Sylvia Schmidt <marketsearch@earthlink.net> wrote:



From: "Sylvia Schmidt" <marketsearch@earthlink.net>

To: "Roby Gettinger" < Subject: One Last Question Date: Fri, 6 Feb 2004 09:40:50 -0800

I do have one last question for you. When I called you on May 7th 2003 and told you that I was thinking about flying over to Vienna myself to help resolve the inheritance issue for you, did you already know that you would be flying to Vienna yourself in the next several days, specifically on the weekend of May 10th^{t/} 2003, and decide not to share that piece of information with me? Or did my call to you on May 7th panic you so much that you decided to get on a plane ASAP after my call to make sure that you got to Vienna and settled the issue before your sister might create any $\hat{a}\in$ for you?

But, you want me to believe that you, as you say "involved you (Sylvia) in the whole process of the late Franz L. Gettinger which are not the actions of someone who is dishonest.†How exactly do you figure you involved me in the "whole process†when you kept that important fact all to yourself, and to this day have never come clean with me? I had to learn that information from someone else (and it wasn't our mother!) and that behavior on your part, my dear brother, started me thinking about your trustworthiness and true motivations. I waited patiently for months for you to be honest with me and tell me about the trip yourself. But, you never did. Try as I may, I just can't come up with a legitimate "honest†excuse for why you didn't share with me your plans to fly to Vienna yourself on

May 10th, when I spoke with you just days earlier about the issue. Please don't insult my intelligence by claiming you "just forgot†or you thought I "wouldn't careâ€. I don't appreciate being "played†by you.

An honest person with nothing to hide and who as you say really was involving someone in the $\hat{a}\in \infty$ whole process $\hat{a}\in \infty$ would have either 1.) let me know what the plans were, and or 2.) when I called three days before the flight, said $\hat{a}\in \infty$ h, by the way I am flying to Vienna myself this weekend $\hat{a}\in$. Not, your response to me which was $\hat{a}\in \infty$ do what you have to do $\hat{a}\in and$ not one word about your flight plans that coming weekend. It has become abundantly clear to me that you just involved me at the beginning to use me for your own benefit and gain. You wanted to know if I would be willing to help you $\hat{a}\in \infty$ double-cross $\hat{a}\in y$ our stepmother, Millie and if you could count on me not to make any $\hat{a}\in \infty$ problems $\hat{a}\in f$ for you and make it easier for you to claim your inheritance. But, you call yourself $\hat{a}\in \infty$ the good brother $\hat{a}\in .$ In my book, right now you are a $\hat{a}\in \infty$ root problems $\hat{a}\in .$ In easier for you are a $\hat{a}\in \infty$ show the good brother $\hat{a}\in .$ In speaking with them. Everything is about $\hat{a}\in \infty$ help you, you are nase to show the provide the to show the exact the the problem for you have the provide the to the show the them.

I hope that you are at least a better husband than you are "a brother†or for that matter " a sonâ€.

Don't worry, I don't expect an answer from you. Why should you answer? You don't give a shit about anyone except yourself.

4/17/2009 5:30 PM

Sylvia Schmidt



Subject: Sylvia Schmidt From: Date: Wed, 19 Feb 2003 19:18:00 -0800 To: 'marketsearch@earthlink.net'

Sylvia,

I hope things are going well for you.

Please use the _____ email address from now on.

Also my cell number has changed

818 415-47 Love Roby and Adalid

CURKENT

EMAILOD TO SYLVIA

2003

I HAVE THE SAME CELL NUMBER TODAY. SHE HAS HAD IT SINCE 2003

1 of 1