

**Deborah A. Bujdos
113 Branthoover Street
Belle Vernon, Pa 15012
724-322-2590**

June 17, 2021

Dear Mr. Moulton

I am the Plaintiff in this matter case A CIVIL RICO CASE # 26-WAL-2021

**THIS IS A VERY URGENT TIME SENSITIVE MATTER CONCERNING THE
IMPROPER CLOSURE OF MY CIVIL RICO CASE THAT INVOLVES MANY
FEDERAL CRIMES # 26-WAL-2021 AS UNTIMELY .**

**RULES AND TIMELINE OF EVENTS LEADING UP TO IMPROPERLY CLOSING
THIS CIVIL RICO.**

**I have proof the computer system wouldn't let me file and was rejecting my filing on
June 1, 2021 for 10 hours .**

Rules of Appellate Procedure :

***Additionally, The Courts Has Been Improperly Named this CIVIL RICO On All Civil Rico Court
Documents As A Divorce,***

***It Is Clearly A Civil Rico . Both The Superior And Supreme Court Were Informed Of The Title Error
And Failed To Correct The Case Type.***

Rule 105. Waiver and Modification of Rules.

(a) *Liberal construction and modification of rules.*—These rules shall be liberally construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every matter to which they are applicable. In the interest of expediting decision, or for other good cause shown, an appellate court may, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (b) of this rule, disregard the requirements or provisions of any of these rules in a particular case on application of a party or on its own motion and may order proceedings in accordance with its direction.

(b) *Enlargement of time.*—An appellate court for good cause shown may upon application enlarge the time prescribed by these rules or by its order for doing any act, or may permit an act to be done after the expiration of such time, but the court may not enlarge the time for filing a notice of appeal, a petition for allowance of appeal, a petition for permission to appeal, a petition for review, or a petition for specialized review.

Official Note

42 Pa.C.S. § 5504 provides that the time limited by, *inter alia*, Chapter 55D of the Judicial Code shall not be extended by order, rule, or otherwise, except that the time limited may be extended to relieve fraud or its equivalent, but there will be no extension of time as a matter of indulgence or with respect to any criminal proceeding. However, under 42 Pa.C.S. § 5571(a), statutory time limits under Chapter 55D do not apply to appeals to or other judicial review by the Supreme, Superior, or Commonwealth Courts

The Protonotary Patricia Nicola Of The Pa Supreme Court Western District Closed My Case On June 11, 2021.

Additionally, The Courts Has Been Improperly Named this CIVIL RICO On All Civil Rico Court Documents As A Divorce,

It Is Clearly A Civil Rico . Both The Superior And Supreme Court Were Informed Of The Title Error And Failed To Correct The Case Type.

On June 15, 2015 While Talking To The Prothonotary I Became Aware That My Case Was Closed. Per Patricia Nicola Who Said My Case Was Closed And That Was It.

She Suggested For Me To File Petition For Leave To Do Reconsideration For Dismissal , Incorrectly Decided As Untimely. She Also Said That It Would Be A Waste Of My Time Because Very Few Leaves Are Granted.

She Was Aware I Was Not Deliberately Late It Was Due To The Pac File System Error And Failure To Permit Me To Submit. Despite Me Spending Over 10 Hours On June 1, 2021 From 1:30 P.M. - 11: 20 P. (As Seen On My Attached Printed EXHIBITS . Documents From the courts Pac File System I Was Panicked on June 1, 2021 By The System Rejecting My Reconsideration for over 10 hours

So I Then Took A Copy Of The Reconsideration To The Post Office The Next Day On June 2, 2012 And Paid \$28.00 To Overnight It. (Receipt Is Enclosed)

A Week Later I Received My Reconsideration BACK In The Mail ,

The File Was Time Stamped RECEIVED June 3, 2021 .

I WAS RELIEVED WHEN I SAW THE STAMP , thinking THAT THEY ACCEPTED IT AND WAS NOT INFORMED THEY REJECTED IT AS UNTIMELY.

Additionally, The Courts Has Been Improperly Named this CIVIL RICO On All Civil Rico Court Documents As A Divorce,

It Is Clearly A Civil Rico . Both The Superior And Supreme Court Were Informed Of The Title Error And Failed To Correct The Case Type.

