Introduction

Under IDAHO Rules of Family Law Procedure Rule 108, Disqualification for Cause, Section A Grounds, Number 4:

"That the judge or magistrate is biased or prejudiced for or against and party or the case in action".

History and Concerns

I, Jacqueline Bladow, the Petitioner, and (MOTHER) of D.B. MOTHER no CONTACT with D.B for 20 months. Respondent is the father of D.B (FATHER). D.B. is 14 years old. Losing custody of D.B has been like grieving a death, MOTHER is in a constant state of panic, worried for her safety. D.B was seen for suicide. MOTHER was dismissed from her job in April due to a panic. MOTHER was diagnosed with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder in 2012 by Dr. Helman, Salinas California. MOTHER now being treated for increasing night terrors, insomnia, panic attacks, uncontrolled migraines, cyclic vomiting syndrome, increasing anxiety and debilitating depression with Dr. Murphy at St. Luke's Hospital in Twin Fall. He is aware mother is unable to work. Counseling visits are limited due to Covi-19, MOTHER will be unable to start any therapy for a month. DNA test done to find the best medication. Mother is in the process of applying for Permanent Social Security Disability and she is concerned that Judge Harmer will be bias and refused to modify MOTHER'S Child support order. It may take a year or more to receive Social Security benefits.

MOTHER did not have Relationship "issues" to the extreme of deserving of termination of the relationship with D.B. It is not unusual for teenaged girls to rebel against their parents. MOTHER was denied an expert witness to view our mother and daughter bond.

MOTHER is requesting re-calculation of child support and is fearful that this recalculation will be denied. JUDGE HARMER has already ordered MOTHER to pay 60% of out of pocket medical care of D.B. Such an order is rare, parents almost always equally share out of pocket medical expenses. JUDGE HARMER as ordered a stipulation of MOTHER to have contact with D.B. that cannot be met. This separation has harmed D.B. she was seen for suicidal thoughts.

Facts in Support of Motion to Disqualify JUDGE HARMER:

- Letters of Complaints previously filed. Met personally to discuss concerns with Shelli Tubbs. (See Exhibit One)
 - a. November 22, 2019, MOTHER wrote and mailed out many letters of complaints regarding newly hired JUDGE HARMER. These letters were sent to State of IDAHO Judicial Council, David Cantrill, Administrative District Judge, Eric J Wildman, Assistance to the Administrative District Judge, and Shelli Tubbs (TUBBS), plus the Mayor of Twin Fall and Board of Supervisors. This was MOTHER'S SECOND complaint directed at JUDGE HARMER. MOTHER made arrangements to meet with TUBBS personally to discuss MOTHER'S complaints regarding

21

22

23

24

25

2728

JUDGE HARMER. MOTHER'S concerns that he remains MOTHER'S Judge, and MOTHER'S deep concern for the health and welfare of D.B.

Bias: MOTHER will not receive fair treatment from JUDGE HARMER because she filed formal complaints against him.

b. TUBBS meeting, MOTHER discussed recent change of circumstance in the condition of D.B. Her mental health, being seen in an Emergency Room (ER) for suicidal attempts/thoughts . D.B had become suicidal due the lack of contact with her MOTHER. JUDGE HARMER had ordered Therapeutic Supervised Visitation (TSV) a condition for contact that MOTHER could not meet (see TSV). D.B. became suicidal in the care of FATHER and Melisa OSEN LCSW (OSEN). D.B. had NO contact with MOTHER in 10 months, then D.B was seen in the ER for suicidal thoughts, MOTHER filed a motion requesting D.B. be evaluated by a mental health doctor, a child psychologist. FATHER filed a motion, stating that D.B. was deteriorating due to MOTHER harassing phone calls. MOTHER produced her phone records in court, PROVING SHE HAD NO PHONE CONTACT. JUDGE HARMER REFUSED to look at MOTHER's phone records. MOTHER had a difficult time in court maintaining her composure. MOTHER contends that D.B. had been erased from MOTHER life unjustly, now becoming suicidal and JUDGE HARMER refused to take the time to view MOTHER documents that PROVE FATHER is untruthful and MOTHER was NOT

guilty of the behaviors for which she was being accused of by FATHER and OSEN.

Bias: D.B deteriorating, being seen in ER for suicide after 10 months of no contact with MOTHER. FATHER motion stating the ER visit of D.B's suicidal thoughts were due to MOTHER harassing phone calls were FALSE. MOTHER had NO PHONE CONTACT with D.B for many months. JUDGE HARMER refused to view MOTHER'S phone records, and denied MOTHER'S formal request for regular supervised visitation and/or counseling to help D.B. JUDGE HARMER refused MOTHER's formal request to have D.B evaluated by a Child Psychologist for a proper diagnosis. MOTHER had a court order that allowed her rights to medical decisions and MOTHER is a Registered Nurse BSRN.

Bias: Excepting FATHER's false claim signed under penalty of perjury that D.B was suicidal due to MOTHER'S harassing phone calls without proof.

JUDGE HARMER allowed perjury in the courts, refusing to review MOTHER official, true phone call record. JUDGE HARMER accused MOTHER of being out of line because she was very upset and concerned for D.B. MOTHER was very upset that D.B is suicidal, was denied contact with D.B and that D.B was not going to be formally evaluated by a mental health doctor, child psychologist. MOTHER was very upset that JUDGE HARMER refused to view her phone records to prove FATHER was untruthful. MOTHER had a good reason for raising her voice to be heard, the bias treatment in JUDGE HARMER's orders to

separate D.B from MOTHER had created serious mental health issues, ER visit for suicide. Perjury, false motions should NEVER be allowed in court.

c. I personally discussed the concerns of bias (b), with TUBBS, and also,
JUDGE HARMER had refused to enforce court orders, properly filed
foreign orders from CALFORNIA. These custody orders also contained
a QDRO order written by a Judge in CALFORNIA for the purpose of
being enforced in IDAHO. JUDGE HARMER dismissed MOTHER'S
motion of Contempt of court against FATHER. JUDGE HARMER stated
in court, he did not like the way MOTHER'S documents were prepared.
JUDGE HARMER stated that he does not enforce foreign orders.
MOTHER had filed multiple exhibits in her Contempt motion. MOTHER
was very clear in FATHER's contempt charges. MOTHER'S Contempt
of Court complaint was better prepared than the average pro-se
litigant, and should not have been dismissed.

