

C- 2: CRIMINAL RETALIATION AGAINST AFFIANT BY ISICOFF

197. Affiant and other victims of the Murder for Profit U.S. Sponsored Guardian Racket were forced to sue Isicoff because the cross-corrupted government employees act in conspiracy and fail to hold her criminally accountable.

198. A prior Florida lawsuit against Isicoff for criminally failing to provide financial disclosure statements was illegally dismissed by her law school Affiliate.

199. Subsequent to the filing the lawsuits against Laurel Isicoff, she waged a war of retaliation against Affiant; perpetrated human rights atrocities and engaged in an ongoing criminal enterprise by acts including but not limited to:

a. Issuing the illegal, void, fraudulent Isicoff Blackmail decree in the guise of an order;
b. Issuing other illegal void fraudulent decrees in the guise of orders to steal and embezzle Affiant's property and fraudulently convey it to the Isicoff Insider Team.
c. Issuing illegal void fraudulent decrees to fraudulently fence, sell and convey the property she has stolen from Affiant to third parties.
d. Issuing illegal void fraudulent decrees in a bribery payment of $25,000 to Janet Pipes from assets she stole from Affiant constitutes **bribery, fencing, embezzlement, larceny and conspiracy in a scheme to defraud Affiant and the government.**
e. Isicoff issued this illegal void fraudulent decree immediately after she was notified of forgery, embezzlement and theft of Affiant's assets by Janet Pipes. A copy of the forged checks and the theft of Affiant's property by Janet Pipes where she paid herself

---

[106] **4. (B)** ***Civic and Charitable Activities***. A judge may participate in and serve as an officer, director, trustee, or nonlegal advisor of a nonprofit civic, charitable, educational, religious, or social organization, subject to the following limitations:

(1) A judge should not serve if it is likely that the organization will either be engaged in proceedings that would ordinarily come before the judge or be regularly engaged in adversary proceedings in any court.

[107] **https://www.npr.org › sections › thetwo-way › 2011/12/30**
Dec 30, 2011 - Judge ***Laurel Isicoff***,... bankruptcy trustee ***Joel Tabas***
Florida Southern Bankruptcy Court Case 1:18-bk-17608 ...
Jun 26, 2018 - Assigned to: ***Laurel*** M ***Isicoff*** ... ***Joel Tabas***, trustee...
Aug 17, 2012 - Bankruptcy Judge *Laurel* M. *Isicoff* ... attorney *Joel Tabas*
Florida Southern Bankruptcy Court Case 1:18-bk-13717 -
Mar 29, 2018 - Assigned to: ***Laurel*** M ***Isicoff*** Trustee ***Joel*** L ***Tabas***
Jan 11, 2018 - Bankruptcy Transmittal by ***Joel*** L ***Tabas*** ... ***Laurel*** M. ***Isicoff***,
Bankruptcy Judge ***Laurel*** M. ***Isicoff*** attorney ***Joel Tabas***
Sep 10, 2013 - Bankruptcy Judge ***Laurel*** M. ***Isicoff*** ***Joel Tabas*** trustee
LAUREL M. ***ISICOFF***, Bankruptcy Judge. ***Joel*** L. ***Tabas***, Trustee, ...

and her spouse Jerry Pipes money that she stole from Affiant were filed in Isicoff's color of law court..

C-3 MAIL TAMPERING; FRAUD AND THEFT

200. In the Isicoff Blackmail Decree, Isicoff illegally decreed Affiant cannot file or receive official court records.

201. Instead, she illegally decreed court mail must be transmitted by the Isicoff Insider Team.

202. The interference with the delivery of Affiant's mail is a federal crime of mail theft, tampering and mail fraud. [108]

203. The Isicoff Insider Team are impersonating postal workers in violation of 18 U.S.C.§ 912 [109]

---

[108] 18 U.S. Code § 1703 - Delay or destruction of **mail** or ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1703

Amendments. 1994—Pub. L. 103–322 substituted "fined under this title" for "fined not more than $500" in subsec. (a) and "fined under this title" for "fined not more than $100" in last par. 1970—Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 91–375, § 6(j)(16)(A), amended subsec.(a) generally, which prior to amendment read as follows: "Whoever, being a postmaster or Postal Service employee ...

18 U.S.C. § 1341 and 1343 (Mail, Wire, and Bank Fraud)

"Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation, security, or other article, or anything represented to be or intimated or held out to be such counterfeit or spurious article, for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice or attempting so to do, places in any post office or authorized depository for mail matter, any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by the Postal Service, or deposits or causes to be deposited any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by any private or commercial interstate carrier, or takes or receives therefrom, any such matter or thing, or knowingly causes to be delivered by mail or such carrier according to the direction thereon, or at the place at which it is directed to be delivered by the person to whom it is addressed, any such matter or thing, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both."

18 U.S.C. Section 1341—Elements of Mail Fraud | JM ...
www.justice.gov/archives/jm/criminal-resource...
Jan 21, 2020 · United States, 347 U.S. 1, 8 (1954) ("The elements of the offense of mail fraud under... § 1341 are (1) a scheme to defraud, and (2) the mailing of a letter, etc., for the purpose of executing the scheme."); Laura A. Eilers & Harvey B. Silikovitz, Mail and Wire Fraud, 31 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 703, 704 (1994) (cases cited).

[108] https://www.ussc.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/training/primers/2018_Primer_RICO.pdf

18 U.S. Code § 1346 - Definition of "scheme or artifice to ...
www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1346
MAIL FRAUD AND OTHER FRAUD OFFENSES ... 18 U.S. Code § 1346. ... defraud" includes a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest ...

[109] 18 U.S. Code § 912 - Officer or employee of the United ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/912

Whoever falsely assumes or pretends to be an officer or employee acting under the authority of the United States or any department, agency or officer thereof, and acts as such, or in such pretended character demands or obtains any money, paper, document, or thing of value, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

204. Any such documents entered in the official court records constitute false entries [110]

205. Forcing Affiant to violate the law by filing official court documents anywhere other than in a court of law constitutes extortion and threats.

206. The Isicoff Blackmail Decree violates and illegally extorts Affiant to violate Rule 5. [111]

C-4: BY HER OWN ADMISSION, ISICOFF IS ACTING AS A RICO AFFILIATE AND ASSOCIATE

207. Laurel Isicoff issues parallel illegal void orders in collusion and conspiracy with the Lenard Extortion Judgment and Lenard Rights Extortion Decree.

208. In her Blackmail Decree, Isicoff references the illegal void Rape of Rights Decree by her co-conspirator, Joan Lenard that also illegally prohibits Affiant from reporting crimes by the filer of the fraudulent, fabricate lawsuit against Affiant and the crimes of his unnamed "Affiliates" and "Associates."

209. Thus, by her Blackmail Decree attempting to prohibit Affiant from suing her, Isicoff thereby identifies herself as a RICO "Affiliate" and "Associate" of the Filer of the fraudulent lawsuit.

C-5: ISICOFF DOES NOT HAVE AND NEVER HAD JURISDICTION

210. **Under U.S. Supreme Court law that Isicoff never had and does not have any jurisdiction over this matter of a fraudulent conveyance:**

a. ***Granfinanciera, S. A. v. Nordberg,*** 492 U.S. 33, 56 (1989).
The party therein sought to avoid a fraudulent transfer. The Supreme Court held: "Congress lacks the power to strip parties who are contesting matters of private right of their constitutional right to a jury trial.

---

[110] 18 U.S. Code § 1519 - Destruction, alteration, or ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1519

Jul 30, 2002 · 18 U.S. Code § 1519. Destruction, alteration, or falsification of **records** in Federal investigations and bankruptcy. Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any **record,** document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation or proper administration of any matter within …

8 U.S. Code § 1324c - Penalties for document fraud | U.S ...
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/8/1324c

to forge, counterfeit, **alter**, or falsely make any document for the purpose of satisfying a requirement of this chapter or to obtain a benefit under this chapter, (2) to use, attempt to use, possess, obtain, accept, or receive or to provide any forged, counterfeit, altered, or falsely made document in order to satisfy any requirement of this ...

[111] **Rule 5. Serving and Filing Pleadings and Other Papers**

(2) *Nonelectronic Filing.* A paper not filed electronically is filed by delivering it:

(A) to the clerk; or

(B) to a judge who agrees to accept it for filing, and who must then note the filing date on the paper and promptly send it to the clerk.

b. ***Stern v. Marshall***, 564 U.S. 462 (2011). The U.S. Supreme Court held that a bankruptcy court, as a non-Article III court lacked constitutional authority under Article III of the U.S. Constitution to enter a final judgment on a state law counterclaim that is not resolved in the process of **ruling on a creditor's proof of claim.**

c. Since bankruptcy courts may not constitutionally hear fraudulent conveyance claims any **judgment entered by the bankruptcy court on such claims is void**. The fact that a bankruptcy court does not have the constitutional authority to decide such cases means the bankruptcy court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

211. Moreover, Isicoff never had jurisdiction as she is a non-Article III judicial public servant and has no Article III authority to overturn the fraudulent void judgment.

212. The fraudulent conveyance /fabricated judgment is void as a matter of law and must be set aside by a court acting with jurisdiction. [112]

213. The "bankruptcy proceeding" is and has always been a sham, illegal and void.

C-5: EVEN IF ISICOFF HAD JURISDICTION, SHE IS DISQUALIFIED AS A PARTY TO CRIMES

214. Not only is Unlawful Public Servant Isicoff illegally acting without jurisdiction, but even if she had jurisdiction, she is **PRIMA FACIE DISQUALIFIED as a matter of law under** 28 U.S.C. 455 on countless grounds including but not limited to:

a. she is a material witness, i.e. she will be a witness to her own illegal acts;

b. has a personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;

c. has an interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome;

d. has failed to provide legible financial statements to show if she has a financial interest;

e. uses insiders she has illegally assembled by her illegal extrajudicial activities in violation of judicial canons that mandate her disqualification;

f. she makes illegal payments to the Isicoff Insider Team.

C-6: BRIBES, KICKBACKS AND OTHER ILLEGAL FINANCIAL GAIN AND BENEFIT IN SEPARATE ISICOFF RICO ENTERPRISES

[112] *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944), the leading United States Supreme Court case dealing with fraud. The Court stated: **"..**tampering with the administration of justice in the manner indisputably shown here involves far more than an injury to a single litigant. It is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public, institutions in which fraud cannot complacently be tolerated consistently with the good order of society. Surely it cannot be that preservation of the integrity of the judicial process must always wait upon the diligence of litigants. The public welfare demands that the agencies of public justice be not so impotent that they must always be mute and helpless victims of deception and fraud." The Court held that the court of appeals had "both the duty and the power to vacate its own judgment and to give the district court appropriate directions" to set aside its judgment entered pursuant to the Third Circuit's previous mandate and to reinstate its original judgment denying relief to Hartford.

215. Laurel Isicoff issues illegal astronomical fees to the Isicoff Criminal Conspirator Team [113] that violate 11 U.S. Code § 326 [114] limiting compensation (the "Isicoff Illegal/Suspect Payments").

216. Isicoff has illegally awarded more than $10,000,000 in fees over the limit permitted by law as reported in at least one known case. [115]

---

[113] **South Florida Lawyers Are Raking In Millions Working in ...**
finance.yahoo.com/news/south-florida-lawyers...
Joel L. Tabas of Tabas Soloff in Miami billed the second highest fees, clocking $7.8 million.

[114] 11 U.S. Code § 326.Limitation on compensation of trustee

[115] UM players won't have to testify in Nevin Shapiro case - South ... https://www.bizjournals.com › southflorida › news › 2011/12/30 › um-pla... Dec 30, 2011 - The settlement has to be approved by Judge Laurel Isicoff, but the ... the law firm of bankruptcy case trustee Joel Tabas was contemplating filing ...