CONCLUSION

It Was Improper To Close The Case When I Can Prove there is good cause for a one day delay in submitting the reconsideration .

Proof is seen In The Enclosed Documents That I Submitted To Pac File And The Courts help desks and PAC File Glitch In Their System.

The Court System Fails To Advise How To Correct Any Errors That Prevented Me From Finalizing The Submission Of My Reconsideration On June 1, 2021

The Failure In Submitting Reconsideration Was Not Intentional And The Court Should Have Alerted Me And Allowed Me An Opportunity To Correct The PAC File Error That Occurred Over A 12 Hour Period Of The System Rejecting My Reconsideration And I Received No Assistance From The Help Desk until 6-15-2021 while talking to Daryl And Amy At Harrisburg Supreme Court At 1:30 P.M. they gave me the access code I needed to be able to submit a document at PAC File

I HAD NO knowledge my case was closed until 6-15-2021 while talking to Supreme Court Prothonotary Patricia Nicola.

I then attempted to re submit my previously uploaded June 1, 2021 reconsideration Via E File on June 15, 2021, and was denied access to my PAC FILE account WHEN this error creating page message, appeared on my computer, as seen below:

Additionally, The Courts Has Been Improperly Named this CIVIL RICO On All Civil Rico Court Documents As A Divorce,

It Is Clearly A Civil Rico . Both The Superior And Supreme Court Were Informed Of The Title Error And Failed To Correct The Case Type.

ERROR DENIED ACCESS TO MY PAC FILE ON JUNE 15, 2021

June 15, 2021 Denied Access To My Pac File Account 6-15-2021 to file anything

There Has Been An Error Creating The Requested Page.

/Secure/Efiling/Casedetails.aspx?Docketnumber=26+Wal+2021&Tab=&Returnurl=%2fsecure%2fefiling%2fcasedetails.aspx%3fdocketnumber%3d26%2bwal%2b2021%26tab%3d%26returnurl%3d%252fsecure%252fefiling%252fcasesearch.aspx%253freturnurl%253d%25252fsecure%25252fefiling%25252fcasedetails.aspx%25253fmatterid%25253dpacms%2525253a100315039%252526docketentryid%25253dpacms%2525253a104804263%252526returnurl%25253d%2525252fsecure%2525252fefiling%2525252fvviewnotification.aspx%2525253fnotificationid%2525253d115054099

Please Submit A [Help Request](#) To Report This Error To Help Desk.

[Home](#)

Error Id: 7f3d94c3-17e0-4dea-904b-23c4c0c8cd80

Date/Time: 6/15/2021 1:51:42 Pm

They Failed To Inform Me Of Any Corrections and failed to send me a confirmation back via email within 2 business days.

That Needed To Be Done Before Closing My Case And Shutting The Court House Doors To Me Again , Denying Me Again The Right To Be Heard Which Has Been Proven To Be A Pattern Of Practice In The Pennsylvania Court System As They Have Been Doing Since 2012 – 2021 I Have Been Denied All Of My Rights By The Courts Since 2012 Despite The Seriousness And Public Concern Of Future Repeated Unconstitutional Orders With Regard To The Proven Illegal Issues In My Civil Rico.

I am asking for assistance in re opening my case that was improperly closed pursuant to 210 Pa. Code Rule 105. Waiver and Modification of Rules for good cause.

Further, the Supreme Court should grant my petition for permission to appeal in order to establish clear and definable standards to determine the application of the foregone conclusion with rationale.

Additionally, The Courts Has Been Improperly Named this CIVIL RICO On All Civil Rico Court Documents As A Divorce,

It Is Clearly A Civil Rico . Both The Superior And Supreme Court Were Informed Of The Title Error And Failed To Correct The Case Type.

And regard to the crimes committed in this CIVIL RICO that has been ignored by the Lower court and the Superior Court.

Respect fully Submitted by,

DEBORAH A. BUJDOS

PLAINTIFF

Additionally, The Courts Has Been Improperly Named this CIVIL RICO On All Civil Rico Court Documents As A Divorce,

It Is Clearly A Civil Rico . Both The Superior And Supreme Court Were Informed Of The Title Error And Failed To Correct The Case Type.