Bias: Judge Harmer refused to enforce custody orders that FATHER was violating.

d. Previously, Judge Redman ordered MOTHER to jail for 5 days for Contempt of a 2012 CALFORNIA foreign "gag" order that was intended to keep MOTHER from discussing missing marital money. This CALFORNIA order was not filed with the custody orders. MOTHER'S crime, she sent an email response to FATHER requesting he act on the QDRO order, and she sent an email response to FATHER'S email, custody interference and refusing counseling with

D.B. MOTHER stated in her response to FATHER, "You will clean toilets in Hell one day". Five days in jail, harsh punishment for a non-threatening email, responding to FATHER'S email. MOTHER's email responses were due to frustration for being denied her court ordered rights by FATHER. FATHER was in Contempt for properly filed custody orders. FATHER was in contempt of court for refusing D.B and MOTHER counseling and the 3pm exchange time on non-school day Fridays. MOTHER discussed this injustice in person with TUBBS, and to JUDGE HARMER during hearings, also written in motions. The fact that FATHER lied under oath to discredit MOTHER to generate a harsh sentence of jail time was brought to the attention of the courts many times, and I was silenced from this discussion each time by JUDGE HARMER.

Bias: MOTHER'S motion for contempt against FATHER was for serious issues, refusal to report D.B medical care she was seen multiple times for medical issues, D.B receiving an HPV vaccine without MOTHER'S knowledge or permission, refusal to allow MOTHER to participate in counseling that was desperately needed, and much more. MOTHER'S motion of Contempt should not have been dismissed with prejudice.

MOTHER was ordered to pay FATHER'S legal fees when Contempt was dismissed with prejudice. Perjury and being untruth in motions, and statements in court hearings should not have been allowed. All allegations of being untruth SHOULD have been investigated. MOTHER requested

multiple situations of being untruthful be reviewed. BIAS DOES EXIST towards her, MOTHER's requests all denied.

e. MOTHER also requested Perjury considerations in her motion of Contempt. MOTHER discussed this issue with TUBBS. FATHER lied under oath to purposely prejudice MOTHER, to hold her in contempt in Judge Redman's court, to send her to jail. FATHER told Judge Redman that MOTHER was disgruntle over the fact that he received all the retirement assets and that his feelings were hurt because MOTHER accused him of hiding money. FATHER cried real tears, and Judge Redman gave him a tissue and a mean glare at MOTHER.

There is a court order, filed in IDAHO, filed with the custody orders, a QDRO, requesting FATHER to meet with an accountant to disclose his retirement assets. FATHER was **not given** retirement assets liked he stated under oath to Judge Redman. MOTHER recently discovered FATHER received \$50,000 from a lawsuit involving a **Marital Property Business.** MOTHER discovered, in IDAHO, in formal discovery, the lawsuit was dismissed, but **moved to arbitration**. MOTHER filed a motion to compel discovery on the recently discovered undisclosed marital assets to prove to JUDGE HARMER that these assets were indeed hidden marital assets and FATHER was untruthful under oath when he stated he had never hidden assets.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER expressed no concern of MOTHER being disenfranchised by financial fraud and no concerns that FATHER committed

marital fraud. Judger Harmer allowed perjury, no words, or sanctions.

JUDGE HARMER stated that all financial issues belong in CALFORNIA court and he refused to discuss financial issues, completely dismissing the QDRO order written in with the IDAHO custody orders.

Bias: MOTHER is sent of jail on a foreign 2012 order from CALIFORNIA not intended to be enforced in IDAHO; QDRO order properly filed into the IDAHO courts from CALIFORNIA intending to be enforced in IDAHO dismissed by JUDGE HARMER.

Bias: Seemingly obvious, orders are only enforced on MOTHER and orders NOT enforced on FATHER.

IDAHO Statutes 32-717 Custody of Children – Best Interest section (e), the character of the parent: Financial fraud and being untruthful under oath demonstrated and blatant disregard of law and a obvious lack of character.

f. I requested to prove that FATHER moved from CALFORNIA to IDAHO to avoid the enforcement of a QDRO, taking his chances that the QDRO order would not be enforced in IDAHO. I spent 5 days in jail based on perjury of a foreign order **NOT intended to be enforced in IDAHO**.

JUDGE HARMER refused to discuss that FATHER is in contempt of a QDRO order, over \$300,000 in assets (see TRIAL).

Bias: I believe that JUDGE HARMER was obligated to sanction FATHER for perjury, especially because his perjury jailed MOTHER for 5 days.

MOTHER'S motion of Contempt of Court against FATHER related to custody

issues, dismissed with prejudice. MOTHER could not file Contempt in the future. JUDGE HARMER ordered MOTHER to pay FATHER'S legal fees, \$1,300. There was nothing wrong with MOTHER'S exhibits in her motion for Contempt. MOTHER was denied her RIGHT to hold FATHER in contempt for his many violations. FATHER'S behaviors were far more damaging and harmful to D.B than MOTHER'S email about cleaning toilets in hell.

II. Custody Evaluation of Eric Jones

g. FATHER lied to custody evaluator Eric Jones that MOTHER accused multi-people of molesting D.B.. MOTHER never accused anyone of molestation of D.B. (The only people that made accusations of molestation were FATHER's niece and nephew (COUSINS). These children, now adults accused FATHER's father (GRAND-FATHER) of sexual molestation and have never recanted). FATHER'S deliberate action to slander MOTHER to the point of loss of custody so concerning, any reasonable person can conclude that this was ground for immediate change of custody, and FATHER to receive supervised visitation. MOTHER was ordered Therapeutic Supervised Visitation (TSV) by JUDGE HARMER because she took her teen-aged D.B'S phone away, for foul language. Clearly the appropriate punishment, within MOTHER rights as a parent. D.B. had a teenaged temper tantrum for which MOTHER refused to cave into.

Bias: FATHER not held to the same standards as MOTHER. FATHER essentially given a pass for being untruthful on our Custody Evaluation. This was the main

factor that led Custody Evaluator, Eric Jones (JONES) to choose FATHER to be primary custodian, JONES also recommended MOTHER not be allowed to leave the county of Twin Falls with D.B.

Bias: FATHER refused MOTHER'S requests to abide by the court order and allow counseling with D.B. JUDGE HARMER dismissed denial of counseling as a trigger that led to the communication breakdown, cause of D.B'S foul language to MOTHER, that led to losing her phone. MOTHER contends that if court orders were enforced, MOTHER would have highly likely NOT been ordered for Therapeutic Supervised Visitation and Melisa (OSEN) LCSW, would have been unable to falsely accuse MOTHER of talking about FATHER to D.B. JUDGE HARMER's refusal to take notice/investigate evidence that sexual abuse allegation had been made against GRAND-FATHER placed D.B directly in harm's way. D.B is often left in the care of GRAND-FATHER. D.B's recent ER visit of suicide may have also been related to sexual abuse. Only a child psychologist is qualified to determine sexual abuse. Contrary to OSEN'S LCSW, grandiose statement, she is NOT as qualified to treat suicidal teens, or sexual abuse as a Child psychologist (see IV Custody Hearing) and the request for an evaluation by a child psychologist denied by JUDGE HARMER left D.B in harm's way. D.B became suicide in the care of OSEN. OSEN'S "therapy" has not helped D.B.

h. MOTHER discussed all issues TUBBS. The order for TSV could not be met (see III TSV), and that Judger Harmer's refusal of MOTHER'S request to change it to counseling and/or supervised visitation and the separation of MOTHER and daughter *may* lead to D.B. succeeding in suicide. TUBBS expressed no concern for the health and welfare of D.B, only stating that if I am unhappy with any ruling I can file for an

Appeal. <u>I am educated enough to know that Appeals are very</u> expensive, and can take years. My response to TUBBS.