Florida Southern Bankruptcy Court Case 1:18-bk-17608 ... https://www.inforuptcy.com › filings › flsbke_733603-1-18-bk-17608-wind... Jun 26, 2018 - Assigned to: Laurel M Isicoff Chapter 11. Voluntary Asset ... c/o Joel Tabas, trustee (Brenda Nestor) 25 SE 2nd Avenue Suite 248. Miami, FL ... BofA pays to settle in Shapiro Ponzi scheme |

Aug 17, 2012 - U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Laurel M. Isicoff in Miami approved the ... was arranged by Miami attorney Joel Tabas of Tabas, Freedman, Soloff, Miller ... Florida Southern Bankruptcy Court Case 1:18-bk-13717 - Idea ...
https://app.courtdrive.com › filings › flsbke_729572-1-18-bk-13717-idea-... Mar 29, 2018 - Assigned to: Laurel M Isicoff Chapter 7. Voluntary Asset ... Trustee Joel L Tabas

Tabas v. Peebles, 1:18-cv-20134 – CourtListener.com https://www.courtlistener.com › docket › tabas-v-peebles Jan 11, 2018 - Bankruptcy Transmittal of 1 Bankruptcy Appeal filed by Joel L Tabas ... Laurel M. Isicoff, 1-49 pages, Court Reporter: Ouellette and Mauldin.

ACBJ- 3450_Freedman_FINAL.indd - Tabas Soloff www.tabassoloff.com › uploads › files › ACBJ_3450_Freedman_FINAL U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Laurel M. Isicoff Ranch Hotel & Spa Miami Beach, nev securities fraud and one count of money ... ney Joel Tabas of Tabas, settlement.

$5 million recouped for Nevin Shapiro's victims - Tabas Soloff www.tabassoloff.com › uploads › files Sep 10, 2013 - U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Laurel M. Isicoff is expected to approve ... Since Miami attorney Joel Tabas became the trustee for Shapiro's bankrupt ...

South Florida Lawyers Are Raking In Millions Working in ... finance.yahoo.com/news/south-florida-lawyers... Joel L. Tabas of Tabas Soloff in Miami billed the second highest fees, clocking $7.8 million. Tabas disputes the numbers, arguing that although the court's fee report attributes certain figures to ...

http://tabassoloff.com/uploads/files/tabas_dailybizreview.pdf
Dec 30, 2011 - ... Freedman, which represents bankruptcy trustee *Joel Tabas*.The settlement must be approved by a bankruptcy court judge, *Laurel Isicoff*, Feb.

UM players won't have to testify in Nevin Shapiro case - South ...
https://www.bizjournals.com › southflorida › news › 2011/12/30 › um-pla...
Dec 30, 2011 - The settlement has to be approved by Judge *Laurel Isicoff*, but the ... the law firm of bankruptcy case trustee *Joel Tabas* was contemplating filing ...
Florida Southern Bankruptcy Court Case 1:18-bk-17608 ...
https://www.inforuptcy.com › filings › flsbke_733603-1-18-bk-17608-wind...
Jun 26, 2018 - Assigned to: *Laurel* M *Isicoff* Chapter 11. Voluntary Asset ... c/o *Joel Tabas*, trustee (Brenda Nestor) 25 SE 2nd Avenue Suite 248. Miami, FL ...

BofA pays to settle in Shapiro Ponzi scheme | Meland Russin ...
https://melandrussin.com › 2012/08/17 › bofa-pays-to-settle-in-shapiro-po...

217. It is reported Laurel Isicoff signed a bankruptcy settlement where an Isicoff Insider Team trustee received **$13.5 million**.[116] **By law, he was limited to approximately $1,000,000.**

218. This illegal payment by Laurel Isicoff violates 18 U.S.C. § 641; 18 U.S.C. § 645; 18 U.S.C. § 654; 18 U.S.C. § 872. U.S.C.§ 880; and 18 U.S.C.§ 912.

219. These illegal payments constitute bribes, kickbacks and other illegal financial gain.[117]

220. Thus, just like with Goodman, Isicoff is acting in criminal conflict of interest and is an imposter extrajudicial government employee acting without jurisdiction and authority in any matter.

C-7: SHAM, MEANINGLESS SHAM "NO-EVIDENCE" EVENTS IN THE GUISE OF "HEARINGS'

221. Isicoff sets unilateral meaningless hearing illegally barring evidence.

222. Isicoff's notices state no evidence is to be provided at her color of law hearings.

223. Affiant is attacked by outlandish lies and perjury that are used to enable Isicoff to issue illegal void orders on fake, perjured, farcical "facts" and fabricated "law."

224. This constitutes witness tampering and deprivation of rights under color of law.

Aug 17, 2012 - U.S. Bankruptcy Judge *Laurel* M. *Isicoff* in Miami approved the ... was arranged by Miami attorney *Joel Tabas* of Tabas, Freedman, Soloff, Miller ...

Sep 10, 2013 - U.S. Bankruptcy Judge *Laurel* M. *Isicoff* is expected to approve ... Since Miami attorney *Joel Tabas* became the trustee for Shapiro's bankrupt ...

Tabas v. Peebles (1:18-cv-20134), Florida Southern District ...

https://www.pacermonitor.com › public › case › Tabas_v_Peebles

Jan 11, 2018 - STIPULATION of Dismissal With Prejudice (Joint) by *Joel* L *Tabas* ... *Laurel* M. *Isicoff*, 1-49 pages, Court Reporter: Ouellette and Mauldin.

ACBJ- 3450_Freedman_FINAL.indd - Tabas Soloff

www.tabassoloff.com › uploads › files › ACBJ_3450_Freedman_FINAL

U.S. Bankruptcy Judge *Laurel* M. *Isicoff* Ranch Hotel & Spa Miami Beach, nev securities fraud and one count of money ... ney *Joel Tabas* of Tabas, settlement.

[116] **DECEMBER 9, 2013 DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW -**

tabassoloff.com/uploads/files/tabas_dailybizreview.pdf

When U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Laurel Isicoff in Miami signed off on the settlement the total recovery reached about $41 million, of which the Tabas Freedman firm gets to keep about $13.5 million.

[117] 18 U.S. Code § 201 - Bribery of public officials and witnesses

(c)Whoever—

(1)otherwise than as provided by law for the proper discharge of official duty—

(A)directly or indirectly gives, offers, or promises anything of value to any public official, former public official, or person selected to be a public official, for or because of any official act performed or to be performed by such public official, former public official, or person selected to be a public official; or

(B)being a public official, former public official, or person selected to be a public official, otherwise than as provided by law for the proper discharge of official duty, directly or indirectly demands, seeks, receives, accepts, or agrees to receive or accept anything of value personally for or because of any official act performed or to be performed by such official or person;

shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for not more than two years, or both.

C-8: PATTERN AND PRACTICE OF NO JURISDICTION "DISMISSALS' OF HER OWN APPEALS

225. Isicoff issued an illegal, void, fraudulent decree that Affiant cannot appeal or seek remedy to her illegal, void, fraudulent decrees.
226. Isicoff issued the same illegal void fraudulent decree in other matter.
227. The illegal void dismissal of her own appeals is a pattern and practice [118]
228. These illegal dismissals of her own appeals which are a matter of right [119] constitute a host of Federal criminal violations including fabricating court records and constitute Predicate Acts in her racketeering enterprise.
229. Isicoff defames Affiant and others by faming them with false "frivolous" slurs.
230. These illegal, void decrees are colluded and conspired by her extrajudicial affiliates and associates in the next "appellate" tier.
231. These illegal void fraudulent decrees also constitute criminal retaliation.

C-9: FAILURE TO PROVIDE AND/OR FILE FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL VIOLATION OF LAW

232. Laurel Isicoff has failed to provide legible financial disclosure statements as required under by law which contains civil and criminal penalties for failure to disclose.
233. Isicoff is required to file her financial disclosure statements ("Financials") [120]pursuant to the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 (the "Act") [121] promulgated by Congress.
234. Isicoff has not furnished legible Financials to Affiant.
235. The failure to file Financials is a criminal violation of section 104 of the act. [122]

---

[118] **Pinillo (1:15-cv-23966), Florida Southern District Court (pacermonitor.com)**
order Order to Vacate Mon 12/28 4:19 PM
Order Granting Motion to Vacate Order Dismissing Bankruptcy Appeal
Signed by Bankruptcy Judge Laurel M. Isicoff on 12/21/2015. (vp)

[119] **Rule 4. Appeal as of Right**

[120] The Office of Government Ethics is the federal agency that has been designated pursuant to the Ethics in Government Act of 1978to oversee and receive the financial disclosure statements of federal employees. Pursuant to the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, a federal employee is responsible to file a financial disclosure statement within 30 days of assuming their position. Laurel M. Isicoff has been a federal employee for 14 years and is required to have submitted her financial disclosure for each of the years in which she has been a federal employee.

[121] https://legcounsel.house.gov/Comps/Ethics%20In%20Government%20Act%20Of%201978.pdf

[122] SEC. 104. (a)(1) The Attorney General may bring a civil action in any appropriate United States district court against any individual who knowingly and willfully falsifies or who knowingly and willfully fails to file or report any information that such individual is required to report pursuant to section 102.
The court in which such action is brought may assess against such individual a civil penalty in any amount, not to exceed $50,000.
(2)(A) It shall be unlawful for any person to knowingly and willfully— (i) falsify any information that such person is required to report under section 102; and (ii) **fail to file or report any information that such person**

236. The failure of Isicoff to provide legible Financials is of particular significance as a result of her illegal payments to the Isicoff Insider Team; leaving any reasonable person to suspect bribes, kickbacks, payoffs and money laundering.

237. Isicoff has also failed to disclose the holding of her spouse who owns a salvage company who any reasonable person would suspect benefits from the properties that are illegally confiscated by Isicoff and the Isicoff Insider Team.

C-10: OTHER ETHICAL BREACHES AND VIOLATIONS

238. The members of the Isicoff Insider Team are ordinarily before her thus Isicoff is in violation of Judicial Canon 4.

239. The members of the Isicoff Insider Team are regularly engaged in adversary proceeding in any court thus Isicoff is in violation of Judicial Canon 4.

240. The trustee member of the Isicoff Insider Team, Joel Tabas routinely and illegally sues persons in bankruptcy in criminal conflict of his duty as a trustee to act independently thus Isicoff is in violation of Judicial Canon 4.

241. The collusion with the Isicoff Insider Team constitutes an appearance of impropriety in violation of Judicial Canon 2A. [123]

242. There isn't a remote appearance of impartiality [124] as all that is taking place are farcical, sham, meaningless, kangaroo events in a ruse to issue fabricated, fraudulent decrees to further execute the embezzlement and looting of Affiant's assets.

243. Isicoff and the Isicoff Insider Team track Affiant down at her home to harass, intimidate

---

is required to report under section 102. (B) Any person who— (i) violates subparagraph (A)(i) shall be fined under title 18, United States Code, imprisoned for not more than 1 year, or both; and (ii) violates subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be fined under title 18, United States Code.
(b) The head of each agency, each Secretary concerned, the Director of the Office of Government Ethics, each congressional ethics committee, or the Judicial Conference, as the case may be, shall refer to the Attorney General the name of any individual which such official or committee has reasonable cause to believe has willfully failed to file a report or has willfully falsified or willfully failed to file information required to be reported.

[123] **Canon 2A**. An appearance of impropriety occurs when reasonable minds, with knowledge of all the relevant circumstances disclosed by a reasonable inquiry, would conclude that the judge's honesty, integrity, impartiality, temperament, or fitness to serve as a judge is impaired. Public confidence in the judiciary is eroded by irresponsible or improper conduct by judges, including harassment and other inappropriate workplace behavior. A judge must avoid all impropriety and appearance of impropriety.

[124] The lawlessness of the judiciary is so pervasive that a retired judge, Justice John F. Molloy felt compelled to write a book entitled *The Fraternity: Lawyers and Judges in Collusion.* Justice Molloy states:

> **Lawyer domination:** When a lawyer puts on a robe and takes the bench, he or she is called a judge. But in reality, when judges look down from the bench they are lawyers looking upon fellow members of their fraternity. **In any other area of the free-enterprise system, this would be seen as a conflict of interest.** When a lawyer takes an oath as a judge, it merely enhances the ruling class of lawyers and judges. **Surely it's time to question what has happened to our justice system and to wonder if it is possible to return to a system that truly does protect us from wrongs.**

and stalk her with multiple duplicates of illegal "decrees," sham "hearings" and fraudulent documents.

C -11: PATTERN AND PRACTICE OF LAWLESS AND IRRATIONAL CONDUCT

244. Isicoff's lack of reason, logic and control of her faculties is by her bizarre "decrees" is dangerous and terrifying.

245. Not only are these tactics the classic elements of a racket but these irrational pronouncements by Isicoff put Affiant and the public in grave fear and mental anguish.