NOTE: D.B. made is VERY CLEAR in JONES custody evaluation that she desired to be with MOTHER. Discussed with TUBBS, JUDGE HARMER should have been removed from the custody case, he lacks the experience in a high-conflict custody case. TUBBS may have had a duty to disqualify JUDGE HARMER, my complaints and concerns about racketeering ignored by TUBB's and other in charge. MOTHER unclear if this duty falls in her TUBB'S job description.

III. TSV Therapeutic Supervised Visitation

- i. Discussed with JUDGE HARMER many times: Jason Beard (BEARD) of Preferred Child and Family Services (PCFS) refused to provide MOTHER TSV. BEARD was referred to MOTHER by the courts, Shelley Carson (CARSON) Family court services district manager. BEARD is the ONLY person in Twin Fall qualified to provide TSV. CARSON appeared in front of JUDGE HARMER at two hearings, CARSON provided testimony that no one else provided TSV in our area at this time.
- j. BEARD, stated during our only TSV, he requested that I pay him \$1,200 just to start to *process* of TSV. He requested \$200/hr. for the any actual work. MOTHER paid BEARD for TSV, \$200. MOTHER only had one TSV with D.B., check payable to PCFC. During our session D.B and I talked, viewed recent photos of her pets and played a

- game. I gave D.B her Easter Basket. BEARD did nothing, he took notes. There was no counseling involved in our \$200.00 session.
- k. Discussed privately with BEARD at our only TSV visit, his business partner, JONES excepted two checks payable to PCFS \$3000 for the Custody Evaluation and \$1,000 for a psychiatric evaluation (PE) on MOTHER. MOTHER spent over 4 hours completing the PE at PCFS, that included computer forms and interview with JONES. JONES filed OUR custody evaluation after his professional licensing board suspended him. The Professional Licensing Board also fined JONES almost \$10,000.00 due to years of serious infractions. JONES committed fraud within the courts and families in Twin Falls. MOTHER did not receive the PE that she paid for and spent hours completing in the PCFS office.
- I. The reason for such a harsh punishment, JONES, for many years provided psychiatric evaluations and made custody decisions without the credentials to provide this service. FOR MANY YEARS ERIC JONES WAS ENGAGING IN FRAUD IN TWIN FALLS FAMILY COURT. MOTHER reported this injustice and FRAUD in a letter to the Mayor, and board of supervisors. JUDGE HARMER is aware of MOTHER letters, discussed at our custody hearing, MOTHER letters, where she "spoke out" about the illegal and immoral conduct of PCFC, JONES and BEARD, fraud on not just MOTHER, but the people of Twin Falls, for many years. MOTHER spoke about the declining mental health of

D.B. because of PCFS evaluation was full of slanderous, libel, untruthful statements, JONES has an obligation to investigate all facts, he swindled money out of MOTHER, a behavior he committed for years in Twin Falls family court. The evaluation prepared by PCFS was untruthful, I never accused anyone of molesting D.B., told to JUDGE HARMER in words many times, and in motions. Any reasonable person can conclude that such a defamatory lie made regarding false claims of sexual molestation by FATHER against MOTHER should warrant immediate complete loss of custody.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER should have looked further into these libelous lies that FATHER created, accusing MOTHER of false allegation of molestation. If custody is to be denied due to a false allegation, the recommendations of JONES considered in court, MOTHER should be equally treated. Custody should have been denied to FATHER for creating such an atrocious false allegation in regards to sexual abuse. Lying to a custody evaluator should have been considered immediate grounds for loss of custody.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER had an obligation to investigate MOTHER claims. He had an obligation to ensure that a proper custody evaluation was completed, but instead protected JONES and BEARD, punishing MOTHER for exposing JONES many years of fraud, excessively high costs of BEARD'S TSV, denied MOTHER'S request to have contact by supervised visitation and counseling.

2

3

4

MOTHER discussed with BEARD (reported to JUDGE HARMER at m. custody hearing) the despicable false allegation FATHER made about her reported in his business partner's JONES custody evaluation. MOTHER requested of BEARD to do the "right thing", tell the court about JONES egregious error in his custody evaluation. MOTHER requested of BEARD to discuss that such a heinous false allegation by FATHER, lying to JONES, may warrant immediate loss of custody. BEARD acted unethically, he refused to honor his business partner's obligation to provide a valid custody evaluation. **The JONES** custody evaluation was filed into the courts weeks after JONES was ordered to cease by his professional licensing board (also reported to JUDGE HARMER). MOTHER requested BEARD investigate MOTHER's claim that she NEVER accused anyone of molesting D.B. MOTHER contended that COUSINS made molestation allegations, and D.B. may be harmed in the care of GRAND-FATHER. Police reports exists, and a restraining order of no-contact court ordered to protect COUSINS when they were minors against the entire family on FATHER's side. COUSIN met with JONES and OSEN and provided documentation and spoke out to protect D.B from being molested. COUSIN is a Deputy Sheriff. MOTHER believes COUSINS are telling the truth. This COUSIN

concern discussed with BEARD and discussed many times and in

25

26

27

28

written motions before JUDGE HARMER. MOTHER concerns about the safety of D.B discussed with TUBBS.

Bias: The history of sexual abuse by GRAND-FATHER, D.B.'s suicide ER visit, may have been a result of being sexually abused and having no contact with her mother of over 10 months. D.B. needed to be evaluated by a child psychologist, a social worker is NOT qualified for what D.B. needs. Bias: JUDGE HARMER refusing MOTHER's two formal requests, even scolding MOTHER in court for being "uncooperative with BEARD" as if MOTHER deserved no contact with D.B because MOTHER refused to "stay quiet", and "pay" BEARD \$1,200, and "overlook" BEARD and JONES business, PCFS. Essentially, allow PCFS to "get away with" years of producing psychiatric evaluations without credentials and filing MOTHER custody evaluation after JONES signed an order to cease. MOTHER contended and pleaded with JUDGE HARMER that No crime should go unpunished. MOTHER has not committed a crime, did not abuse or neglect. JONES committed a fraud, many times, for many years, and was fined \$10,000 dollars. MOTHER contends that PCFS is engaging in racketeering. JUDGE HARMER forbid MOTHER's discussion about PCFS, BEARD and JONES, only mentioning them when discussed by FATHER's and FATHER's Counsel. JUDGE HARMER spoke of PCFS, BEARD and JONES seemingly ONLY when it favored FATHER's defense to seek primary custody and keep MOTHER from contact with D.B.

n. To JUDGE HARMER, MOTHER stated at multiple hearing including at custody trial, and in written motions: BEARD is the ONLY provider of TSV, this order is advertised on the court house website. I am certain that requesting \$1,200 for "no reason whatsoever" other than BEARD can get away with this, being the **only provider** in Twin Falls is an act of **racketeering**, and the courts should be aware that this highly illegal practice the courts are involved in, BEARD directly referred to me by Shelly Carson (CARSON). CARSON is Family Court Serviced Manager.

(Glick v. Koenig, 766 F.2d 265). "Judges will not be deprived of immunity for erroneous acts or even those actions performed maliciously or in excess of authority."

Bias: All Judges are required to follow the rules of law. MOTHER was defrauded out of \$4,000 by JONES at PCF. The bias exists protecting JONES and BEARD. JONES, BEARD and JUDGE HARMER are ALL members of the Church of Latter-day Saints (MORMONS). JONES an Elder of this Church in Buhl. BEARD AND JUDGE HARMER ATTENDED BRINGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY. MORMONS are required to give 10% of their income to their church. BEARD requested of MOTHER, reported to JUDGE HARMER, \$1,200 to "start the process" of TSV. (MOTHER HAS NOT DISCOVERED ANY OTHER PERSON THAT CHARGES A FEE TO START THE PROCESS OF TSV IN THE ENTIRE STATE OF IDAHO) \$200/hr. for the actual work, of counseling and appearing in court. BEARD stated he required 10 sessions before any testimony in court. TSV costs at minimum

\$3,200 in Twin Falls. This is an unnecessarily high expense on the citizens of Twin Falls. A large money-making venture for BEARD and the Church of Latter-day Saints, receiving 10% from BEARD. MOTHER is convinced she exposed a highly illegal racketeering scheme, BEARD the only provider of TSV, testimony at hearing by CARSON.

Bias exists in harsh punishment of MOTHER not allowing her regular supervised visitation with D.B and counseling. BEARDS fee was excessive and highly likely considered racketeering. CARSON stated BEARD is the only one.

<u>JUDGE HARMER violated Federal Rule: Federal Title IV-E requires</u> <u>states to make a reasonable effort to reunify families.</u>

o. MOTHER sent letters (LETTER) to Twin Falls' Mayor, and Board of Supervisors (BS) to report JONES order to cease custody evaluations, and years of fraud. MOTHER sent Mayor and BS a copy of JONES report from "Board of Professional Licenses" available online. MOTHER provided proof that JONES provided years of psychiatric evaluation without credentials to the citizens of Twin Falls. JUDGE HARMER aware of this LETTER discussed at custody hearing by Jennifer Bergin, FATHER'S lawyer allowed to discredit me as being "crazy". MOTHER was unable to speak about JONES during trail, only a brief statement that I was confident that Twin Falls Family Court was engaging in illegal activity with PCFS, Racketeering. JUDGE HARMER ALLOWED

MOTHER'S statement about her concerns of racketeering to be used in the Custody hearing by FATHER's counsel, to accuse her of being paranoid, like her 2012 Dr. Kerner CALIFORNIA psychiatric evaluation claimed her to be.

Bias: MOTHER not Paranoid. The behavior of the courts and PCFS meets the criteria of Racketeering "Racketeering refers to crimes committed at a state or federal level.

Racketeering may refer to the act of acquiring a business operation through illegal activity, operating a business with illegally-derived income, or using a business operation to commit illegal acts. Federal crimes of racketeering include bribery, various fraud offenses, gambling offenses, money laundering, a number of financial and economic crimes, obstructing justice or a criminal investigation, murder for hire, and sexual exploitation of children."

Bias: MOTHER may not receive fair judgement by any MORMON Judge, including JUDGE HARMER, due to her disclosure of a *potential* racketeering scheme involving MORMON Judges and PCFS TSV orders. Family Court advertising TSV on the Court House Website. I have not received any response from the Mayor of Twin Falls, or Board of supervisors. Clearly, the courts receiving my letters, brought to the attention of FATHER's Lawyer. Bias: Hearsay of MOTHER's letters should not have been discussed at custody hearing. MOTHER did not receive any response to her letter, yet, FATHER'S lawyer received response and MOTHER not allowed to view. JUDGE HARMER acknowledged letters were received at custody hearing. Bias: MOTHER very concerned about the lack of consideration of a Federal crime being committed at the Twin Falls Family Court House effecting an entire community not addressed. MOTHER is concerned that she has been unduly punished with lack of contact of D.B for "Whistleblowing" a crime

protected by Federal Government Statues. "A <u>whistleblower</u> is a person who exposes any kind of information or activity that is deemed illegal, unethical, or not correct within an organization that is either private or public. The <u>Whistleblower Protection Act</u> was made into federal law in the United States in 1989".

IV. The Custody Hearing

p. JUDGE HARMER refused MOTHER many requests, verbal requests at hearings and in motions for a psychiatric evaluation on FATHER.

JUDGE HARMER refused to discuss or allow any evidence into our case that FATHER spent nine nights in a mental hospital

(CALFORNIA 5150 Hold) He was taken by police car to a hospital for psychiatric care according to a Morgan Hill California police report.

JUDGE HARMER denied MOTHER'S request to be discussed or admitted into evidence. FATHER violated his mental health hold; he was in possession of an arsenal of weapons. MOTHER was concerned for the safety of D.B because FATHER used guns to intimidate

MOTHER during our short marriage.

Bias: MOTHERS psychiatric evaluation from 2012 was allowed during a custody hearing. FATHER did not have to provide any psychiatric history. FATHER had a very concerning psychiatric history, committed involuntarily for 9 nights, lied to the IDAHO courts that in CALIFORNIA his mental health stay was ruled privileged, MOTHER was denied a mental health diagnosis of FATHER. information all dismissed by JUDGE HARMER.