246. As she has illegally barred Affiant from appearing in her own matter, she barred a prominent out of state bankruptcy attorney who quickly became savvy to her racket and exposed her deviate conduct as "a few French Fries shy of a Happy Meal [125] and destroyed his career.

247. A criminal complaint has been filed against her by Jeffrey Norkin.

VIII. LAUREL ISICOFF'S CORRUPTION EPITOMIZES THE USE OF THE U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT AS A CRIMINAL SYNDICATE THAT IS WELL KNOWN TO THE PUBLIC AND ALL BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT

248. The massive corruption and lawlessness of the bankruptcy court is virally reported. [126]

---

[125]French Fry Remark Proves Costly For McDermott Head - www.law360.com/articles/27556/french-fry-remark. Smith's verbal gaffe cost him Mount Sinai as a client.
https://www.chicagobusiness.com/article/20070619/NEWS04/200025379/client-drops-happy-meal-lawyer-s-firm https://myshingle.com/2007/05/articles/ethics-malpractice-issues/you-know-what-this-judge-was-a-fewfries-shy-of-a-happy-meal

[126] **www.dailykos.com/stories/2012/5/5/1089083/-**Bankruptcy court corruption is not just a matter of bankruptcy trustees in collusion with corrupt bankruptcy judges. The corruption is supported, and justice hindered by high ranking officials in .

JUDICIAL CORRUPTION IN OUR BANKRUPTCY COURTS griffin-house.com/corruption
Judicial Corruption in Our Bankruptcy Courts: is worse than the abusive government seizure laws which have gained some media exposure lately. Instead of simply seizing our assets, we are forced to sit there and observe the convoluted and corrupted "process" in slow motion.

Description of endemic corruption in the bankruptcy courts.
www.defraudingamerica.com/bankruptcy_court_corruption.html Another of America's Covered Up Criminal Enterprises An area of corruption that is virtually unknown to the people is that occurring in the federal bankruptcy courts. The corruption is described in various books, including Defrauding America and Unfriendly Skies:

http://www.bankruptcymisconduct.com/new/ Bankruptcy Corruption
bankruptcycorruption.blogspot.com
Victims of our Federal Bankruptcy Courts across the country are crying out for justice! Since none can be had in the courts themselves we have resorted to telling our stories via blogs, dedicated websites designed to make others aware of the horrors we have experienced,

STOP JUDICIAL CORRUPTION IN OUR BANKRUPTCY COURTS - change.org
www.change.org/p/president-of-the-united-states...

249. The crimes that takes place in the bankruptcy court are intimately known to and have been exposed by former Attorney General, John Ashcroff.

250. He reported the pandemic bankruptcy corruption in a speech at the Hague set forth below.

251. It is significant that the speech was delivered at the Hague, the location of the International Criminal Court. The International Criminal Court was established to investigate "Crimes Against Humanity" as are the bankruptcy atrocities.

252. John Ashcroft's statement at the Hague Global Forum on Corruption is below:

Judicial Tyranny

A CULTURE OF JUDICIAL ARROGANCE AND CORRUPTION

"Bankruptcy court corruption is not just a matter of bankruptcy trustees in collusion with corrupt bankruptcy judges. The corruption is supported, and justice hindered by high ranking officials in the United States Trustee Program. The corruption has advanced to punishing any and all who mention the criminal acts of trustees and organized crime operating through the United States Bankruptcy Courts. As though greed is not enough, the trustees, in collusion with others, intentionally go forth to destroy lives. Exemptions provided by law are denied debtors. Cases are intentionally, and unreasonably kept open for years. Parties in cases are sanctioned to discourage them from pursuing justice. Contempt of court powers are misused to coerce litigants

---

JUDICIAL CORRUPTION IN OUR BANKRUPTCY COURTS is Economic Terrorism perpetrated against Mom & Pop Creditors. There is a very strong consensus surrounding the issue of bankruptcy fraud, however, it is not being addressed as a core issue. We need to trust that our judicial system and our law ...

Conflict of Interest - Bankruptcy Misconduct
bankruptcymisconduct.com/new/issues/conflict-of-interest...
The media has been assisting the Bankruptcy Rings as they dutifully report what lawyers themselves have been calling a "failure to disclose" when a conflict of interest crime surfaces. While failure might sound bad enough to some, the correct word is fraud. Congress anticipated the compelling financial incentive corrupt bankruptcy lawyers, so ...

STOP JUDICIAL CORRUPTION IN OUR BANKRUPTCY COURTS -change.org www.change.org/p/president-of-the-united-states... The corruption has advanced to punishing any and all who mention the criminal acts of trustees and organized crime operating through the United States Bankruptcy Courts. As though greed is not enough, the trustees, in collusion with others, intentionally go forth to destroy lives.

Corrupt bankruptcy trustee and attorney corruptbankruptcytrustee.blogspot.com A complaint filed with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois accuses David R. Brown of racketeering and fraud. Mr. Brown, an attorney at the law firm of Springer Brown Covey Gaertner & Davis, allegedly filed hundreds of false fraud claims to obtain quick cash settlements from creditors of the bankruptcy estate.

ABSURD - Bankruptcy Judges are picked by Circuit Courts ..
www.democraticunderground.com/100211478573 "Bankruptcy court corruption is not just a matter of bankruptcy trustees in collusion with corrupt bankruptcy judges. The corruption is supported, and justice hindered by high ranking officials in the United States Trustee Program. The corruption has advanced to punishing any and all who mention the criminal acts of trustees and organized crime operating through the United States Bankruptcy Courts.

https://rense.com/general64/skold.htm

<u>into agreeing with extortion demands.</u> This does not ensure integrity and restore public confidence."

253. Summary of Attorney General Aschcroft's statements:

"OUR COURTS SHOULD NOT BE COLLECTION AGENCIES FOR CROOKS."

"THE AMERICAN PUBLIC, VICTIMIZED AND HELD HOSTAGE BY BANKRUPTCY COURT CORRUPTION, HAVE NO WHERE TO TURN."

"Bankruptcy court **corruption** is not just a matter of bankruptcy trustees in **collusion** with **corrupt** bankruptcy judges. The **corruption** is supported, and **<u>justice hindered</u>** <u>by high ranking officials in the United States Trustee Program</u>" **<u>emphasis</u>** added

- There are Corrupt bankruptcy judges
- Bankruptcy trustees act in collusion with corrupt bankruptcy judges
- Justice hindered by high ranking officials in the United States Trustee Program
- Corruption has advanced to punishing any and all who mention the criminal acts
- Organized crime operating through the United States Bankruptcy Courts
- [U.S.] trustees, in collusion with others, intentionally go forth to destroy lives.
- Cases are intentionally, and unreasonably kept open for years.
- Parties in cases are sanctioned to discourage them from pursuing justice.
- Contempt of court powers are misused to coerce litigants
- [Corrupt's] coerce litigants into agreeing with extortion demands
- American public, is victimized and held hostage by bankruptcy court corruption,
- held hostage by bankruptcy court corruption, have nowhere to turn

254. **In the face of rampant and explosive corruption, Defendant John Ashcroft, while acting as attorney general with his main duty to investigate public corruption and protect the public, instead, <u>did nothing, thereby acting in colllusion.</u>**

IX. DELIBERATE ENDANGERMENT AND HARM

254. As is the case in racketeering enterprises, the Unlawful Extrajudicial Public Servants secretly work together and collude in tactics that threaten, terrorize, extort and retaliate against Affiant.
255. Affiant is embroiled in a plethora of ceaseless litigation in other courts as a result.
256. Affiant is buried in a mountain of fraudulent, illegal court documents with regard to this Theft/Extortion Scheme and the many other rackets that have been spawned as a result.
257. Affiant is being subjected to unconscionable emotional distress and stress.
258. Affiant is suffering medical conditions that are a direct result of the embezzlement racket. Affiant is under constant stress. Affiant's eyes have grossly deteriorated because

she is forced to be in front of a computer screen for upwards of 10 hours a deal to deal with the embezzlement racket and ceaseless fraudulent litigation that has infested Affiant's life.

259. Moreover Affiant cannot financially afford to these medical needs as her assets are illegal stolen by the collusive and cover up judges.

260. In addition to being forced to pay legal fees, Affiant is forced to suffer never ending financial impediments to seek remedy for these Human Rights Atrocities.

261. Affiant lost her job and career. Affiant was a highly successful and respected real estate broker at Corcoran, one of the most prominent real estate brokerage firms in the country. Affiant was forced to give up her job and her clients after becoming embroiled in this court orchestrated racketeering scheme. Affiant's impeccable reputation for integrity and honesty and her career which has been destroyed by judicial racketing. Reference should be made to the many testimonials from Affiant's clients. Exhibit E.

262. Affiant is unable to earn a livelihood as she is embroiled 24 hours a day in criminal rackets.

263. Affiant is subjected to threats and intimidation to put her in fear of accessing the court in order to silence her and preclude her from filing documents so the Unlawful Extrajudicial Public Servants can perpetrate their crimes in secret

264. Isicoff packs her court with bailiffs to threaten Affiant.

265. When Affiant went to the Southern District Court to pay another fee in order to seek remedy in other courts from this racket she was told that Lenard had illegally decreed that she needed to be have a "federal escort" to accompany her to the clerk's office.

266. Not only are these acts criminal deprivations of Affiant's rights and threats and extortion crimes, but they are acts of public corruption and honest services fraud wherein they are using public funds to advance their corrupt activities.

267. The illegal, criminal, fraudulent, deceitful conduct of the Unlawful Public Servants make them unfit to act in any judicial capacity and make them a danger to the public.

X. THE MATTERS HEREIN CONSTITUTE A NATIONAL SECURITY BREACH, NATIONAL SECURITY THREAT AND NATIONAL EMERGENCY

268. **It is prima facie documented that Affiant is in life-threatening danger.**

269. **There are no defenses or explanations nor has there been any refute or denial to ANY of these statements of truth made by Affiant.**

270. **The atrocities herein constitute a national security breach.** [127]

271. The public has characterized these acts as judicial terrorists and the identity and acts of these judicial terrorists are being virally exposed.[128]

[127] **Executive Order 10450--Security requirements for Government employment**

272. The government official with whom this Affidavit is filed are mandated to take Immediate and Emergency action to remedy these illegal acts as required by 42 U.S.C. 1986, 18 U.S.C. 2, 3, and 4 and government employee ethics.

273. See *Rosemond v. United States*, 134 S. Ct. 1240, 1245 (2014)("[T]hose who provide knowing aid to persons committing federal crimes, with the intent to facilitate the crime, are themselves committing a crime").

274. Affiant objects and deeply resents being forced into the position of a whistleblower against her will because all lawless corrupt branches of government conspire in the criminal and racketeering acts set forth herein.

275. Affiant demands urgent and emergency Whistleblower/witness protection.

---

[128]RECOGNIZING AND DEALING WITH MODERN JUDICIAL TERRORISM
https://newswithviews.com/JBWilliams/williams116.htm

When running down the laundry list of modern threats to freedom and liberty in America, atop that list is the corrupt and anti-constitution nature of today's **judicial** branch. Without a genuine respect for the rule of law and reverence

OPINION: One man's judicial terrorism Newsroom Panama
https://newsroompanama.com/opinion/opinion-one-mans-judicial-terrorism

The justice system has been distorted, to the point that it has become a terrorist instrument, seeking to intimidate not only judicial officials but journalists, the media, even citizens who oppose or criticize it.

Domestic Abuse Survivors and "Judicial Terrorism ...
https://lostmessiahdotcom.wordpress.com/2020/11/05/...

Nov 05, 2020 · **Judicial terrorism** is a term being coined for a situation when the courts are used by abusers against abuse survivors. The article below relates specifically to that use of the term. Abuse victims and assault victims, who find the courage to seek legal assistance are by their very nature unique. The decision to confront an abuser is already crippling.

More Judicial Terrorism in Isabella County Michigan

Judicial Terrorism Book | Justice4NY
https://justice4ny.com/judicial-terrorism-book

Judicial Terrorism Book This book is dedicated to all those who have suffered because of the illegal actions of our corrupt state and federal judiciaries. Make it a reality that no one is above the law, that our legal system must protect the innocent and punish the wrongdoers, that the promise of equal justice must be a reality for every American.