Bias: It is a reasonable request to have a mental health diagnosis of a FATHER seeking primary custody, especially when FATHER had been involuntarily committed for 9 nights.

q. Almost immediately FATHER went on welfare when he moved to IDAHO. JUDGE HARMER stated in his custody order/response to grant sole custody to FATHER, that the \$50,000/yr. job FATHER stated he had waiting for him in IDAHO in his CALFORNIA move away motion just did not work out. **MOTHER CONTENDS, Untrue,** MOTHER provided D.B health and welfare card as proof he immediately went of IDAHO welfare. _FATHER did not have a \$50,000/yr. job in IDAHO waiting for him. FATHER: REFUSED TO PROVIDE PROOF IN FORMAL DISCOVERY, DISCUSSED with JUDGE HARMER.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER refused to discuss or demand poof of FATHER'S \$50,000/yr. job offer. No formal job offers in writing has been admitted into evidence, like MOTHER requested. FATHER provided no evidence of PROOF that his \$50,000/job simply did not work out, or even existed. MOTHER'S claim, FATHER moved to IDAHO because he met a woman online dismissed. Also, MOTHER provided medical insurance for D.B. FATHER had no reason to apply for medical insurance or free lunch program at school for D.B unless he was applying for other services within the first month of moving to IDAHO. FATHER wasted no time when he arrived in IDAHO to apply for welfare. NO evidence exists proving otherwise.

r. JUDGE HARMER gave great credit to MOTHER psychiatric evaluation from Dr. Michael Kerner from 2012. Kerner's report conveniently left out that MOTHER was under the care of a Neurologist for uncontrollable migraines in 2011-12; Kerner did not discuss any domestic violence MOTHER survived.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER refused MOTHER'S evidence that Kerner had many complaints from other women that their abuse was conveniently left out of their forced psychiatric evaluations. Kerner was a fraud like JONES.

MOTHER does not suffer for paranoid delusions. JUDGE HARMER refused to look at MOTHER beaten face (Exhibit THREE) Judge Harman refused to give credit to MOTHER, working in postpartum at St. Luke's Hospital caring for new born babies. JUDGE HARMER was bias, relying solely on an 8-year-old psychiatric evaluation during a high-conflict divorce. MOTHER paid for a Psychiatric evaluation with JONES. JONES swindled MOTHER out of \$1,000.00.

s. MOTHER gave TRUTHFUL testimony under oath that she was under the care of a Neurologist, Dr. Helman in 2010 for the treatment of night terrors, uncontrollable, debilitating headaches and MOTHER had a diagnosis of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder as a result of abuse she survived, gun intimidation, financial distress losing MOTHER'S home due to FATHERs taking the second mortgage on the marital home for \$170,000 in cash for himself, FATHER'S bankruptcy fraud; MOTHER lost \$300,000 of her premarital asset investment in a home

owned together with FATHER for only three years. MOTHER'S stress, life from 2010-2012. MOTHER had owned a home as a single woman since she was 25 years old. FATHER purposely made MOTHER homeless taking all MOTHER's pre-marital money. MOTHER had to move home with father, two hours away from D.B and could no longer volunteer at her school. Since MOTHER divorce in December 2014, she completed a Bachelor's degree in Nursing, she moved to IDAHO alone, two months after the death of her father, secured a home and a job as a Dialysis Registered Nurse Internship and lived alone independently in a home in Buhl.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER stated at the custody hearing that he would not consider, or give littler consideration to any evidence from CALIFORNIA, yet he gave great importance of MOTHER's psychiatric evaluation from 2012, four years before MOTHER moved to IDAHO. MOTHER independence clearly proves that she was completely emotionally stable enough to care for D.B. Mother completed a Bachelor's Degree.

Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) Giving a state court judge the discretion to determine the best interests of the child in these situations violates due process, especially when there is no allegation that the parent is unfit.

MOTHER cares for new born babies at St. Luke's. Communication issues does not make a mother unfit to parent. Mother was in her rights to take D.B's phone as punishment.

Bias: MOTHER had a \$50,000 a year Nursing job waiting for her when she moved to IDAHO, someone declared unstable "crazy" person would not have accomplished such high level of independence. FATHER lived on welfare and support of his parents and refused to return to the workforce permanently.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER was bias in denying MOTHER request for a psychiatric evaluation of FATHER, especially because he was caught in a lie trying to avoid a psychiatric evaluation, and MOTHER had a police report on the incident, FATHER was taken to the mental hospital by police car, unvoluntary.

t. FACT Reported to JUDGE HARMER under oath of MOTHER: FATHER refused formal discovery in CALIFORNIA and MOTHER did not have the energy to Compel. MOTHER was under the care of Dr. Helman a neurologist, representing herself in family court, MOTHER had no money, maintaining a stressful job at a trauma center, and was battling FATHER for custody. MOTHER did not have the strength to Compel discovery. FATHER collected welfare and his parents paid his legal fees in CALFORNIA.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER dismissed MOTHER truthful claim, MOTHER never lied to him, with only the WORDS a habitually untruthful FATHER, JUDGE HARMER NEVER requested proof of such privilege to disclose his Mental health stay. JUDGE HARMER refused to acknowledge MOTHER independence, leaving behind a comfort zone in CALIFORNIA, for IDAHO,

person capable of such independence/ earning he Bachelors in Nursing degree is NOT the person for which Kerner describes. JUDGE HARMER refused all discussion or evidence that FATHER committed bankruptcy fraud, destroying MOTHER good credit, leaving MOTHER homeless because this happened in CALIFORNIA according to JUDGE HARMER at trail. FATHER leaving IDAHO, not for a job but to hide the \$50,000 he was to receive from the lawsuit related of our business and avoid the division of his retirement assets QDRO apparently obvious to me; JUDGE HARMER refuse to allow me to even discuss any financial improprieties. This is bias, it falls under Character of parent requesting custody (see Best Interest of the Child Standards)

alone, to maintain contact with D.B.. Any reasonable person would agree a

u. Expert witness Melisa OSEN LCSW (OSEN) was called to the stand on two separate occasion. She gave testimony that was UNTRUTHFUL the first time. MOTHER requested D.B counseling notes so she could prove OSEN was untruthful. The many harmful things that OSEN said about MOTHER proven to be untrue at the custody hearing. OSEN so concerned about preventing a lawsuit, requested, MOTHER be denied access to counseling notes, and a gag order so MOTHER was not allowed to talk about what was discovered in D.B's counseling records. OSEN did this because MOTHER sent OSEN an email when she was at the emergency room trying to find out about D.B's suicide attempts. MOTHER reminded OSEN, she lied under oath to take D.B

from her, MOTHER stated she was planning on suing her. D.B. was in her care, away from MOTHER, now suicidal and OSEN is responsible for lying to JUDGE HARMER. OSEN filed the motion to keep MOTHER from having access to D.B counseling records. At OSEN'S hearing, OSEN was in the presence of FATHER's lawyer, Jennifer Bergin and her private lawyer. JUDGE HARMER ruled, MOTHER was only allowed to view D.B counseling records at the court house, take notes and NEVER speak about what MOTHER discovered especially to D.B. Leaving OSEN free to continue to lie under oath at our next hearing. OSEN stated during our custody hearing that she was just has qualified to diagnose and treat D.B as a child psychologist. OSEN characterized MOTHER as a MOTHER that would view D.B.'s counseling records and shame her. This is NOT something MOTHER would do, OSEN was not privileged to the behaviors of MOTHER, had little contact with MOTHER, and was not an expert to make any comments about MOTHER.

MOTHER needed D. B'S counseling records to PROVE OSEN committed perjury, lied under oath and could not be trusted, D.B needed a non-bias child psychologist. D.B is showing signs that she may be experiencing sexual abuse in the care of a family that has been accused of abuse by D.B's cousins. So credible, a no-contact order was issued. OSEN met personally with one of the COUSINS to discuss her concerns about D.B safety. OSEN gag order was requested by

her to make it hard for me MOTHER to sue her or report her perjury to others.

Bias: MOTHER pointed out many untruthful damaging statements that OSEN stated under oath during her first time on the witness stand.

MOTHER cannot discuss because of the gag order. The fact that FATHER's lawyer, Jennifer Bergin, was present at the OSEN hearing should not have been permitted. Proves OSEN is also Bias, there for FATHER not D.B.

Bergin represents FATHER, and not D.B or OSEN.

BIAS: An averagely educated person can come to an obvious conclusion that a LCSW is not as qualified to care for a suicidal teen as a child psychologist. OSEN claimed she was just as qualified as a doctor of mental health. JUDGE HARMER allowing many of untruthful statements made by OSEN, keeping MOTHER, and D.B from a mental health Doctor was completely false, and unjustified. There is a huge difference between a Master's degree and a Doctorate. D.B became suicidal in the care of OSEN. D.B became suicidal because she desired to be away from her father and live with her MOTHER, like she stated in our custody evaluation. She had NO contact with her MOTHER for over 10 months at this point in time, before she was seen for suicide. Obviously MOTHER is not the problem, D.B's problem lies with lying father and social worker OSEN. JUDGE HARMER refused to discuss with MOTHER during our custody hearing that social worker believe they have judicial immunity, and therefore are free to lie and that a child psychologist is more qualified, just because OSEN

states she is qualified does not make it true, she is protected by judicial immunity.

Bias: There is no reasonable explanation for the presence of Jennifer
Bergin to be present at OSEN'S Motion to request that I not be able to view
D.B'S counseling record. This is clear evidence that OSEN was not acting in
the best interest of D.B, but for herself and the ability to align herself with
Twin Falls lawyers for profit.

Bias: MOTHER denied an expert witness of her own. MOTHER requested a child psychologist. MOTHER requested supervised visits to prove that D.B and MOTHER are very close and do not have any issues that warrant separation.

v. JUDGE HARMER allowed FATHER to submit a created EXCEL spread sheet to represent MOTHER harassing phone calls. When MOTHER spoke up that this document does not represent MOTHER phone bill, it was created by FATHER further proof that he has no intention to be truthful, that his habitual lying was concerning and he needed a psychiatric evaluation.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER admitting this created spreadsheet into evidence, along with MOTHER computer-generated phone bill. Bias: MOTHER was accused of being out of line and disruptive because she was so upset that JUDGE HARMER would even consider false evidence, and not hold FATHER accountable for this damaging lie that MOTHER called and harass him and D.B often.

w.After hearing MOTHER was ordered to pay 60% of out of pocket medical bills.

Bias: This is clear proof of bias towards MOTHER. In most all custody rulings, parents share out of pocket medical expenses. D.B is on Medicaid; FATHER has no monthly health care premiums.

x. MOTHER filed a separate filing listing all the fraudulent documents that FATHER submitted into evidence.

Bias: Emails and documents were concerning, a high probability of fraud.

Court ordered parenting classes; number was obviously changed. The

EXCEL spreadsheet FATHER submitted has proof I harass him, had and

obvious typo that I discovered after trial.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER dismissed MOTHER concerns of fraudulent documents, he never addressed MOTHER concerns of fraud upon the court.

y. JUDGE HARMER has denied MOTHER phone calls with D.B, all gifts are to be reviewed by father to see if they are appropriate in his court orders.

Bias: MOTHER has NEVER sent D.B. an inappropriate gift, never stated anything improper to D.B

Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) ...It is reasonable to presume that parents will act in the best interests of their children, so the state should not interfere and take that role away from them.

MOTHER acted in the best interest of D.B. She tried to enforce the court order to have counseling with D.B so they could maintain healthy communication issues during her troubled

teen years. MOTHER was upset about FATHER refusal to follow a court orders for counseling and filed CONTEMPT.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER took D.B away from MOTHER as a result of a one-day incident between and mother and daughter, that led to the chance for FATHER to take advantage of the small argument about respect, to terminate a mother and daughter bond. FATHER is not acting in the best interest of D.B for seeking to terminate the relationship, of the parent of choice. D.B. requested to live with her mother and be away from FATHER. D.B. had many complaints about how her father mistreated her and her animals and OSEN kept silent about D.B'S great desire to live with MOTHER. FATHER is vengeful, he owes MOTHER over \$300,000 dollars and MOTHER is taking him to court in CALIFORNIA to force the return of her assets.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER refused to discuss MOTHER'S concerns the FATHER was seeking to take D.B away from MOTHER because she is seeking the return of \$300,000 of assets. A caring father would not harm his children and keep them from seeing their mother.

V. IDAHO Statutes 32-717 Custody of Children - Best Interest

z. Best interest of the Child's standard denied by JUDGE HARMER.

<u>Section (a)</u>- MOTHER wanted and was prepared to be primary custodial parent, D.B wanted to live with MOTHER.

<u>Section (b)</u> Child's preference. D.B wished to be away from her father and live with her MOTHER stated clearly in JONES custody evaluation. But for FATHER'S vicious slander that MOTHER falsely accused multiple people of molesting D.B.; it is likely JONES would have recommended a custody change to favor MOTHER. D.B. now experiencing mental health

issues away from her MOTHER. D.B claimed father yelled loudly all the time. This yelling dismissed as normal to OSEN.

Bias: protecting JONES and BEARD fellow MORMONS, that had an obligation to do the rights thing by D.B. JUDGE HARMER, allowing perjury and lies should never be tolerated in the court room. Especially from Custody Evacuators and paid experts.