America Wakes Up To **Find Its Judicial Branch Infiltrated** ...
pennsylvaniacourtwatch.com/news-views/america...

Dec 25, 2017 · The lesson here is that the existence of a **justice system** based on profit has destroyed the integrity of the U.S. government. Only by the efforts of private citizens is there any shred of hope that order will be restored to America. Clearly, **the Judicial** …

Under penalties of perjury, I affirm the above statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

STATE OF FLORIDA)

COUNTY OF DADE)

Barbara Stone

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 8th day of March, 2021 by Barbara Stone personally known to me or presented the following identification Florida Driver License

NOTARY PUBLIC

Jenelle Johnson

(Print or type name of notary public and stamp)

Jenelle Johnson
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF FLORIDA
Comm# GG335109
Expires 7/8/2023

My commission expires: 07/08/2023

EXHIBITS

A – Statement of Marla Martin, a victim of the Goodman Cruise Industry Racket

B – Criminal Complaint filed against Isicoff by another victim.

Criminal Complaint Against Magistrate Judge Jonathan Goodman
Southern District Court of Florida
Case No: 15- CV- 15-21124
Complainant: Marla Martins

SUMMARY

1. Martins' 17 year old daughter, Briana Martins, a pre-med student, died while on a holiday cruise with her family.
2. Martins filed a wrongful death lawsuit against the cruise ship.
3. The matter was assigned to magistrate judge Jonathan Goodman who presided without consent by Martins.
4. Goodman is involved in illegal and criminal activities whereby he uses the United States courts to perpetrate a secretive, hidden scheme of "pay-backs" and illegal financial gain within the cruise industry as follows:
   a. In criminal violation of 18 USC § 208 Goodman owns huge interests in the cruise industry that he buries in a multitude of financial funds whose top investments are cruise industry stocks. These mutual funds include the stocks of the cruise company sued by Martins.
   b. These funds include Vanguard Group; T. Rowe Price; and Blackrock.
   c. Goodman thus controls the outcome of litigation against cruise industry companies wherein he has major financial holdings for his own personal financial gain.
   d. Goodman also holds illegal financial investments in investment funds owned by his prior law firm employer wherein he financially benefits as follows:
      i. Goodman promotes the prior firm, thus also its stock by participating in fund raising events, conferences, and other public events;
      ii. Goodman's prior law firm employer is also a huge investor in the cruise industry, thus Goodman also financially profits from his holding in that fund by his rulings in cruise industry cases which he perfunctory dismisses, forces settlement or otherwise illegally controls.
5. Goodman mischaracterized this wrongful death action as a "medical malpractice" matter to minimize the liability.
6. Goodman attempted to force a "secret settlement" on Martins.
7. In collusion with Goodman, Martins attorney pressured Martins to accept the settlement whereby Goodman interfered with Martins relation with her counsel and caused conflict.
8. When Martins refused to accept the forced settlement, Goodman criminally retaliated against her, using a myriad of insidious tactics:
   a. Goodman blackballed Martins from the legal system, intentionally making it impossible for her to obtain counsel;
   b. Goodman thereby forced her to become sui juris and represent herself;
   c. Goodman dismissed the action with prejudice;
   d. Goodman then publically ridiculed and defamed Martins for being sui juris; viciously attacking her in the media (reference should be made to the newspaper articles enclosed);
   e. Goodman illegally ordered Martins to pay financial sanctions.

The attached pleading by Martins' sui juris describes this matter in further detail.

Respectfully submitted,

_s/ Marla Martins
Marla Martins
7687 Forestay Dr.
Lake Worth, Florida 33467
Marlamartins88@yahoo.com
908.721.1088

Enclosures:
Pleading filed by Martins
Goodman's 2017 Financial Disclosure Statement
Top Stock Holding in Royal Caribbean by Financial Funds owned by Goodman
Link to Media Coverage of Goodman's defamatory; public ridicule and malignment of Martins
https://www.law.com/dailybusinessreview/2019/06/12/florida-judge-sanctions-heedless-pro-se-plaintiff-whose-daughter-died-on-cruise-ship/

https://www.newsweek.com/cruise-ship-death-lawsuit-royal-caribbean-1443853

Woman Who Called Cruise Ship Company 'Killer' After Her Daughter Died Aboard, Refused $500k Settlement Punished for Abusing Court

A woman who legally represented herself after declining a $500,000 settlement from a cruise company after the death of her daughter aboard a ship has been sanctioned by a judge. Marla Martins, who ...

www.newsweek.com

Name of Person Reporting	Date of Report
Goodman, Jonathan	07/18/2018

VII. INVESTMENTS and TRUSTS – *income, value, transactions (Includes those of spouse and dependent children; see pp. 14-60 of filing instructions.)*

☐ NONE *(No reportable income, assets, or transactions.)*

A Description of Assets (including trust assets) Place "(X)" after each asset exempt from prior disclosure	B Income during reporting period		C Gross value at end of reporting period		D Transactions during reporting period				
	(1) Amount Code 1 (A-H)	(2) Type (e.g., div., rent, or int.)	(1) Value Code 2 (J-P)	(2) Value Method Code 3 (Q-W)	(1) Type (e.g., buy, sell, redemption)	(2) Date (mm/dd/yy)	(3) Value Code 2 (J-P)	(4) Gain Code 1 (A-H)	(5) Identity of buyer/seller (if private transaction)
1. Bank of America (various accounts)	A	Interest	K	T					
2. Rental Property #1, Lauderhill, FL	D	Rent	L	W					
3. IRA#1 (H)									
4. BankUnited (cash account)	A	Interest	J	T					
5. IRA #2 (H)									
6. T. Rowe Price, Health Sciences (PRHSX)	B	Dividend	K	T					
7. T. Rowe Price New Am. Growth (PRWAX)	E	Dividend	M	T					
8. T. Rowe Price New Horizons (PRNHX)	E	Dividend	M	T					
9. T. Rowe Price Science & Techn. (PRSCX)	D	Dividend	L	T					
10. IRA #3 (H)									
11. Neuberger/Berman Large Cap Value Investor (NPRTX)	B	Dividend	K	T					
12. IRA #4 (H)									
13. Neuberger/Berman Large Cap Value Investor (NPRTX)	B	Dividend	K	T					
14. IRA #5 (H)									
15. Equivest Com Stock Index		None	J	T					
16. Equivest Equity 500 Index		None	J	T					
17. Equivest Multimanager Aggr. Equity		None	J	T					

1. Income Gain Codes (See Columns B1 and D4)	A - $1,000 or less F - $60,001 - $100,000 J - $15,000 or less N - $250,001 - $500,000 P3 - $5,000,001 - $25,000,000	B - $1,001 - $2,500 G - $100,001 - $1,000,000 K - $15,001 - $50,000 O - $500,001 - $1,000,000	C - $2,501 - $5,000 H1 - $1,000,001 - $5,000,000 L - $50,001 - $100,000 P4 - $1,000,001 - $5,000,000 P4 - More than $50,000,001	D - $5,001 - $15,000 H2 - More than $5,000,000 M - $100,001 - $250,000 P2 - $5,000,001 - $25,000,000	E - $15,001 - $50,000
2. Value Codes (See Columns C1 and D3)					
3. Value Method Codes (See Column C2)	Q - Appraisal U - Book Value	R - Cost (Real Estate Only) V - Other	S - Assessment W - Estimated	T - Cash Market	

RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / VANGUARD GROUP INC Passive Investment

Security	RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. (V7780T103)
Form Type	SC 13G/A
File Date	2018-02-08
Related Documents	2019-02-12 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / VANGUARD GROUP INC Passive Investment
	2019-02-12 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / VANGUARD GROUP INC Passive Investment
	2019-02-11 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / BlackRock Inc. Passive Investment
	2019-02-11 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / BlackRock Inc. Passive Investment
	2019-02-06 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / BlackRock Inc. Passive Investment

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Schedule 13G

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.: 3)*

Name of issuer: Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd

Title of Class of Securities: Common Stock

CUSIP Number: V7780T103

Date of Event Which Requires Filing of this Statement: **December 31, 2017**

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed.
(X) Rule 13d-1(b)
( ) Rule 13d-1(c)
( ) Rule 13d-1(d)

*The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter the disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required in the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

(Continued on the following page(s))

Add to Watch List | Set Alert

Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd (NYSE:RCL)

107.96 Delayed Data As of 4:10pm ET

+0.39 / +0.36% Today's Change

89.48 | TODAY | 135.64 — 52-Week Range

+10.40% Year-to-Date

Quote | Profile | News | Charts | Forecasts | Financials | Shareholders | Competitors

Insider trading | **Institutional ownership**

Institutional investors purchased a net $697.5 thousand shares of RCL during the quarter ended June 2019. This may signal that the smart money is gaining interest in this company as the 75.93% of shares outstanding that institutional investors hold is actually below the Hotels/Resorts/Cruiselines industry average.

Mutual fund holders	44.33%
Other institutional	31.61%
Individual stakeholders	31.53%

Largest Quarterly Institutional Transactions

How to read this chart



Latest Institutional Activity

9/30/2019 **GRASSI INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT LLC** Bought 89.2 Thousand shares of Royal Caribbean Cruises L...

9/30/2019 **ALASKA RETIREMENT MANAGEMENT BOA...** Bought 34.0 Thousand shares of Royal Caribbean Cruises L...

6/30/2019 **NORDEA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AB** Bought 30.2 Thousand shares of Royal Caribbean Cruises L...

Top 10 Owners of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd

Stockholder	Stake	Shares owned	Total value ($)	Shares bought / sold	Total change
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	8.56%	17,927,360	1,942,070,909	+205,290	+1.16%
PRIMECAP Management Co.	4.57%	9,573,911	1,037,141,779	-27,072	-0.28%
BlackRock Fund Advisors	3.89%	8,148,888	882,769,037	+275,268	+3.50%
SSgA Funds Management, Inc.	3.61%	7,565,377	819,557,290	+181,580	+2.46%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (I...	2.32%	4,850,642	525,470,048	-440,510	-8.33%
Fidelity Management & Research Co...	2.24%	4,702,188	509,388,026	+395,169	+9.20%
Baillie Gifford & Co.	2.21%	4,636,301	502,250,487	-20,345	-0.44%

All

ChartMill

Accelerating growth: $ACB is expecting stronger growth in the upcoming 2 years than in the passed 5 years.
https://www.chartmill.com/stock/quote/analysis?key=180f22ec-1ec9-4c43-93cf-75531f58d346&utm_source=stocktwi

- Reply

CONFIDENTIAL

August 14th, 2019

James Gerstenlauer-Circuit Executive

U.S. Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit

56 Forsyth St. N.W.

Atlanta, Ga. 30303

Re: USDC Defendant Laurel Isicoff-Additional Updated Comments

Please note attached Federal court cited complaints, clerk issued summons and filings against the referenced opposing Defendant party.

Based on newly discovered information regarding massive banking real-estate public corruption by Isicioff and her convicted Federal felon co-Defendants, these graft, fraud and racketeering issues have been again referred to the USDOJ as governed under Federal criminal and civil RICO statutory mandates.

Despite Isicioff and her convicted felon co-Defendants continued cover-up efforts to obstruct justice, these whistleblower causes of action remain open and have not been fully adjudicated. As such any reappoint of Defendant Isicoff is not in the public interest or best welfare of the United States of America.

Kindly note that under my whistleblower protections I request that my physical address not be required and/or disclosed. I request any follow up to this correspondence be provided to the USCA authorized email address listed herein.

Sincerely,

s/John Westley
305-731-5500
FalseClaimsRelators@Outlook.com

DECLARATION OF LOOTING; HUMAN TRAFFICKING AND GENOCIDE AGAINST MY FAMILY MEMBER UNDER THE CHARADE OF "GUARDIANSHIP"

I ROBERT SARHAN, MD hereby state the following under penalties of perjury to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

1. My family member is/ was terrorized; human trafficked; forcibly disappeared.

2. My family member was the victim of genocide.

3. My family member's assets are/have been pillaged and looted.

4. I am being terrorized; persecuted, subjected to hate crimes; atrocities and crimes against humanity.

5. My assets are also being pillaged and looted.

I. MY FAMILY MEMBER AND MY RELATIONSHIP TO FAMILY MEMBER

I am an interested party in the guardianship of my Family Member: My mother Yvonne Sarhan was forced into Guardianship. My mother was murdered with the medication Seroquel immediately after our entire estate was stolen. My mother was murdered in her home with an overdose of Seroquel which caused Sudden Cardiac Death. My mother was isolated from her family and not allowed to see her grandchild.

BACKGROUND

Prior to the Guardianship, my mother was very happy and doing very well. I was taking her to church every Sunday, so she could interact with her friends, after church. At the time my mother was put into Guardianship illegally, she was fully Competent. The Court appointed Psychiatrist and a Board-Certified Neurologist both ruled her Competent. My mother had mild dementia or beginning stages of dementia in which I had her on medication to decrease the progression of dementia, Aricept, Memantine Hydrochloride and Vitamin E. I moved in with my mother to care for her, she was very Healthy, never sick a day in her life and was on No Medications. No Heart, Pulmonary or other medical problems, perfect health. My mother was alert and oriented X 3, to person, place and time. She was living in her own home. Financially we were well off, had 5 million dollars in assets.

The documented abuse prior to the Guardianship was her son Tony was stealing her money, 10,000 dollars per month. He initiated the Guardianship, even though our mother was Competent, she did not want him living with her. She called me and told me your brother is stealing all my money, I want you to come and care for me. I did what my mother asked of me and cared for her.

Even though my mother was fully Competent, she was forced into a Guardianship against her will and our entire estate was stolen. After our entire estate was stolen, they did a reverse mortgage on our home and sold our 1.2 million-dollar home for 750,000. Then stole all of this money through Guardian and Legal fees.

I reported this to the chief judge, local police department, the FBI, to Congressman Mario Diaz Balart, Congressman Lincoln Diaz Balart, Senator Marco Rubio, Governor and now Senator Rick Scott, Governor Ron Desantis, Hillary Clinton, Michelle Obama and many others.

I wrote many letters to President Obama, President Trump and many others.

What happened after I reported these crimes nothing, they allowed my mother to be murdered.

GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PARTIES

Date my mother was forced in a Guardianship was August 2003. The medical evaluation showed my mother was fully Competent. The attorney for my mother was Enrique Zamora, however, he could not legally be my mothers attorney because he was represented my mothers court appointed Guardians Barbara Reiser in 5 other cases at the same time representing my mother, a violation of due process and Constitutional Rights.

PSYCHOTROPIC CHEMICAL ABUSE/ WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION

My mother was forced to take Seroquel 200 mg twice daily that caused her to get atrial fibrillation. Then after our entire estate was stolen they put her on 2000 mg of Seroquel to end her life, Sudden Cardiac Death. The black box warning on Seroquel it is contraindicated in elderly people with Dementia but continued to give it to her, to intentionally kill my mother.

The Case Number is 03-3440 in the Miami Dade County Court and the criminals involved in this case that murdered my mother were all of the following:

Judge Celeste Hardee Muir
Cheryl Silverman
David Mangeiro,
Enrique Zamora
Barbara Resier, Guardian
Tony Sarhan
Brian Silverio
Vicki Brail Guardian
Joseph Djamoos

This Declaration is based on my personal knowledge, court documentation, letters and emails.

Signed by Robert S MD **Print Name:** Robert SARHAN, MD **Date:** 9/8/20

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT GETTINGER

I, Robert A. Gettinger ("Affiant"), being duly sworn, state as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. Affiant is the son of Gertrude Gettinger.
2. Affiant himself filed for the Conservatorship of his mother because she was being physically abused and financially extorted by her daughter and son in law, Sylvia and Gary A. Schmidt.
3. Among many crimes of abuse, Mrs. Gettinger was being starved, deprived of food and locked up in her own home by Affiant's sister.
4. Affiant's sister filed for the Conservatorship in 2006, falsely stating:
    a. Affiant was not living in the US;
    b. She had no contact info for Affiant.
5. In addition, Affiant's sister and her attorney lied and stated they hired a Private investigator to look for Affiant but could not find Affiant.
6. Affiant learned the Private Investigator was not licensed and was an attorney who shared the office with the lawyer for Affiant's sister.
7. Affiant was in fact in contact with his sister and she had Affiant's address and telephone number.
8. Affiant was forced to file conservatorship after obtaining no relief from any law enforcement agency who criminally ignored their duty to protect Gertrude Gettinger; threatened Affiant when he filed complaints, treating the matter as if the atrocities being perpetrated against his mother as "civil matters."
9. Instead of being protected, Gertrude Gettinger was criminalized, her rights stripped, and subjected to unthinkable atrocities.
10. After being forced into guardianship, Mrs. Gettinger was forcing into a nursing home against her will.
11. Once in the nursing home she continued to be abused by Affiant's sister who deprived her of food and medical attention and caused her to be ruthlessly drugged with toxic illegal psychotropic drugs to incite litigation to embezzle her life savings.
12. Gertrude Gettinger was **MURDERED** after being stripped all of her possessions and life savings.
13. Gertrude Gettinger was perfectly competent when she was seized under the guise of conservatorship.

14. There were no tests done on Gertrude Gettinger to ascertain her "capacity."
15. Moreover, California Code Sections 5350-5371 requires a physical examination as a prerequisite for establishing a "conservatorship."
16. The court authorized Affiant to choose from three Court doctors to have Affiant's mother tested for Capacity.
17. Mrs. Gettinger passed all test but was under heavy drugs during her testing.
18. The doctor for the court falsely stated she did not have capacity even after Mrs. Gettinger passed the capacity tests.
19. Moreover, no brain scan, CAT scan, diagnostics tests whatsoever were produced.
20. In addition, there was no valid physical examination in violation of California law.
21. Further, the doctor involved had less than the mandatory 2 years medical experience as required under California law.
22. **Thus the "conservatorship" of Gertrude Gettinger was void, illegal and lawless at the onset.**

II. PHYSICAL ATROCITIES AGAINST GERTRUDE GETTINGER

23. Physical and forcible possession of Gertrude Gettinger against her will was taken in December, 2006 by person Sylvia Schmidt under color of law abuse without Gertrude's Affiant's knowledge or consent.
24. At the onset, Sylvia Schmidt there was a 2005 LAPD Police report that named Sylvia and Gary A. Schmidt as suspects who burglarized Gertrude's home. The same report stated Sylvia and husband were trying to get Gertrude to sign a power of attorney.
25. Sylvia Schmidt and their attorney Lawyer Christopher Overgaard seized and took control of all of Gertrude Gettinger's asset and property without her knowledge and consent.
26. Conservator agreed on the record during her deposition as to not continue with her depo that Affiant would be made the Conservator of the person. Then after Affiant stopped all legal actions as agreed backed out of the agreement and further caused more legal fees.
27. The illegal "fraudulent petition" was ordered by color of law judge Coleman Swart without notifying family and on advice by Lawyer Christopher Overgaard that he had hired a PI who could not locate Affiant.
28. Evidence showed Overgaard never hired a PI and perjured his petition only having spoken to another lawyer who falsely claimed he was a PI who could not find Affiant.

29. In addition, the illegal "fraudulent petition" was the product of fraud in the inducement as none of the parties performed any of the actions they represented and acknowledged they would perform.
30. The illegal "fraudulent petition" was only an extortive tactic used to bind Affiant.
31. Gertrude Gettinger was not a party to the petition nor did she have knowledge of the petition.
32. Affiant's mother was NEVER served the petition by Lawyer Christopher Overgaard.
33. Lawyer Christopher Overgaard admitted this himself in his petition.
34. The petition and all subsequent illegal void orders stripped Gertrude Gettinger of all of her constitutional and civil rights in violation of the Constitution of the United States
35. Immediately after being stripped of her rights and forced into conservatorship using a falsified "opinion by a doctor with less than the required 2 years of dementia experience" of incapacity, Affiant's mother was forcibly disappeared from the world and her son, Affiant.
36. Affiant was falsely accused in their petition of outrageous, fabricated "misdeeds" and at a color of law hearing where Affiant was slandered and defamed and no evidence of the fabricated accusations of "misdeeds" were presented, an illegal lawyers letter to the locked nursing home was issued against Affiant prohibiting him from seeing his mother unless he and paid extorted fees to the conservatorships and their attorneys, the very predators who orchestrated fabricated perjured accusations against Affiant and who were benefiting from these criminal acts.
37. Gertrude Gettinger was deprived freedom, Conservatorship rights and medical attention and relentless drugged to render her incoherent.
38. A Social worker when Gertrude was release from a 5150 hold and examination in 2006 informed Sylvia Schmidt and in her notes that Gertrude was perfectly OK to live in a normal, unlocked senior home. Under color of law abuse, the probate court or probate investigators never investigated or researched **ANYTHING.**
39. Affiant's mother was emergency hospitalized due to pain and dangerous episodes over 4 times where the Conservator failed to respond in a timely fashion when informed instead told staff to wait till the next doctor's appointment which was days or weeks away.

III. DENIAL OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS; CRIMES OF HARASSMENT; EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AND SENSORY DEPRIVATION

40. Gertrude Gettinger was denied her Constitutional right of association with her son, Affiant.
41. Gertrude Gettinger was denied her Constitutional right to counsel.
42. Gertrude Gettinger was denied her Constitutional right of access to the courts.
43. Gertrude Gettinger was forcibly removed from the outside world and placed in isolation in a vile locked nursing home against her will.
44. This is done in order that crimes, abuse and financial extortion by the Conservatorship Predators can be done in secret.
45. The nursing allows Sylvia to bring opened medications which can be tampered with and given to the nurses for distribution.
46. When Affiant reported this to corrupt judge Candace J. Beason, she did nothing.
47. Doctor's were lied to about incidents and actions by the Conservator which she never witnessed nor did they happen in order to increase the dosage of dangerous Psychotropic medications.
48. This was not done and when corrupt judge Candace J. Beason was so informed, she did nothing.
49. ***The Conservatorship Predators took an 82 year old woman into "custody".***
50. Gertrude Gettinger did not even know why her son did not visit
51. Gertrude Gettinger, a person protected under AADA had not been in possession or control of her assets or personal property nor consulted or allowed any input whatsoever concerning the use or disposition of her assets. Instead, her assets have been dissipated by people who are controlling her against her will and endangering her.
52. Gertrude Gettinger was forcibly removed from her home and forcible ***kept in a locked down facility virtually under house arrest because of the willful actions of the Conservatorship Predators.***
53. Gertrude Gettinger was not provided rehabilitation activities.
54. To the contrary, she is drugged up with toxic illegal drugs, her speech is slurred, and her obvious overmedication is for the benefit of the conservatorships and their aides to render Gertrude Gettinger incoherent so they can ignore her and warehouse her for death.

55. Gertrude Gettinger was mentally abused by the conservator who would unannounced and make changes in Gertrude's private room leaving odd items while removing others.

IV. FINANCIAL CRIMES AGAINST GERTRUDE GETTINGER

56. Ms. Gettinger was forcibly removed from her home and it was sold from under her.
57. The proceeds were stolen by the Conservatorship Predators.
58. The Conservatorship Predators assigned Ms. Gettinger's financial accounts and IRS accounts to themselves and stole them.
59. The Conservatorship Predators seized and stole all of Mrs. Gettinger's personal possessions and family heirlooms.
60. The Conservatorship Predators fight Affiant's exposure of their crimes by stealing the assets of Gertrude Gettinger to pay their fabricated, fraudulent, self created "fees."
61. The Conservatorship Predators submitted fraudulent "invoices" for work they never completed or products they claim they purchased.
62. The Conservator delays the disbursement of the estate to continue to invest the estate funds to skim profit off the top and then report losses to deplete the estate.
63. The Conservator against the advice of a paid financial advisor allowed her husband to invest the entire estate's assets which was against the advice of the advisor.
64. The Conservator failed to ever put one dime in a blocked account as per the Conservatorship rules and codes.
65. The Conservators routinely made up false accounts about the mental health of Gertrude Gettinger stating she refused to take all her medication and was running thru the halls naked at all hours of the night screaming.
66. The Conservatorship Predators filed a fraudulent police report against Affiant and destroyed Affiants computers and left his home damaged and destroyed.

V. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

67. Corrupt judge Candace J. Beason and many of the other corrupt color of law judges who have asserted administration over this matter are moved from the other court divisions and have not an iota of the laws that protect vulnerable adults.
68. Instead, they have used their tactics they employ against those accused of crimes to criminalize Gertrude Gettinger and Affiant.