<u>Section © Interaction with parents or other family.</u>
_JUDGE HARMER *denied regular supervised visitation* and NO CONTACT with D.B..

Bias: MOTHER was unable to prove how close D.B and MOTHER are, and how being apart has horrifically affected D.B's mental health. JUDGE HARMER also dismissed MOTHER's contempt of court claim against FATHER, an order allowing counseling for our relationship.

Bias: Not being allowed counseling with D.B left us open to communication issues at a difficult stage in D.B life, when she really needs MOTHER. Being kept from her MOTHER created suicidal tendencies. Being in the care of OSEN created suicidal thoughts and tendencies. OSEN should have been dismissed she was harming D.B.

Bias: refusing REAL proof from FATHER that MOTHER called and a harass D.B and FATHER. This is a horrible false allegation that damaged MOTHER. JUDGE HARMER refusing to acknowledge the TRUTH, MOTHER made no calls, MOTHER's phone records proved such. This suicidal blame game from FATHER was warranted granting MOTHER primary custody, this is a

form of abuse of our child, neglecting her mental health care. MOTHER was denied a valid custody evaluation and a psychiatric evaluation.

Bias: Even if MOTHER completed all the requirements put forth Infront of her so she may have unsupervised contact, JUDGE HARMER is ONLY going to allow MOTHER two Friday nights a month. MOTHER will not be able to take vacations with D.B. This is harsh punishment for anyone, more harsh than if MOTHER committed a crime or was a recovering drug addict. It is obvious to MOTHER that her extremely harsh punishment is due to MOTHER exposing what is certainly racketeering, exposing the Churches involvement with family court. JUDGE HARMER destroyed the childhood of D.B by taking her MOTHER away from her and leaving her with her FATHER that she requested to move away from.

Section (d) Child's adjustment. MOTHER had no desire to remove D.B. from the Twin Falls School District. D.B. loved her home. She had a large room with a private large bathroom with a tub and shower. She has horses, goats, dogs and cats. She loved all the animals. We got along well. D.B requested to live with her MOTHER and away from her father. MOTHER hired JONES on behalf of D.B'S desire to live with MOTHER.

Bias: MOTHER should have NEVER been given TSV for doing the right thing.

JUDGE HARMER should not have punished MOTHER with the financial strain of TSV for such a small infraction of taking the phone away from a mouthy teen, but instead enforced the court order and allow D.B and MOTHER to have counseling together. When JUDGE HARMER was aware that D.B was

becoming suicidal away from her MOTHER he should have immediately reevaluated the situation and accommodated D.B. wishes to be with her MOTHER and consider that D.B'S decline happened in the care of OSEN.

Section (e) Character

Bias: MOTHER was not allowed to discuss the fact that FATHER fled CALFORNIA to avoid paying her over \$300,000 in assets, that FATHER committed bankruptcy fraud and marital fraud when he sold our business for \$75,000. Claiming on his bankruptcy documents that he received nothing from the sale of the business (fraud). MOTHER found out FATHER received \$75,000 from the sale of the business when she discovered he was suing our business franchise online. He then reported that the lawsuit was dismissed when in actuality he received \$50,000.00.

FATHER. He was willing to commit fraud to steal from MOTHER and destroyed MOTHER credit score of 823. FATHER made MOTHER Homeless. Bias: JUDGE HARMER accused me of talking out of line because I insisted on discussing FATHER's character. JUDGE HARMER refused any documents proving bankruptcy fraud, and any discussion of any financial misdeed FATHER was involved. FATHER remains in contempt of a QDRO in CALFORNIA on a 2014 court order JUDGE HARMER denied any discussion of this. Also,

Bias: MOTHER requested that this was proof of lack of character of

Bias: FATHER admitted he hacked into MOTHER private FACEBOOK account, copied a private message between a lifelong friend discussing MOTHER

concerns about D.B in the care of her GRAND-FATHER. FATHER admitted under oath to passing this private message off as public post on Facebook, untruthful to JONES, claiming MOTHER posted on public Facebook that his father molested his 8-year-old grandson. FATHER deceit to JONES was a huge offence to disrupt a valid Custody Evaluation. UNPUNISHED BY JUDGE HARMER.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER, FATHER committed a computer crime by hacking into MOTHER private Facebook account, and lied to JONES. Bankruptcy fraud is a crime, proving lack of character. A reasonable person can conclude FATHER's actions was grounds for immediate loss of custody. He lacks the proper character to raise a child committing a computer crime, using private messages to sway a custody evaluator did not tarnish the character of FATHER in the eyes of JUDGE HARMER. MOTHER did a noble deed, risking her chance of fairness to report crimes from the past, JONES did not have credentials for Psychiatric evaluations. Crimes from the present, BEARD taking advantage of being the only court provider of TSV, requiring a \$3,200 commitment was excessive, no other person charges a "start up cost". The behaviors of PCFS are worthy of the courts cutting ties, but instead the connection continues.

Section (f) Continuity of care. Bias: MOTHER denied request for regular counseling visits. MOTHER provided a plan to make D.B transition to living with her MOTHER as easy as possible. She even agreed to take D.B'S cat.

Bias: MOTHER was denied custody because she talked out of line according to JUDGE HARMER, and that she needed psychiatric care.

Bias: FATHER created such infractions deserving of loss of custody. JUDGE HARMER is not a mental health expert. MOTHER is independent and D.B. was requesting to be with MOTHER and away from her father, according to the JONES evaluation. FATHER's habitual lying, computer hacking MOTHER'S private FACEBOOK, and bankruptcy fraud should be considered for a psychiatric evaluation. JUDGE HARMER's bias toward MOTHER, trying to keep FATHER's dirty deeds out of the court room and increase focus on an 8-year-old psychiatric evaluation that obviously does not match up. MOTHER stated she was abused and diagnosis by a neurologist to have PTSD. MOTHER behavior in court only proves that hearing the voice of FATHER creates flash-backs, fear and panic. MOTHER survived domestic violence. MOTHER is concerned D.B has become suicidal in FATHER and OSEN'S care.

Section (g) Domestic Violence. (Exhibit TWO) I am unsure if JUDGE HARMER refused to admit the picture of MOTHER'S face after being hit by FATHER. MOTHER was in a state of stress, during our custody hearing and I explained this to JUDGE HARMER. The picture of MOTHER face early shows double black eyes, a large hematoma over MOTHER'S eye and a swollen nose. I explained how FATHER would force MOTHER into our kitchen pantry for hours, with a gun to MOTHER'S head, trying to convince MOTHER to end her life. MOTHER thought she was going to die many times, MOTHER filed for divorce, FATHER removed MOTHER from all bank accounts, sold our business, and lied that he lost our business. FATHER forced sale of MOTHER'S home, a complete financial loss of over \$350,000 of MOTHER'S

premarital money for a very short marriage. This stress is enough to create an anxiety issue such as PTSD, proving MOTHER is telling the truth. MOTHER is still standing, has a home and in a long-term relationship.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER has no concerns about the physical, emotional and financial abuse MOTHER survived, even FATHER fleeing CALFORNIA to avoid showing proof his retirement was lost in our business. He refused to examine the picture of MOTHER beaten face.