69. Corrupt judge Candace J. Beason from the first day was biased against Robert via fraudulent accusations by the conservator without any proof that Robert was abusing his mother.
70. Corrupt judge Candace J. Beason and X Pasadena Bar president Philip Barbaro have a history of meeting at Judge dinners where they danced and associated outside the court.
71. Corrupt judge Candace J. Beason ignored documented evidence that fraudulent petitions were submitted to the court.
72. Corrupt judge Candace J. Beason refused to allow Gertrude or her son to discover what happened to $200,000.00 in bank accounts never reported by the conservator to the court which the conservator blamed were missing due to Robert.
73. The "court orders" of corrupt judge Candace J. Beason and the other color of law judges are illegal, fraudulent and void.
74. The probate court has done nothing about illegal and unlawful "stay away" orders against Affiant to retaliate against him.
75. Further, these illegal "stay away" orders also constitute retaliation, extortion and abuse against Gertrude Gettinger as they unlawfully isolate her in criminal violation of state and federal laws.

VI. RETALIATION AGAINST ROBERT GETTINGER

76. Instead of being provided remedy, Affiant and his mother were even more viciously abused and subjected to needless court hearings and filings to incure huge legal fees.
77. Affiant's **mother was murdered** by the Murder for Profit Conservatorship Regime by depraved indifference to her life and relentless deadly chemical restraints.
78. Affiant is now bankrupted, having been forced to spend upwards of $200k in legal fees to file petitions in the multiple rackets in which is embroiled and his inability to obtain justice because his mother's assets have been embezzled.
79. Affiant had a writ filed against him by the Conservator for her legal fees.
80. The Conservatorship Predator stole the assets of Affiant's mother to fund this criminal scheme to defraud Affiant, her son.

81. When Affiant filed documents reporting these crimes the presiding judge Aviva K. Bobb issued a fraudulent police order against Affiant falsely and illegally causing his arrest and **and placing him in jail for 3 days** and illegally prohibiting him from filing criminal complaints against the perpetrator of these crimes.

Under penalties of perjury, I affirm the above statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief

Robert A. Gettinger Affiant

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

COUNTY OF KERN)

Sworn to and subscribed before me this ____ day of February, 2021 by Robert Gettinger personally known to me or presented the following identification _____.

NOTARY PUBLIC

(Print or type name of notary public and stamp)

My commission expires: _____

Please see attached California All-Purpose Acknowledgment
Att.
2-19-2021

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE
CERTIFICATE OF ACKNOWLEDGMENT
(CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 1189)

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF Los Angeles)

On February 19, 2021 before me, Sherry Herndon, Notary Public
(Date) (Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer)

personally appeared Robert A Gettinger,
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

SHERRY HERNDON
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
Commission # 2342656
My Comm. Expires Jan 20, 2025

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Sherry Herndon
Signature of Notary Public

(Notary Seal)

ADDITIONAL OPTIONAL INFORMATION

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: _____ Document Date: _____

Number of Pages: _____ Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: _____

Additional Information: _____

AFFIDAVIT of Maria C. Romero

STATE OF _____Virginia_____}
}
COUNTY OF _____Fairfax_____ }

The undersigned, ___Maria Carmen Romero_____, being duly sworn, hereby deposes and says:

1. I, Maria C. Romero work as Senior Aerospace Project Engineer for the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) and report to US Congress.
2. I, Maria C. Romero, have over 22 years of experience with international law, international business law, aerospace engineering, and establishing foreign accounts and contracts overseas.
3. I, Maria C. Romero am a permanent resident of the State of Virginia.
4. I, Maria C. Romero witnessed my mother, Maria Gloria Romero being ambushed at the hospital while she was recovering from a surgery and was evaluated without her input.
5. I, Maria C. Romero personally witnessed my mother, Maria Gloria Romero renounce her US citizenship back on December, 2007 in Spain.
6. I, Maria C. Romero personally witnessed my mother, Maria Gloria Romero being placed under a forced guardianship which the court did not have subject matter jurisdiction and cannot confer subject matter jurisdiction over a foreign citizen.
7. I, Maria C. Romero personal witnessed how the guardian, Danica Scuderi self diagnosed Maria Gloria Romero who does not have the required medical training from a Medical School to self-diagnose and prescribe medication to Maria Gloria Romero without having the required training or coursework in pharmacology or a medical degree from an accredited medical school.
8. I, Maria C. Romero personally witnessed Judge Lisa Davidson issuing court orders that violate the fundamental, inalienable rights of the Family Member and relatives under the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, ADA laws, the Olmstead Act and state law.

9. I, Maria C. Romero personally witnessed the collusion of the attorneys Amanda Gibson Smith, Victor Kostro, Michelle Spira and Tiffany Mary Decossaux with the guardian, Danica Scuderi to commit perjury under Oath to try and embezzle/extort money internationally without having the subject matter jurisdiction or personal jurisdiction over a foreign citizen.
10. I, Maria C. Romero personally witnessed Judge Lisa Davidson ignore the Health Care Surrogate and Durable Power of Attorney (DPOA) signed back on June 16, 2016 by Maria Gloria Romero giving her daughter, Maria C. Romero the authority to be the pre-need guardian in the event of Maria Gloria Romero's incapacity.
11. I, Maria C. Romero personally witnessed how Chinese government officials were targeting her for US secrets regarding missile and satellite designs used in launching them in outer space and offering up millions of dollars worth of compensation for this type of information which is a direct consequence of public records being able to be accessed by Chinese foreign government officials to penetrate and cause a threat to National Security.
12. I, Maria C. Romero have personal knowledge of how the penetration of US government officials working in the classified space such as Maria C. Romero is currently working provides new opportunities for terrorists and other nefarious actors to conduct scalable, coordinated attacks remotely, involving less personnel and decreasing the risk to attackers.
13. I, Maria C. Romero has concrete evidence regarding personal relationships between Judge Lisa Davidson and the attorneys Victor Kostro, Tiffany Mary Decossaux and Amanda Gibson Smith to collude to violate as many US Constitutional, Federal and Statutory laws without any sanctions or liability.
14. I, Maria C. Romero has personally witnesses when Judge Lisa Davidson has ex-parte communications in the hallway in order to have the attorneys Ruth Rhodes, Amanda Gibson Smith, and Victor Kostro to agree upon how the rest of the case would go on June, 2018.
15. I, Maria C. Romero testified during the February 8th, 2021 hearing directly to Judge Lisa Davidson, Tiffany M. Decossaux, and Victor Kostro how this court

did not have subject matter, in rem, or personal jurisdiction in this case at least 4 times during the entire court proceeding.

16. I, Maria C. Romero testified during the February 8th, 2021 hearing stating how the fraudulent bank document provided by Danica Scuderi was a counterfeit and how no attorney in Spain would be allowed by Spanish law, Article 8 of Law 29 of 1987 to obtain a private person's bank account information from Banco Santander.
17. I, Maria C. Romero testified during the February 8th, 2021 hearing how everything that was stated by Danica Scuderi was fraudulent given my direct professional and personal banking experiences with Banco Santander in Spain over a multitude of years.
18. I, Maria C. Romero testified on the February 8th, 2021 hearing stating how Judge Lisa Davidson was enabling the attorneys, Tiffany M. Decossaux, Victor Kostro, and Danica Scuderi to operate under a void order and how this constituted treason against the US Constitution.
19. I, Maria C. Romero testified on the February 8th, 2021 hearing stating how Judge Lisa Davidson has violated her Oath to the US Constitution by depriving Maria C. Romero due process rights as well as ignoring subject matter, in rem, and personal jurisdiction which cannot be waived.
20. I, Maria C. Romero witnessed personally how neither Judge Lisa Davidson or the attorneys, Tiffany M. Decossaux, and Victor Kostro never objected, presented, or established subject matter, in rem, or personal jurisdiction.
21. I, Maria C. Romero testified during the February 8th, 2021 hearing how Judge Lisa Davidson has no personal jurisdiction over me, Maria C. Romero since she is a permanent resident of Northern Virginia and maintains her usual place of business in Northern Virginia.
22. I, Maria C. Romero witnessed personally how Judge Lisa Davidson and attorneys, Tiffany M. Decossaux and Victor Kostro never addressed any of Maria C. Romero's objections throughout the entire court proceeding.
23. I, Maria C. Romero witnessed personally how Judge Lisa Davidson referred to Tiffany M. Decossaux as Ms. Walters (Tiffany's former name in 2016) throughout the entire court proceeding constituting fraud upon the court.

24. I, Maria C. Romero witnessed Danica Scuderi lying under Oath when she proclaimed that Pedro, an attorney who works for Gray Robinson in Melbourne, Florida obtained the bank document while working with an attorney in Spain.
25. I, Maria C. Romero attest to receiving a call back on June 4th, 2020 when my godfather in Spain, Francisco Sanchez Alvarez who spoke directly to me stating how a man identifying himself as Pedro Juan Chavarriaga was declaring Maria C. Romero dead and that he needed to know everything that Maria C. Romero and Maria G. Romero owned in Spain.
26. I, Maria C, Romero witnessed personally Danica Scuderi lying under Oath when she stated how she had forgotten the name of the attorney in Spain that Pedro was working but had already received an invoice from the attorney that was never presented during the entire court proceeding.
27. I, Maria C. Romero witnessed Judge Lisa Davidson state directly to Danica Scuderi that if the attorney in Spain wants to be paid, to go ahead and pay them which is a felony in Spain and no attorney in Spain would do this without the original estate planning documents with original signatures.
28. I, Maria C. Romero has personal knowledge of how attorneys such as Erik Schuman, Amanda Gibson Smith, Tiffany Mary Decossaux filed for attorney fees without proper serving of documents and without allowing Maria C. Romero's attorney to evaluate and assess these fees prior to petitioning the Court to obtain immediate approval from Judge Lisa Davidson which includes the filing of a counterfeit foreign bank statement.
29. I, Maria C. Romero was present during the February 8th hearing where Judge Lisa Davidson criminally obstructed justice by accepting a counterfeit foreign bank statement without verification or validity of the banking statement as well as in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. 482 & 483.
30. I, Maria C. Romero has been verbally harassed and threatened with bodily harm by the attorney, Pedro Juan Chavarriaga who is from Medellin, Columbia and has FARC connections and an enemy of the US government and poses a great danger and threat to National Security.

31. I, Maria C. Romero has been prohibited to see her Mother, Maria Gloria Romero, who is a foreign citizen being locked up in a lockdown facility without authorization from the Spanish government and placed in isolation and given drugs without any authorization from her doctor in Spain.

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information contained herein is true, correct, and complete.

Maria C. Romero

Executed this 10th day of March ,2021.

NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF Virginia, COUNTY OF Prince William, VA.

Notary Public

SMSR / Notary Public
Title (and Rank)

September 30, 2022
My commission expires on:

ROWENA PASAMONTE
NOTARY PUBLIC
REGISTRATION # 7024148
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
SEPTEMBER 30, 2022

(Seal)

AFFIDAVIT

State of California
County of Los Angeles

The undersigned, ERNEST L Moore, do hereby swear, certify, and affirm that:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of the State of California. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein, and, if called as a witness could testify completely thereto.

2. I suffer no legal disabilities and have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below.

3. The Los Angeles Superior Court Probate Dept. 11 has allowed multiple criminal violations against me in my probate cases and the conservatorship of my mother Myrtle Moore. Case #s: BP141987 & BP084530

4. Judge Barbara Johnson has approved a fraudulent accounting and denied me the due process of law by her refusal of an evidentiary trial of the accounting.

5. Judge Barbara Johnson has allowed the distribution of two commercial properties that should have been distributed to me and are the subject of pending trials.

6. Judge Barbara Johnson has tolerated multiple perjuries by the opposing attorneys in my case Daniel Herbert and Nathan Talei.

7. Judge Barbara Johnson has obstructed justice by her perjuries and protecting the court-appointed trustee Jeffery Siegel's actions to aid the former co-trustee Jean Robinson in her embezzlement of millions of dollars from my mother's trust.

8. Los Angeles County Sheriff's deputies have refused my citizen's arrest of perpetrators in my case and have refused to take crime reports or investigate my criminal reports.

9. Judge Barbara Johnson has consistently retaliated against me for issuing complaints against her to the Presiding Probate Judge.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 10th day of July 2020.

Ernest L. Moore

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE 8th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR ALACHUA COUNTY, FLORIDA

AFFIDAVIT

I, Teresa A. Lyles, after being duly sworn deposed and states to the best of my own personal knowledge and belief hereby state the following:

1. I am an interested party in the matter of the Guardianship of Carmen Tozzo, (hereafter referred to as "My Family Member") who is my mother and who currently resides in Ocala, Florida, and is currently being held at Memory Lane (a lock-down facility).

2. My Family Member, was placed into a court ordered guardianship on the date 6th day of June 2011 (1st emergency temporary – Bonnie DiVito), 1st day of November, 2011 (1st plenary – Marilyn 'Lynn' Belo), 16th day of August, 2013 (2nd plenary - Carol J. Preiss), and (Andrea Wolfkill, 2nd emergency temporary and 3rd plenary) in April 2014 in the City of Gainesville Probate Court by Judge Victor Hulslander (1st temporary), Judge Stan Griffis (1st plenary), Judge Mary Day Coker (2nd temporary and 2nd and 3rd plenary). [Preiss and Wolfkill were appointed though they did not have the **proper guardianship credentialing** at the time of appointment.]

* My Family Member's home was paid for, she had great care in her home, and sufficient assets to continue care as such. She did NOT need a guardian, but was the target of an attack on her assets.

3. **PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE GUARDIANSHIP:**

a. The Guardian(s) appointed were: Bonnie Divito (1st temporary); Marilyn 'Lynn' Belo (1st plenary); Carol J. Preiss (2nd plenary), and Andrea Wolfkill (2nd temporary and 3rd plenary).

b. The attorney(s) for the Guardian(s) was/were Monica Brasington (DiVito), Judith Paul (Belo), Virginia Griffis (Belo, Preiss, and Wolfkill).

c. My Attorney(s): Joshua Silverman (first and current); Nancy Baldwin (my second attorney), Zena Dupee (my third attorney).

d. Judge(s) were: Judge Victor Hulslander, Judge Stan Griffis, Judge Mary Day Coker

e. Others involved in the case were. John Ramsey, attorney appointed to my mom (not present and not notified of competency hearing); Carmen Julian and Elena Clark (sisters) – retained M. Brasington to file for mental competency hearing.

4. **PHYSICAL, EMOTIONAL AND PSYCHOTROPIC CHEMICAL ABUSE:**

a. My Family Member suffered the following physical abuses:

* trauma/bruising to face/head, cuts/abrasions to right hand/left hand;

* bruising on left arm (above elbow)/left knee/right knee;

* un-bathed for long periods of time, left unkempt and in dirty diapers (dirty/stained clothes);

* left with UTI (infection/fever);

* dehydration (multiple times);

* more than a dozen falls in two facilities;

* refusing to provide proper medical attention after falls/head injury;

* multiple visits to Emergency Room with overnight hospital stays (North Florida Regional Medical Center, Gainesville, FL, and West Marion Community Hospital, Ocala, FL);

* withholding/discarding dentures and prescription glasses.

[I contacted DCF and Ombudsman on first facility, but My Family Member continued to experience injuries and visits to the ER – all 3 guardians FAILED to investigate/be proactive about continuous injuries and lied to me, and had the staff provide inconsistent information to me, about cause(s) of My Family Member's injuries].

b. My Family Member suffered the following emotional abuses:

* isolation from family for long periods of time (children, grandchildren, niece, nephews, cousins);

* restriction from church/church members/pastor/prayer group members;

* verbal abuse by guardian (Belo) in front of paid caregivers and other family;

* locking bedroom doors/limiting access to room/bathroom at Harbor Chase;

* restriction from mom's normal diet (food/drink);

* verbal abuse/harassment toMy Family Member children/grandchildren in front of My Family Member; changing locks on home door (more isolation);

* changing/cutting off telephone; restriction of communication on any phone in either facilities;

* hiring an abusive caregiver while still in home;

* restricting My Family Member and other family with use of native language and threatening loss of visitation if not compliant;

* isolating My Family Member in hospital, refusing to allow others to see her in hospital; **restricting any touching/hugging/physical contact (church);**

* deception about moving My Family Member from her home ("we're going to a hotel while we paint your house") and moving My Family Member from first facility ("we're going to lunch");

* not allowed access to mail on a regular basis;

* not allowed access to finances/any of her money to spend;

* allowing abusive man (David D. Clark, Ms. Julian's boyfriend) to be in close proximity to My Family Member despite a 'no trespass' order on home/property.

c. The My Family Member suffered cruel and abusive isolation, overmedication and chemical restraints as follows:

* as of May 2012, My Family Member was on the following medications – Amlodipine, Atorvastatin, Citalopram, Metropolol, Lisinopril, Hydrochlorothiazide, multiple narcotics. My Family Member has only one kidney, with most of these drugs being potentially lethal were to her health. My Family Member has appeared to be heavily medicated, incoherent, and with slurred speech on numerous occasions since May 2012. [**NOTE:** I have been kept completely in the dark as to her medication regimen. My Family Member continues to be on two anti-depressants, and to my knowledge has NEVER been diagnosed with depression.];

* blocking the phone number of The Family Member's nephew and his family in Miami;

* disconnecting phone number and not providing new number to My Family Member's children/grandchildren;

* changing locks on My Family Member's home and hiding the key;

* removed My Family Member's bed and replacing it with a small and constrictive hospital bed where she suffered bruising to her arms and head on this bed) in her home;

* placing My Family Member in a 'lock down' mental section of first nursing home without EXPERT medical diagnosis of dementia (criteria for placement in the unit was dementia, mental illness, and/or flight risk);

* not allowing visits for holidays (Easter, Thanksgiving, Christmas and birthdays) with children and grandchildren; **PROHIBITING** My Family Member from attending her own church;

* isolation from prayer groups/spiritual leaders;

* changing My Family Members medical providers (primary care) of 13 years to someone handpicked (friend) of guardian.

5. FINANCIAL EXPLOITATION/ABUSE/LOSS:

a. My Family Member's estate suffered the following financial abuses/loss/dissipation:

* The estate was valued at approximately $250,000, including savings/checking/value of the house and husband's social security/law suit settlement with current value at less than $30,000;

* guardian did not have real estate license, but **sold home below market** value without the knowledge or consent of My Family Member (house not flipped to my knowledge);

* sale of the home without the consent of beneficiaries;

* frivolous motions/expenses filed by Belo to drain My Family Member's account;

* hiring a "private" sitter from June 2012 to November 2012 (employed by Belo) to sit in first facility after the My Family Member was removed from her home;

* demanding multiple emails weekly on visitation time/visitors when the schedule was the same (done to charge $75 + to read each email);

* guardian's fees varied from reading emails to filing bogus motions/petitions in court (making mistakes, then refilling);

* guardian filed a motion to restrict family to grave sight of My Family Member's deceased husband (paid herself to file motion);

* to date, I have not seen or been allowed to see **any financial statements/spending ledgers** either via the guardian or the courts;

*** My Family Member has NEVER been in possession of or allowed any of her money to spend.**

b. The family and rightful heirs of the My Family Member suffered:

* slander, harassment/**threats of arrest and call DCF** on me (at my daughter's wedding, which My Family Member attended with guardian's permission);

* slanderous and libelous law suit filed against me (February 2013);

* violation of civil rights (free speech/religion);

* malicious persecution and target of malfeasance;

* severe stress, loss of sleep, depression, loss of income;

* physical restriction from seeing My Family Member without court hearing;

* isolation from My Family Member with restricted visits in home (always in the presence of a caregiver) and in the first facility (only allowed to sit in a limited area and rarely allowed in My Family Member's bedroom/outside);

* not allowed to take My Family Member outside for fresh air or walks (first and second facilities);

* restricted my visitation after seeing mom in church and hugged/kissed her (guardian claimed this was a "violation" of visitation);

* harassing a business associate (sending a threatening letter by mail) claiming My Family Member owned stock in associates company (did not have a public company).

[Until October 2013, visits were always in the presence of a staff member/guardian's employee who constantly interrupted and oftentimes harassed me and my children. When My Family Member left Harbor Chase, and when asked about the harassment by her and her staff, the assistant director (Edith) stated that she was 'just doing what she was told to do by guardian'].

6. FRAUD, PERJURY:

a. The fraud that commenced the guardianship proceedings included:

* inappropriate filing of court documents (motions/petitions);

* removing My Family Member from home and the first facility without notification to children/beneficiaries;

* no hearing to revoke the privileges of the legal health care surrogate (Lyles-Harris);

* not allowing My Family Member to view her mail/financial statements on a regular basis (done rarely);

* filing law suit against me on behalf of My Family Member (against provisions of mental competency) – and guardian placed herself as My Family Member's attorney with fees paid to herself for filing law suit;

* perjury in court as to "misconduct" of family members daughter;

* failure to provide consistent medical/medication information about My Family Member to her children/legal health care surrogate;

* sale/removal of My Family Member's personal belongings (furniture/clothes/jewelry/pictures) from home and first facility without consent and knowledge, and without the knowledge and consent of the children/beneficiaries;

* failure to act in the best interest of the My Family Member (all 3 guardians);

* failure to PROTECT the My Family Member from abuse/neglect (at facilities and in home);

* moving My Family Member to a facility without court order/permission (from home to Harbor Chase and Harbor Chase to Memory Lane).

7. JUDICIAL ABUSE OF POWER, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, VIOLATION OF CIVIL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND COLOR OF LAW ABUSE:

a. Judicial abuse of power included:

* no legal representation present for My Family Member at competency hearing (or ever);

* not given the opportunity to be at the hearing or be heard in a timely fashion;

* no evidentiary hearings;

* rarely given the opportunity to testify/speak in court, and ignored when I was able to speak;

* not following proper courtroom procedures (timely submission of motions/petitions);

* physical restriction of My Family Member's children without any legal or medical basis/foundation;

* allowing sale of My Family Member's home without consent/notice to My Family Member and legal beneficiaries;

* allowing guardian to make health care decisions without hearing to investigate terms of legal will or My Family Member's preferences;

* judges giving guardian(s) power to abuse/sequester My Family Member and to isolate family (via terms of visitation);

* no audit performed by Court Clerk or by court monitor;

* appointing guardians Preiss and Wolfkill without the proper guardianship credentialing in place (Judge Coker);

* violation of guardianship statute in Florida;

* violation of constitutional right to worship;

* violation of constitutional right to free speech (speak our native language);

* violation of the right to have a say in health care/health care providers;

* violation of the right to legally reside/maintain residence in My Family Member's own home;

* violation of civil and constitutional rights of parties were: Carmen Tozzo, Teresa Lyles, Leslie Lyles Harris, Brianna Lyles, Morgann Lyles, Angel Hernandez, Rosie Hernandez, members of St. Patrick's Church, Pastor Fr. Roland Julien;

* **to my knowledge,** My Family Member was never served with the "Petition to Determine Capacity" nor were her rights read to her by any process server. I was never properly served with the "Petition to Determine Capacity", and received paperwork **in the mail** shortly before the competency hearing;

* refusal of Judge (Griffis) to grant me the guardianship of My Family Member (filed by Mr. Silverman on my behalf June 2011), without any substantiated reason/cause;

* refusal of my request to meet/interview appointed guardians;

* retaliation from the court/attorneys included restricting visitation (i.e., 6 weeks from June – July 2012);

* restrictions of where My Family Member and family could sit/visit;

* restricting My Family member and family from touching/bathing her or attending to bathroom needs;

* restricting My Family Member from leaving facility with her family (needed attendant if leaving home);

* allowing guardian to place restrictions without substantiating accusations against My Family Member's extended family;

* approving "no objection" motions to appoint guardians (April 2014) without my knowledge or consent;

* complaints against Belo were sent to Judge Griffis and Hulslander (by myself, Mr./Mrs. Hernandez in Miami, and by Mr. Silverman) and were answered with further retaliation/isolation from My Family Member. **[NOTE: numerous objections in court were made to Judge Griffis and Coker, but were denied any resolution regarding selection of guardian and visitation restriction].**

* the Advance directives in place were not honored and there was no statutorily required hearing (Fl St 765) to rule on the Advance Directives even though they were known to the court. [My Family Member's legal and signed WILL was dated October 30, 2007, in which granddaughter named as health care surrogate, and myself as Executor, but courts/guardians did not adhere to document. Advanced directives were prepared by Belo and signed in 2013.]