Men that abuse their wives have a high likelihood of abusing their children. That is why custody may be denied to men that abuse their wives. FATHER seeking no contact with her mom is harming our daughter, now suicidal. FATHER punishing MOTHER for leaving him and refusing the QDRO order. FATHER is NOT doing what is best for our child. Our child deserves a MOTHER, and wants her MOTHER.

Conclusion

JUDGE HARMER's Bias towards MOTHER is likely because she exposed what may be considered illegal racketeering relationship between Family Court and PCFS.

MOTHER reported concerns to the Mayor's office about JONES, BEARD and Family Court. Lack of credentials, and illegal racketeering.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER refused to discuss the fact MOTHER custody evaluation created by JONES was filed after suspension, refused to read or allow the licensing boards ruling to be admitted into evidence at our custody hearing. Bias: being denied a proper custody evaluation and psychiatric evaluation that MOTHER paid for. MOTHER paid for a

1

psychiatric evaluation to prove she was not unfit. MOTHER was a stay at home mother, until she filed for divorce, FATHER, lied that MOTHER was crazy just like he lied that MOTHER accused a 11-year-old boy of Molestation, to unjustly remove custody of MOTHER. All told to Judge Harmer.

The Supreme Court has ruled: a child cannot be removed from a parent unless that they are proven to be unfit. FATHER was held involuntarily for 9 days on a mental health hold. Very bias. MOTHER denied an expert witness to prove she is a fit parent; she is a fit parent until proven otherwise according to a Supreme Court Ruling. D.B and MOTHER were denied any contact for an evaluation. Bias that no one viewed D.B and MOTHER together, and made any statement that she is unfit. All claims of being unfit are Hearsay. JONES reported D.B. requested to be with MOTHER. MOTHER is a fit parent in the eyes of D.B. There has been no neglect or abuse. JUDGE HARMER took D.B form MOTHER to punish her for not being submissive, her behavior in COURT. How is MOTHER supposed to behave when she is wrongfully denied contact with D.B. for almost a year, and D.B. becomes suicidal and MOTHER is denied information by FATHER and OSEN. Bias of JUDGE HARMER, The only time, in the far future, MOTHER may every have unsupervised visits with D.B is just 2 nights a month. This lack of contact is an unheard of provision of custody to ANY PARENT that has committed no crime with no drug history or abuse history. I can not find anyone to do TSV. It took 2 months to get an appointment with a

psychiatrist, he does not work with the courts. No such psychiatrist exists.

MOTHER can only hope D.B can hang on and not succeed in suicide.

I believe the only fairness I will receive in Twin Falls Family court is for a non-Mormon judge it be assigned to MOTHER case. The true act of fairness is for someone to undue the injustice of JUDGE HARMER and return D.B to MOTHER, where she wishes to be. ALLOW D.B to see a child psychologist.

Federal Title IV-E requires states to make a reasonable effort to reunify families.

Bias: JUDGE HARMER refused to modify and change his TSV order that cannot be met. MOTHER is not an unfit mother; she is a registered nurse with a clean record. Teenage girls have meltdowns and mother and daughter often struggle during the teen years. MOTHER and D.B'S relationship was completely ended at the hands of JUDGE HARMER for NO reason other than the request of a vengeful father. Vengeful because MOTHER is in the process on enforcing the QDRO in CALIFORNIA. FATHER owes MOTHER \$350,000.

MOTHER is in a healthy relation with a good Man of 4 years. Proof MOTHER can maintain stability and has communication skills.

Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000)

There is a fundamental right under the Fourteenth Amendment for a parent to oversee the care, custody, and control of a child. The Due Process Clause prevents the government from intruding on fundamental rights and liberty interests, one of which is the liberty interest that parents have in controlling the care and custody of their children. The state may not give rights

to any third party to challenge any decision by a parent regarding visitation with that parent's child in state courts. Giving a state court judge the discretion to determine the best interests of the child in these situations violates due process, especially when there is no allegation that the parent is unfit. It is reasonable to presume that parents will act in the best interests of their children, so the state should not interfere and take that role away from them.

Bias: Taking a phone away from a child as punishment does not make a parent unfit. MOTHER did not talk despairingly about FATHER to D.B like OSEN claimed. MOTHER only asked D.B why she was depressed and MOTHER noble act of trying to find out why D.B. was depressed was manipulated by a social worker OSEN and FATHER to falsely accuse MOTHER of talking disparagingly about D.Bs father. Bias: If D.B. wasn't denied MOTHER request for a proper diagnosis from a child psychologist, and counseling, MOTHER would have been able to prove she did not talk to D.B'S about her father and the D.B. and MOTHER were very close.

Even if MOTHER talked about FATHER it does not meet the criteria of an unfit parent, but a parent that makes poor choices in conversations. JUDGE HARMER was unjustified in taking D.B. from MOTHER, D.B so damaged by his decision, she became suicidal.

BIAS: MOTHER denied an expert witness to prove she did not talk despairingly about FATHER, only allowed to be her own witness in her behalf. MOTHER denies OLSEN statement to the court that D.B stated MOTHER said bad things about FATHER. This is hearsay evidence and should not have been allowed. D.B was old

enough and mature enough to choose where she wanted to live. D.B wanted to live
with MOTHER. FATHER lied to JONES to keep D.B from her parent of choice.
Punishing a child for foul language is acceptable. Anxiety does not make a parent
unfit. MOTHER Constitutional right to parent child has been violated in Family
court, Twin Falls and MOTHER believes it is because She "Blew the Whistle" on a
money generating racketeering scheme to fund the Mormon church and BEARD.
For every case a judge refers families to BEARD for TSV, he stands to profit \$3,200.
He is the only one, as testified in two hearing by CARSON. MOTHER is being judged
in a courtroom that is highly likely engaging in illegal racketeering. I am requesting
the dismissal of JUDGE HARMER and any Mormon Judge. I am concerned any
MORMON judge would be bias against me.
When is someone going to do the right thing for D.B. and protect her from her
father and OSEN that is creating suicidal thoughts and return her to her mom
where she requested to be? MOTHER and CHILD relationship destroyed due to
JUDGE HARMER. He is Bias against me.
Dated this day of Month, year.
Jacqueline Bladow self-representing