* attorneys hired by me were ineffective or possibly complicit - Nancy Baldwin and Zena Dupee (who literally took $3500 + for doing NOTHING) were useless, did not defend my best interest, and tried to negotiate/force me to **negotiate** with the guardian against my wishes and knowledge; [although he got Belo to resign, Mr. Silverman was not responsive to many of my requests.]

* The examining committee (May 24, 2011) consisted of Brian Cooke, MD (psychiatrist), Arlene Nichols, RN, and Gerrie Scully, RN, and Carlos Rodriguez, MD (Fellow, observer, did not participate), who are licensed as MD and RNs. Each examiner spent approximately 20 minutes with My Family Member (total time was 1 hour and 5 minutes). **[NOTE: My Family Member was never evaluated/tested for illicit drugs in her system or delirium. There were no Geriatric/Neurology MD's present, and diagnosis of 'dementia' is inconsistent with proper diagnosis pattern for this condition. According to Alzheimer's Association** – "diagnosing dementia is difficult. Becoming forgetful does not necessarily mean that you have dementia, and could be a sign of stress or depression. Diagnosis is made through a complete assessment that considers all possible causes, including medical history, physical exam, neurological exam, mental status tests, brain imaging, and diet patterns."

8. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:

* Ms. Brasington was hired by my sisters (Julian and Clark), but Brasington was also the attorney for Ms. DiVito;

* Ms. DiVito was personal friends with Ms. Clark – not revealed until September 2011 hearing, but Judge Griffis did nothing;

* continued personal letters and emails from Belo to Clark and Julian regarding status of My Family Member;

* personal emails and letter to Julian from Ms. Virginia Griffis – this is professionally unethical and constitutes bias;

* Belo continued to be **copied on emails/letters** after her resignation as guardian in June 2013;

* Ms. Griffis being the attorney for all three guardians;

* allowing some family members visitation and travel privileges with My Family Member (violation of court order) and restricting other family members from doing so.

* Abusive stay away court orders were issued at the request of Marilyn Belo without due process or evidentiary hearing, and the only person that was threatened with suspension of all visitation was me and my children.

* Preiss sent a letter to Memory Lane (November 2013) restricting ONLY me from seeing my mom, and did not see my mother until Christmas Day.

This affidavit is based on personal knowledge, court documentation, letters and emails from 2010 to current date.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO
BEFORE ME, on the
6th day of August, 2014

NOTARY PUBLIC Juan Ceron
My Commission expires: 04/22/2018

Teresa Lyles 8/6/14
TERESA LYLES

JUAN CERON
NOTARY
My Comm. Expires
April 22, 2018
No. FF 115568
Bonded Thru CNA Surety
PUBLIC
STATE OF FLORIDA

Criminal Complaint Against Magistrate Judge Jonathan Goodman
Southern District Court of Florida
Case No: 15- CV- 15-21124
Complainant: Marla Martins

SUMMARY

1. Martins' 17 year old daughter, Briana Martins, a pre-med student, died while on a holiday cruise with her family.
2. Martins filed a wrongful death lawsuit against the cruise ship.
3. The matter was assigned to magistrate judge Jonathan Goodman who presided without consent by Martins.
4. Goodman is involved in illegal and criminal activities whereby he uses the United States courts to perpetrate a secretive, hidden scheme of "pay-backs" and illegal financial gain within the cruise industry as follows:
   a. In criminal violation of 18 USC § 208 Goodman owns huge interests in the cruise industry that he buries in a multitude of financial funds whose top investments are cruise industry stocks. These mutual funds include the stocks of the cruise company sued by Martins.
   b. These funds include Vanguard Group; T. Rowe Price; and Blackrock.
   c. Goodman thus controls the outcome of litigation against cruise industry companies wherein he has major financial holdings for his own personal financial gain.
   d. Goodman also holds illegal financial investments in investment funds owned by his prior law firm employer wherein he financially benefits as follows:
      i. Goodman promotes the prior firm, thus also its stock by participating in fund raising events, conferences, and other public events;
      ii. Goodman's prior law firm employer is also a huge investor in the cruise industry, thus Goodman also financially profits from his holding in that fund by his rulings in cruise industry cases which he perfunctory dismisses, forces settlement or otherwise illegally controls.
5. Goodman mischaracterized this wrongful death action as a "medical malpractice" matter to minimize the liability.
6. Goodman attempted to force a "secret settlement" on Martins.
7. In collusion with Goodman, Martins attorney pressured Martins to accept the settlement whereby Goodman interfered with Martins relation with her counsel and caused conflict.
8. When Martins refused to accept the forced settlement, Goodman criminally retaliated against her, using a myriad of insidious tactics:
   a. Goodman blackballed Martins from the legal system, intentionally making it impossible for her to obtain counsel;
   b. Goodman thereby forced her to become sui juris and represent herself;
   c. Goodman dismissed the action with prejudice;
   d. Goodman then publically ridiculed and defamed Martins for being sui juris; viciously attacking her in the media (reference should be made to the newspaper articles enclosed);
   e. Goodman illegally ordered Martins to pay financial sanctions.

The attached pleading by Martins' sui juris describes this matter in further detail.

Respectfully submitted,

_s/ Marla Martins
Marla Martins
7687 Forestay Dr.
Lake Worth, Florida 33467
Marlamartins88@yahoo.com
908.721.1088

Enclosures:
Pleading filed by Martins
Goodman's 2017 Financial Disclosure Statement
Top Stock Holding in Royal Caribbean by Financial Funds owned by Goodman
Link to Media Coverage of Goodman's defamatory; public ridicule and malignment of Martins
https://www.law.com/dailybusinessreview/2019/06/12/florida-judge-sanctions-heedless-pro-se-plaintiff-whose-daughter-died-on-cruise-ship/

https://www.newsweek.com/cruise-ship-death-lawsuit-royal-caribbean-1443853

Woman Who Called Cruise Ship Company 'Killer' After Her Daughter Died Aboard, Refused $500k Settlement Punished for Abusing Court

A woman who legally represented herself after declining a $500,000 settlement from a cruise company after the death of her daughter aboard a ship has been sanctioned by a judge. Marla Martins, who ...

www.newsweek.com

Name of Person Reporting	Date of Report
Goodman, Jonathan	07/18/2018

VII. INVESTMENTS and TRUSTS – *income, value, transactions (Includes those of spouse and dependent children; see pp. 14-60 of filing instructions.)*

☐ NONE *(No reportable income, assets, or transactions.)*

A Description of Assets (including trust assets) Place "(X)" after each asset exempt from prior disclosure	B Income during reporting period		C Gross value at end of reporting period		D Transactions during reporting period				
	(1) Amount Code 1 (A-H)	(2) Type (e.g., div., rent, or int.)	(1) Value Code 2 (J-P)	(2) Value Method Code 3 (Q-W)	(1) Type (e.g., buy, sell, redemption)	(2) Date (mm/dd/yy)	(3) Value Code 2 (J-P)	(4) Gain Code 1 (A-H)	(5) Identity of buyer/seller (if private transaction)
1. Bank of America (various accounts)	A	Interest	K	T					
2. Rental Property #1, Lauderhill, FL	D	Rent	L	W					
3. IRA#1 (H)									
4. BankUnited (cash account)	A	Interest	J	T					
5. IRA #2 (H)									
6. T. Rowe Price, Health Sciences (PRHSX)	B	Dividend	K	T					
7. T. Rowe Price New Am. Growth (PRWAX)	E	Dividend	M	T					
8. T. Rowe Price New Horizons (PRNHX)	E	Dividend	M	T					
9. T. Rowe Price Science & Techn. (PRSCX)	D	Dividend	L	T					
10. IRA #3 (H)									
11. Neuberger/Berman Large Cap Value Investor (NPRTX)	B	Dividend	K	T					
12. IRA #4 (H)									
13. Neuberger/Berman Large Cap Value Investor (NPRTX)	B	Dividend	K	T					
14. IRA #5 (H)									
15. Equivest Com Stock Index		None	J	T					
16. Equivest Equity 500 Index		None	J	T					
17. Equivest Multimanager Aggr. Equity		None	J	T					

1. Income Gain Codes (See Columns B1 and D4)	A - $1,000 or less F - $60,001 - $100,000 J - $15,000 or less N - $250,001 - $500,000 P3 - $5,000,001 - $25,000,000	B - $1,001 - $2,500 G - $100,001 - $1,000,000 K - $15,001 - $50,000 O - $500,001 - $1,000,000	C - $2,501 - $5,000 H1 - $1,000,001 - $5,000,000 L - $50,001 - $100,000 P4 - $1,000,001 - $5,000,000 P4 - More than $50,000,001	D - $5,001 - $15,000 H2 - More than $5,000,000 M - $100,001 - $250,000 P2 - $5,000,001 - $25,000,000	E - $15,001 - $50,000
2. Value Codes (See Columns C1 and D3)					
3. Value Method Codes (See Column C2)	Q - Appraisal U - Book Value	R - Cost (Real Estate Only) V - Other	S - Assessment W - Estimated	T - Cash Market	

RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / VANGUARD GROUP INC Passive Investment

Security	RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. (V7780T103)
Form Type	SC 13G/A
File Date	2018-02-08
Related Documents	2019-02-12 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / VANGUARD GROUP INC Passive Investment
	2019-02-12 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / VANGUARD GROUP INC Passive Investment
	2019-02-11 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / BlackRock Inc. Passive Investment
	2019-02-11 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / BlackRock Inc. Passive Investment
	2019-02-06 SC 13G/A RCL / Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. / BlackRock Inc. Passive Investment

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Schedule 13G

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.: 3)*

Name of issuer: Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd

Title of Class of Securities: Common Stock

CUSIP Number: V7780T103

Date of Event Which Requires Filing of this Statement: **December 31, 2017**

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed.
(X) Rule 13d-1(b)
( ) Rule 13d-1(c)
( ) Rule 13d-1(d)

*The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter the disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required in the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

(Continued on the following page(s))

Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd

Add to Watch List | Set Alert

107.96 Delayed Data As of 4:10pm ET

+0.39 / +0.36% Today's Change

89.48 — TODAY — 135.04 52-Week Range

+10.40% Year-to-Date

Quote | Profile | News | Charts | Forecasts | Financials | Shareholders | Competitors

Insider trading | **Institutional ownership**

Institutional investors purchased a net $697.5 thousand shares of RCL during the quarter ended June 2019. This may signal that the smart money is gaining interest in this company as the 75.93% of shares outstanding that institutional investors hold is actually below the Hotels/Resorts/Cruiselines industry average.

Mutual fund holders	44.33%
Other institutional	31.61%
Individual stakeholders	31.53%

Largest Quarterly Institutional Transactions

How to read this chart



Latest Institutional Activity

9/30/2019 **GRASSI INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT LLC** Bought 89.2 Thousand shares of Royal Caribbean Cruises L...

9/30/2019 **ALASKA RETIREMENT MANAGEMENT BOA...** Bought 34.0 Thousand shares of Royal Caribbean Cruises L...

9/30/2019 **NORDEA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AB** Bought 30.2 Thousand shares of Royal Caribbean Cruises L...

Top 10 Owners of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd

Stockholder	Stake	Shares owned	Total value ($)	Shares bought / sold	Total change
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	8.56%	17,927,360	1,942,070,909	+205,290	+1.16%
PRIMECAP Management Co.	4.57%	9,573,911	1,037,141,779	-27,072	-0.28%
BlackRock Fund Advisors	3.89%	8,148,888	882,769,037	+275,268	+3.50%
SSgA Funds Management, Inc.	3.61%	7,565,377	819,557,290	+181,580	+2.46%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (I...	2.32%	4,850,642	525,470,048	-440,510	-8.33%
Fidelity Management & Research Co...	2.24%	4,702,188	509,388,026	+396,168	+9.20%
Baillie Gifford & Co.	2.21%	4,636,301	502,250,487	-20,345	-0.44%

All

ChartMill
Accelerating growth: $ACB is expecting stronger growth in the upcoming 2 years than in the passed 5 years.
https://www.chartmill.com/stock/quote/analysis?key=180f22ec-1ec9-4c43-93cf-75531f58d346&utm_source=stocktwit
· Reply